City of Mississauga

Agenda



Heritage Advisory Committee

Date: December 3, 2024

Time: 9:30 AM

Location: Council Chambers, Civic Centre, 2nd Floor

300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, L5B 3C1

and Online Video Conference

Members

Councillor Stephen Dasko Ward 1
Councillor Dipika Damerla Ward 7

Councillor Brad Butt Ward 11 (Chair)

David Cook Citizen Member (Vice-Chair)

Alexander Hardy
James Holmes
Citizen Member

Participate Virtually, Telephone OR In-Person

To Request to Speak on Agenda Items - Advance registration is required to make a Deputation please email Martha Cameron, Legislative Coordinator at martha.cameron@mississauga.ca or call 905-615-3200 ext. 5438 no later than **Friday, November 29, 2024 before 4:00 PM.**

Questions for Public Question Period - Questions for Public Question Period should be provided to the Legislative Coordinator at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting.

Comments submitted will be considered as public information and entered into the public record.

Please note the Heritage Advisory Committee will be streamed and a video will be posted afterwards. Contact

Martha Cameron, Legislative Coordinator, Legislative Services 905-615-3200 ext. 5438 | Email: martha.cameron@mississauga.ca

Find it Online

http://www.mississauga.ca/portal/cityhall/heritageadvisory

Meetings of Council streamed live and archived at Mississauga.ca/videos

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. INDIGENOUS LAND STATEMENT

"We acknowledge the lands which constitute the present-day City of Mississauga as being part of the Treaty and Traditional Territory of the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation, The Haudenosaunee Confederacy the Huron-Wendat and Wyandotte Nations. We recognize these peoples and their ancestors as peoples who inhabited these lands since time immemorial. The City of Mississauga is home to many global Indigenous Peoples.

As a municipality, the City of Mississauga is actively working towards reconciliation by confronting our past and our present, providing space for Indigenous peoples within their territory, to recognize and uphold their Treaty Rights and to support Indigenous Peoples. We formally recognize the Anishinaabe origins of our name and continue to make Mississauga a safe space for all Indigenous peoples."

- 3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- 4. DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST
- 5. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING
- 5.1 Draft Heritage Advisory Committee Minutes dated October 8, 2024
- 5.2 Draft Heritage Advisory Committee Public Meeting Minutes dated November 26, 2024

6. DEPUTATIONS

Any member of the public interested in making a deputation to an item listed on the agenda must register by calling 905-615-3200 ext. 5438 or by emailing martha.cameron@mississauga.ca by **Friday, November 29, 2024 before 4:00 PM.**

Each Deputation to Committee is limited to speaking not more that 10 minutes.

Pursuant to Section 57.1 of the Council Procedure By-law 0044-2022, as amended:

Deputations shall be received and the matter shall be referred to staff for a report, unless there is a resolution or recommendation passed to "receive" the Deputation. After a Deputation is completed, Members shall each have one opportunity to make a preamble statement and ask questions to the Deputant(s) or staff for clarification purposes only, and without debate.

7. PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD - 15 Minute Limit

Public Comments: Members of the Public that have a question about an item listed on the agenda may pre-register by contacting the Legislative Coordinator at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting. Following the registered speakers, if time permits the Chair will

acknowledge members of the public who wish to ask a question about an item listed on the agenda. Virtual participants must pre-register.

Pursuant to Section 58 of the Council Procedure By-law 0044-2022, as amended:

The Heritage Advisory Committee may grant permission to a member of the public to ask a question of the Heritage Advisory Committee, with the following provisions:

- Questions may be submitted to the Clerk at least 24 hours prior to the meeting;
- 2. A person is limited to two (2) questions and must pertain specific item on the current agenda and the speaker will state which item the question is related to;
- 3. The total speaking time shall be five (5) minutes maximum, per speaker, unless extended by the Mayor or Chair; and
- 4. Any response not provided at the meeting will be provided in the format of a written response.

8. CONSENT AGENDA

- 9. MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED
- 9.1 Request to Alter a Heritage Designated Property: 13 Thomas Street (Ward 11)
- 9.2 Request to Alter the Heritage Designated Properties at 84 and 90 High Street East (Ward 1)
- 9.3 2025 Heritage Advisory Committee Meeting Dates
- 10. INFORMATION ITEMS
- 11. OTHER BUSINESS
- 12. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

January 14, 2025 at 9:30 AM

13. ADJOURNMENT

Minutes



Heritage Advisory Committee

Date: October 8, 2024

Time: 9:30 AM

Location: Council Chambers, Civic Centre, 2nd Floor

300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, L5B 3C1

and Online Video Conference

Members Present Councillor Stephen Dasko Ward 1

Councillor Dipika Damerla Ward 7

Councillor Brad Butt Ward 11 (Chair)

David Cook Citizen Member (Vice-Chair)

Antoine Musiol Citizen Member
James Holmes Citizen Member
Lucy Zita Citizen Member
Terry Ward Citizen Member
Matthew Wilkinson Citizen Member

Members Absent Alexander Hardy Citizen Member

Staff Present

John Dunlop, Manager, Indigenous Relations, Heritage and Museums Paula Wubbenhorst, Heritage Planner, Indigenous Relations, Heritage and Museums Andrew Douglas, Heritage Analyst, Indigenous Relations, Heritage and Museums Martha Cameron, Legislative Coordinator

1. <u>CALL TO ORDER</u>

Councillor Brad Butt, Chair called the meeting to order at 9:30 AM

2. <u>INDIGENOUS LAND STATEMENT</u>

Councillor Brad Butt, Chair recited the Indigenous Land Statement.

3. <u>APPROVAL OF AGENDA</u>

Approved as amended (T. Ward)

4. <u>DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST</u> - Nil

5. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

5.1 <u>Draft Heritage Advisory Committee minutes - September 10, 2024</u>

Approved (L. Zita)

6. <u>DEPUTATIONS</u>

6.1 <u>David Ecclestone, Architect, +VG Architects and Deryn Rizzi, Chief, Mississauga Fire & Emergency Services regarding Lakeview Golf Course Land Parcel Severance and Heritage Impact Assessment</u>

Chief Deryn Rizzi, Mississauga Fire and Emergency Services spoke to the Community Risk Assessment recommendations and creation of the Master Fire Plan, the review of the Master Fire Plan standards, the process of selecting locations for five new fire stations and emergency response times. Further, Chief Rizzi spoke to the Lakeview development location for Fire Station 128 and the area it would service.

David Ecclestone, Architect, +VG Architects spoke to the history and heritage attributes of Lakeview Golf Course and the protection of the landscape which is the primary heritage aspect. Further, D. Ecclestone spoke to the review of the site and the approach to development that would be the least impactful to its heritage attributes.

Committee members and staff spoke to design of the fire station, the complexity of finding a suitable location, and future development in the area.

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0074-2024

Moved By Councillor S. Dasko

That the deputation and presentation by David Ecclestone, Architect, +GF Architects and Chief Deryn Rizzi, Mississauga Fire and Emergency Services regarding Lakeview Golf Course Land Parcel Severance and Heritage Impact Assessment, be received for information.

Received

6.2 <u>Item 9.4 - Noah Gordon, Associate, Miller Thomson regarding Objection to Heritage</u> <u>Designation 3625 Cawthra Road (Ward 3)</u>

Noah Gordon, Associate, Miller Thomson spoke to negotiations with heritage staff and resolution of their concerns.

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0075-2024

Moved By M. Wilkinson

That the verbal deputation by Noah Gordon, Associate, Miller Thomson regarding item 9.4 - Objection to Heritage Designation 3625 Cawthra Road (Ward 3), be received.

Received

7. PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD - 15 Minute Limit

There were no questions registered by the public.

8. <u>CONSENT AGENDA</u>

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0076-2024

Moved By Councillor D. Damerla

That the following items were approved on consent:

- Item 9.3 Request to Demolish a Heritage Listed property at 1390 Conliffe Court (Ward 6)
- Item 9.4 Objection to Heritage Designation, 3625 Cawthra Road (Ward 3)

Approved

9. <u>MATTERS CONSIDERED</u>

9.1 Proposed Heritage Designation of 1400 Dixie Road (Ward 1)

Committee members spoke in support of the designation of the property and noted the positive outcome from meetings with the condominium board and community.

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0077-2024

Moved By Councillor S. Dasko

That the property at 1400 Dixie Road (Ward 1) be designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act for its physical, design, historical, associative and contextual value, as per the Corporate Report from the Commissioner of Community Services dated September 10, 2024, and that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

<u>Approved</u>

9.2 Proposed Heritage Designation of 3236 Mississauga Road (Ward 8)

Committee members spoke to the designation of the property and history of George Hunter as a founding member of the Canadian Heritage Photography Foundation and noted that the museums of Mississauga were able to salvage the collective works of George Hunter.

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0078-2024

Moved By M. Wilkinson

That the property at 3236 Mississauga Road (Ward 8) be designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act for its physical, design, historical, associative and contextual value, as per the Corporate Report from the Commissioner of Community Services dated September 6, 2024, and that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Approved

9.3 Request to demolish a Heritage Listed Property at 1390 Conliffe Court (Ward 6) (CONSENT)

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0079-2024

Moved By Councillor D. Damerla

That the request to demolish the heritage listed property at 1390 Conliffe Court (Ward 6), as outlined in the report from the Commissioner of Community Services, dated August 30, 2024, be approved.

Approved

9.4 Objection to Heritage Designation, 3625 Cawthra Road (Ward 3) (CONSENT)

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0080-2024

Moved By Councillor D. Damerla

That the property at 3625 Cawthra Road (Ward 3) be designated for its design, historical and associative and contextual value and that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto as outlined in the Corporate Report dated September 24, 2024 from the Commissioner of Community Services.

Approved

9.5 Martha Cameron, Legislative Coordinator regarding the Heritage Advisory Committee Member Appreciation Breakfast (verbal update)

Martha Cameron, Legislative Coordinator provided a verbal update on the Citizen Member appreciation breakfast and its associated cost.

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0081-2024

Moved By M. Wilkinson

That funds in the amount of up to \$350 be allocated from the Council Committees Budget for the costs associated with the Heritage Advisory Committee Member Appreciation Breakfast.

Approved

10. **INFORMATION ITEMS**

There were no Information Items.

11. OTHER BUSINESS

John Dunlop, Manager, Indigenous Relations, Heritage and Museums spoke to the designation of Dixie Presbyterian Church and potential plaque unveiling. Further, J. Dunlop spoke to the two committee meetings in November with the November 26th meeting dedicated to the Streetsville Heritage Conservation District.

12. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

November 12, 2024 at 9:30 AM

13. ADJOURNMENT - 10:20 AM

(Councillor D. Damerla)

Minutes



Heritage Advisory Committee

Date: November 26, 2024

Time: 9:30 AM

Location: Council Chambers, Civic Centre, 2nd Floor

300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, L5B 3C1

and Online Video Conference

Members Present Councillor Brad Butt Ward 11 (Chair)

Councillor Stephen Dasko Ward 1

David Cook Citizen Member (Vice-Chair)

Alexander Hardy
James Holmes
Citizen Member

Members Absent Councillor Dipika Damerla Ward 7

Antoine Musiol Citizen Member

Staff Present

John Dunlop, Manager, Indigenous Relations, Heritage and Museums Paula Wubbenhorst, Heritage Planner, Indigenous Relations, Heritage and Museums Andrew Douglas, Heritage Analyst, Indigenous Relations, Heritage and Museums Martha Cameron, Legislative Coordinator

1. <u>CALL TO ORDER</u>

Councillor Brad Butt, Chair called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. and read the Public Meeting Statement.

2. INDIGENOUS LAND STATEMENT

Councillor Brad Butt, Chair recited the Indigenous Land Statement.

3. <u>APPROVAL OF AGENDA</u>

(T. Ward)

- 4. <u>DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST</u> Nil
- 5. <u>MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING</u> Nil
- 6. DEPUTATIONS

6.1 <u>Vanessa Hicks, Heritage Planner, MHBC Planning Ltd., regarding Streetsville Heritage</u> <u>Conservation District</u>

Vanessa Hicks, Heritage Planner, MHBC Planning Ltd., spoke to the Streetsville Heritage Conservation District Plan and provided an overview outlining the following items:

- purpose of a heritage conservation district
- identification of a heritage district;
- character areas and boundaries
- legislation
- contributing and non-contributing property criteria
- · heritage permits; and
- highlights of key policies and guidelines of the Plan.

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0094-2024

Moved By J. Holmes

That the deputation and presentation by Vanessa Hicks, Heritage Planner, MHBC Planning Ltd., regarding Streetsville Heritage Conservation District, be received.

Received

6.2 <u>Item 9.1 - Rahul Mehta, Resident, Founder, Sustainable Mississauga regarding</u> <u>Streetsville Heritage Conservation District</u>

This item followed 6.3

Rahul Metha, Resident, Founder, Sustainable Mississauga spoke in opposition to the proposed Streetsville Heritage Conservation District and noted concerns with the restrictions and impact on housing.

In response, Councillor Butt spoke to development applications in the area.

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0095-2024

Moved By L. Zita

That the verbal deputation by Rahul Mehta regarding item 9.1 Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11), be received

Received

6.3 <u>Item 9.1 - Josh Reid, Resident regarding Streetsville Heritage Conservation District</u>

Josh Reid, Resident spoke in opposition to the Streetsville Heritage Conservation District Plan designating the whole area as opposed to designating individual properties and provided an interactive presentation outlining contributing and non-contributing properties.

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0096-2024

Moved By T. Ward

That the deputation and presentation by Josh Reid, Resident regarding item 9.1 Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11), be received.

Received

6.4 <u>Item 9.1 - Mark Dunham, Resident regarding Streetsville Heritage Conservation District</u> This item followed item 6.2

Mark Dunham, Resident spoke to the Streetsville Heritage Conservation District Plan and preservation of individual designated heritage properties, planning restrictions and the impact on development.

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0097-2024

Moved By J. Holmes

That the verbal deputation by Mark Dunham regarding item 9.1 Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11), be received.

Received

6.5 <u>Item 9.1 - Kelly Singh, Executive Director, More Homes Mississauga regarding</u> <u>Streetsville Heritage Conservation District</u>

This item was moved up to follow 6.1

Kelly Singh, Executive Director, More Homes Mississauga spoke to the Streetsville Heritage Conservation District Plan and noted the following:

- support of protecting heritage sites but spoke of concerns with respect to the scope of the Plan
- limitations on housing
- timing of the Streetsville Heritage Conservation District Plan
- impact on businesses and residences
- changes required in the Plan to provide certainty and clarity in how rules would be applied.
- adjust Plan to address only heritage designated homes.

In response, Committee Members spoke to the timing of the Streetsville Heritage Conservation District Plan being brought forward.

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0098-2024

Moved By D. Cook

That the verbal deputation by Kelly Singh, Executive Director, More Homes Mississauga regarding item 10.1 Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11), be received.

Received

6.6 <u>Item 9.1 - Lucas Da Costa, Resident regarding Streetsville Heritage Conservation</u> <u>District</u>

Lucas Da Costa, Resident spoke to the effect on future housing developments, businesses and noted various concerns with the Plan.

In response, Jim Holmes, Citizen Member provided an overview of the designation of Meadowvale Village Conservation District.

In response, Dave Cook, Vice Chair, Citizen Member spoke to the percentage of respondents in support of the Streetsville Heritage Conservation District.

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0099-2024

Moved By T. Ward

That the verbal deputation by Lucas DaCosta, Resident regarding item 9.1 Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11), be received.

Received

7. PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD - 15 Minute Limit

Item 9.1 - Kelly Singh, Executive Director, More Homes Mississauga inquired as follows: The last time a Heritage Conservation District was applied was 20 years ago, so why is this Heritage Conservation District being implemented now? Our organization would like

a clear answer on exactly what prompted it at this particular time. In response, John Dunlop, Manager, Indigenous Relations, Heritage and Museums spoke to the history and process of creating the Streetsville Heritage Conversation District.

Item 9.1 - Anthony Hodgson (sp) Resident inquired about what takes precedence, fourplexes and backyard suites or heritage restrictions, and is there a concern that this designation would discourage this kind of development? In response, J. Dunlop spoke to the Heritage Conservation District working in concert with the Fourplex By-law and garden suites.

Item 9.1 - Raj Gill (sp) Resident inquired about the process to demolish a property within the Heritage Conservation District. In response, J. Dunlop spoke to the process of demolishing properties within the Heritage Conservation District.

Item 9.1 - Frank Pape (sp) Resident inquired about changes to heritage listed homes. In response, J. Dunlop noted the process of un-listing homes that fall outside of the boundaries of the heritage conservation district and relisting of properties with applicable heritage provisions.

Item 9.1 - Karen McIlakos (sp)., Representative of owners of commercial properties inquired about the exclusion of buildings from the heritage conservation district and cost of upgrades to properties. In response, J. Dunlop spoke to the boundary of the Heritage Conservation District which was indicated during Phase I and reviewed at a public meeting and noted the process to file an objection through the Ontario Land Tribunal. Councillor B. Butt spoke to the permit process.

Item 9.1 - Zhiaqiang Cao (sp), Resident, inquired about unlisted properties now within the heritage conservation boundary and demolition process. In response, J. Dunlop spoke to the listing of properties along Queen Street on the heritage register and the permit application process.

Item 9.1 - Josh Reid, Resident inquired about the resident participation in the survey. In response, J. Dunlop noted over 300 survey respondents.

8. CONSENT AGENDA

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0100-2024

Moved By D. Cook

That the following items were approved on consent:

- Item 9.1 Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11)
- Item 11.1 Email dated November 14, 2024 from Ash Kamath, Resident regarding Item 9.1 Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11)

- Item 11.2 Correspondence dated November 27, 2024 from Roger Wainwright and Linda Lee, Residents regarding Item 9.1 Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11)
- Item 11.3 Email dated November 14, 2024 from Zhiqiang Cao, Resident regarding Item 9.1 Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11)
- Item 11.4 Correspondence dated November 22, 2024 from Kim Mullin, Wood Bull LLP regarding item 9.1 Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11)

<u>Approved</u>

9. <u>MATTERS CONSIDERED</u>

9.1 <u>Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11) (CONSENT)</u>

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0101-2024

Moved By D. Cook

- That the Corporate Report from the Commissioner of Community Services, dated November 7, 2024, entitled "Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11)", be approved.
- 2. That Council designate the Streetsville Heritage Conservation District, shown on page 2 of the attached Streetsville Heritage Conservation District Plan, under Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- 3. That Council adopt the attached Streetsville Heritage Conservation District Plan; and
- 4. That the proposed Official Plan amendments noted on pages 102 and 103 of the attached Streetsville Heritage Conservation District Plan be considered and included where feasible for Mississauga Official Plan 2051.

Approved

10. INFORMATION ITEMS - Nil

11. CORRESPONDENCE

11.1 <u>An email dated November 14, 2024 from Ash Kamath, Resident regarding Streetsville</u> <u>Heritage Conservation District (CONSENT)</u>

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0102-2024

Moved By D. Cook

That the email dated November 14, 2024 from Ash Kamath, Resident regarding Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11), be received.

Received

11.2 <u>Correspondence dated November 17, 2024 from Roger Wainwright and Linda Lee, Residents regarding Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (CONSENT)</u>

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0103-2024

Moved By D. Cook

That the email dated November 17, 2024 from Roger Wainwright and Linda Lee, Residents regarding Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11), be received.

Received

11.3 <u>An email dated November 14, 2024 from Zhiqiang Cao, Resident regarding Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (CONSENT)</u>

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0104-2024

Moved By D. Cook

That the email dated November 14, 2024 from Zhiqiang Cao, Resident regarding Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11), be received.

Received

11.4 <u>Correspondence dated November 22, 2024 from Kim Mullin, Wood Bull LLP regarding</u> item 9.1 Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (CONSENT)

RECOMMENDATION HAC-0105-2024

Moved By D. Cook

That the correspondence dated November 20, 2024 from Kim Mullin, Wood Bull LLP regarding the Streetsville Heritage Conservation District (Ward 11), be received.

Received

- 12. OTHER BUSINESS Nil
- 13. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

December 3, 2024 at 9:30 AM

14. ADJOURNMENT - 11:30 AM

(D. Cook)

City of Mississauga

Corporate Report



Date: November 5, 2024

To: Chair and Members of Heritage Advisory Committee

From: Jodi Robillos, Commissioner of Community Services

Meeting date:
December 3, 2024

Subject

Request to Alter a Heritage Designated Property: 13 Thomas Street (Ward 11)

Recommendation

That the request to alter the designated heritage property at 13 Thomas Street (Ward 11) as per the Corporate Report dated November 5, 2024 from the Commissioner of Community Services, be approved.

Executive Summary

- The property is designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- Proposal for a sign to be installed in front yard.
- Sign will be installed perpendicular to the road.
- The sign will not negatively impact the heritage character of the property.

Background

Council designated the Thomas Paterson House at the subject property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act (OHA) on October 10, 1978. As per section 33 of the OHA, Council permission is required to alter the property.

Comments

The property owner is looking to install a sign in the front yard of the property. The sign will measure 5 feet tall by 2.5 feet deep and 6 feet long. The sign will be constructed with a brick and concrete with a black cladding overtop the concrete section. The sign will be positioned perpendicular to the road (Appendix 1). The lighting and base for the sign have already been installed (Appendix 2). As this sign will not negatively impact the heritage property, staff are recommending the proposal be approved.

Financial Impact

There is no financial impact resulting from the recommendation in this report.

Conclusion


The owner of 13 Thomas Street has requested to install a sign on the front lawn of the property. The proposal does not impact the heritage character of the property and should therefore be approved.


Attachments


Appendix 1: Site Plan Appendix 2: Image

Jodi Robillos, Commissioner of Community Services

Prepared by: Andrew Douglas, Heritage Analyst, Indigenous Relations, Heritage & Museums







City of Mississauga

Corporate Report



Date: October 31, 2024

To: Chair and Members of Heritage Advisory Committee

From: Jodi Robillos, Commissioner of Community Services

Meeting date:
December 3, 2024

Subject

Request to Alter the Heritage Designated Properties at 84 and 90 High Street East (Ward 1)

Recommendation

That the request to alter the heritage designated properties at 84 and 90 High Street East (Ward 1) be approved, and that staff be directed to give effect thereto, as per the report from the Commissioner of Community Services, dated October 31 2024.

Executive Summary

- 84 and 90 High Street East are designated under the Ontario Heritage Act
- These properties are associated with a proposed 26 storey development on the lands immediately to their north
- The Heritage Advisory Committee reviewed the proposal in April 2023
- Because the heritage designated lots are being reconfigured, a heritage permit is required prior to the pending Rezoning and Official Plan amendment applications being approved
- A subsequent heritage permit application, dealing with physical changes to the properties, including a new back stair at 84 High Street East is forthcoming
- It is recommended that the heritage designation by-laws not be amended until the second heritage permit application to ensure the revision of the heritage attribute list, based on the proposal, is comprehensive

Background

The City designated the subject properties under the Ontario Heritage Act in 2005 (90 High Street East) and 2007 (84 High Street East). In 2022 an application was filed for an Official Plan amendment and Rezoning for the entire block within High, Ann, Park and Hurontario Streets for

a 26-storey mixed use building at the northeast corner. The proposal includes the restoration of the subject heritage houses and a land exchange with the City that, via reference plan, will narrow the parkland along Hurontario Street but add public space around the heritage houses along High Street East. The Heritage Advisory Committee reviewed an application to demolish the heritage listed property at 19 Ann Street in April 2023 to allow for the development. That application included the Heritage Impact Assessment, attached as Appendix 1, which illustrates the proposed new lot lines on page 56.

Comments

As per section 33 of the Ontario Heritage Act, alterations to heritage designated property require a heritage permit. Currently the entire properties at 84 and 90 High Street East are designated under the Ontario Heritage Act.

The properties are proposed to be reduced in size and as such a heritage permit is required. Detail of the proposed changes to the properties, outlining the heritage attributes to be excluded, are attached as appendices 2 and 3.

A subsequent heritage permit for physical alterations to the property, including a new and narrower back exterior stair at 84 High Street East, which, as per the Building Code, can be 900 mm in width, and fencing, is forthcoming. The new lot lines will be reflected in the Official Plan amendment and Rezoning, which is scheduled for Planning and Development Committee in December and so the application to change the lot lines is presented at this time.

It is recommended that the heritage designation by-laws not be updated until the second heritage permit application when there will be a full understanding of which attributes will remain and both schedules (the attribute list and legal description) of both bylaws can be updated. The technical reduction of the contexts of 84 and 90 High Street is considered to have some impact to the overall heritage attributes of the two properties, however when considered in relation to the restoration work being carried out, the increase in public space as well as the overall lack of impact from the overall development the lot reduction is a sympathetic alteration to the properties. As such, the proposal should be approved.

Financial Impact

There is no financial impact to the City resulting from the recommendation of this report.

Conclusion

In order to facilitate the development of the subject block with a substantial mixed used development, the owner of the subject properties proposes to scale down the lot sizes, which will result in a City park setting around the two heritage houses. The developer has committed to restoring the houses, which will be detailed in a subsequent heritage permit application. The


subject application is necessary at this time to allow for the required Official Plan amendment and Rezoning application. As such, it should be approved.

Attachments

Appendix 1: Heritage Impact Assessment Appendix 2: Detail for 84 High Street East Appendix 3: Detail for 90 High Street East

Jodi Robillos, Commissioner of Community Services

Prepared by: P. Wubbenhorst, Heritage Planner



Project # 21-110-01 Prepared by PE / SI / EA / PB

PREPARED FOR:

10 WEST GO GP INC. 141 Lakeshore Rd. East, Mississauga, Ontario, L5G 1E8 416-747-9661 ext. 241

PREPARED BY:

ERA Architects Inc. #600-625 Church St Toronto ON, M4Y 2G1 416-963-4497



CONTENTS

EXEC	CUTIVE S	SUMMARY	IV	
1	INTRODUCTION		1	
	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	Report Scope Site Description and Context Land Use and Zoning Map Site and Context Photographs Heritage Resources	1 2 3 2 18	
2	SITE HISTORY		26	
	2.1 2.2	Historical Context Site History	26 28	
3	CULT	TURAL HERITAGE VALUE ASSESSMENT	34	
4	CONDITION ASSESSMENT		37	
	4.1 4.2 4.3	84 High Street East 90 High Street East 19 Ann Street	37 40 42	
5	HERI	ITAGE POLICY REVIEW	51	
6	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT			
7	CON	CONSERVATION STRATEGY 6		
8	IMPACT ASSESSMENT		62	
	8.1 8.2 8.3	Impact Summary Impact Mitigation Measures Considered Alternatives	62 63 66	
9	CON	CLUSION	68	
10	PROJECT PERSONNEL		69	
11	REFERENCES		70	
12	APPENDICES		71	



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

This Heritage Impact Assessment has been prepared by ERA Architects Inc. ("ERA") for the proposed redevelopment of the properties known municipally as 17 and 19 Ann St, 84 and 90 High St E, and 91 Park St E (the "Site").

The Site is composed of five properties that comprise the entire block bounded by Park St E to the northwest, Hurontario Street to the northeast, High St E to the southeast, and Ann St to the southwest. The Site contains four buildings and a public open space.

Heritage Status

Three properties on the Site are recognized municipal heritage resources:

- 84 High St E (Designated Part IV): Charles Hamilton House 'Avonston', c.1912. By-law 0206-2007.
- 90 High St E (Designated Part IV): W. T. Gray House, c.1909. By-Law 0385-2005.
- 19 Ann St (Listed): Gothic House, c.1870s.

The Site is considered adjacent to the following municipally recognized heritage resources:

• **20 Hurontario St** (Listed): Heary House, c.1912.

Cultural Heritage Value

An evaluation of 19 Ann St found that it does not meet the O. Reg. 9/06 Criteria for Determining Cultural Heritage Value or Interest and is not a candidate for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, or for conservation using the Provincial Policy Statement definition of "conserved".

The properties at 84 and 90 High St E have not been evaluated using O. Reg. 9/06 since they are already designated under Part IV of the OHA. The property at 17 Ann St has not been evaluated as it is not considered a prospective cultural heritage resource.

Proposed Development

The proposed development consists of a full-block redevelopment including the retention of the buildings at 84 and 90 High St E, the construction of a 23-storey tower at the block's west corner, and a land exchange with the City of Mississauga to create two new public parks. It will require the removal of the structures located at 17 and 19 Ann St and the rear porch at 84 High St E (not a heritage attribute).

The tower will be situated above a two-storey podium that will feature retail space along Park St E, and amenity space along Ann St, with the remainder comprising residential units along the south elevation facing 84 High St E.

A 5-metre right-of-way conveyance is proposed along the northeast edge of the block for a possible future widening of Hurontario St as per the City of Mississauga Official Plan. The 5-metre conveyance includes portions of 90 High St E that are identified as attributes in the designation by-law, including mature trees, expansive open lawn, and iron fence.

Conservation Strategy

The properties at 84 and 90 High St E will be rehabilitated as part of the proposed development to allow for compatible contemporary uses while maintaining their heritage value. The house-form building at 84 High St E is proposed to continue its existing use as a 6-unit rental apartment building, while the house-form building at 90 High St E is proposed to be re-adapted for commercial or office uses (to be determined).

The rehabilitation of 84 and 90 High St E is proposed to incorporate a baseline scope of conservation work, and will include removal of the unsympathetic rear porch at 84 High St E, and replacement with a contemporary fire escape structure. It will also include replacement of the non-original steps off the front porch at 84 High St E.



Given its age, a salvage and documentation scope is recommended for the building at 19 Ann St.

Assessment of Impact

The proposed development is not anticipated to have significant negative impacts on the cultural heritage value of the Site.

Design strategies to mitigate the potential impact of the 23-storey building on the cultural heritage value and attributes of 84 and 90 High St E include:

- Siting of the residential tower on the western corner of the property to maintain unobstructed front and side views of the heritage resources from High St E, Ann St, and Hurontario St;
- Landscaping that maintains the open spaces surrounding the heritage resources;
- A 7.5-metre unobstructed buffer between the house at 84 High St E and the new construction;
- A two-storey podium height to match the two-storey building height at 84 High St E, with a stepback to the tower at the third storey (to avoid dominating the house and the urban walkway between the buildings;
- The use of materials that are compatible with but distinct from the heritage resources; in particular, the use of contemporary masonry on the podium conveys a similar solidity but uses a clearly contemporary material so that the building is recognizable as being of its own time:
- Ground-floor activation consistent with the existing pedestrian-oriented urban context;
- A streetwall condition that is pedestrian oriented and enhances interface between the building and the street; and
- Articulation/architectural volumes to break up mass and respond to surrounding context.

It is recommended that the City avoid claiming the 5-metre conveyance for an expansion of Hurontario St. In the event that they do it is recommended to investigate the possibility of relocating the iron fence and existing landscaping within the South Public Park to maintain their relationship with the house at 90 High Street East.

Further it is recommended the City investigate relocating the detached garage so that it continues to sit within the North Public Park lands and maintains a rear condition relationship to the house at 90 High St E. The garage has some architectural and contextual relationship to the house at 90 High St E, and there is potential for it to be adapted for reuse as a City of Mississauga public-park building or utility in a location that maintains its rear relationship to 90 High St E.

Finally, we recommend that the landscape design for the south end of the future North Public Park include a replacement gingko tree, or another nod to Japanese natural heritage and its association with the Arts & Crafts style of the W. T. Gray House at 90 High St, given that the current gingko tree has reached the end of its lifespan and is recommended for removal, per BTI's Arborist report dated August 18th, 2022.

Conclusion

The proposed development involves the rehabilitation of 84 and 90 High St E in-situ, and the construction of a new 23-storey residential building.

This report finds that the proposed development has no significant negative impacts on the cultural heritage value of on-site and adjacent heritage resources.

The proposal is consistent with the policies outlined in Section 5 of this report and contributes a building that responds to the evolving context of the area.



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Report Scope

ERA Architects Inc. ("ERA") has been retained by 10 WEST GO GP INC. (the "Client") to provide a Heritage Impact Assessment ("HIA") for the proposed redevelopment of the properties known municipally as 17 and 19 Ann Street, 84 and 90 High Street East, and 91 Park Street East (the "Site") in Port Credit, Ontario. This report considers the impact of the proposed development on prospective and recognized on-site and adjacent heritage resources.

This report was prepared with reference to the following:

- City of Mississauga Official Plan (2020);
- City of Mississauga Heritage Impact Assessment Terms of Reference (2017);
- Port Credit Local Area Plan (2016);
- Parks Canada Standards and Guidelines (2010);
- Provincial Policy Statement (2020);
- Ontario Regulation 9/06 Criteria for Determining Cultural Heritage Value; and
- Ontario Heritage Toolkit.



1.2 Site Description and Context


The Site is composed of five adjacent properties, known municipally as 17 and 19 Ann Street, 84 and 90 High Street East, and 91 Park Street East. The Site comprises the entire block bounded by Park Street East to the northwest, Hurontario Street to the northeast, High Street East to the southeast, and Ann Street to the southwest. The Site is located in downtown Port Credit, one block north of Lakeshore Road East and one block south of the Port Credit Go Train Station.

The Site contains four buildings and a public open space:

- 17 Ann Street: A single-detached bungalow constructed in the 1950s. It is currently occupied with a single residential unit.
- 19 Ann Street: A one-and-a-half storey wooden vernacular Gothic-style structure constructed between 1873 and 1891. It is currently occupied with two commercial office units.
- 90 High Street East: A two-and-a-half storey Credit Valley stone, stucco and wood residence constructed in 1909. It is set back from High Street East on a large landscaped lot with mature trees, two bay garage and parking lot. The property is enclosed by a decorative steel fence with stone gateposts along High Street East and Hurontario. It is currently occupied with a single residential unit.
- 84 High Street East: A two-and-a-half storey coursed rubble and dressed beige stucco and shake residence constructed in 1912. It is set back from High Street East on a large landscaped lot with a curvilinear pathway leading to the front entrance from High Street East. It currently contains six residential apartment units.
- 91 Park Street East: A public park, formerly the site of the Port Credit Lawn Bowling Club, prior to being purchased by the City of Mississauga in 1991.



1.3 Land Use and Zoning Map



Aerial image showing the Site outlined in white (Bing Maps, 2021; annotated by ERA).



1.4 Site and Context Photographs



Looking northwest along Ann Street toward the Site (ERA, 2021).



Looking north across the intersection of Ann Street and High Street East towards the Site (ERA, 2021).





Looking northwest along Hurontario Street towards the Site (ERA, 2021).



Looking south across from the intersection of Hurontario Street and Park Street East towards the Site (ERA, 2021).





Looking northeast along Park Street East towards the Site (ERA, 2021).



Looking southeast along Ann Street towards the Site (ERA, 2021).





South elevation of 84 High Street East (ERA, 2021).



North and west elevations of 84 High Street East (ERA, 2021).





South and east elevations of 90 High Street East (ERA, 2021).



East elevation of 90 High Street East. Note steel fencing and detached two-car garage (ERA, 2021).





North and west elevations of 90 High Street East (ERA, 2021).



West and south elevations of 90 High Street East (ERA, 2021).





South elevation of 90 High Street East. Note stone gate posts and steel gate and fencing (ERA, 2021).



91 Park Street East from Hurontario Street (ERA, 2021).



91 Park Street East looking south (ERA, 2021).





North elevation of 19 Ann Street (ERA, 2021).



North and west elevations of 19 Ann Street (ERA, 2021).





Looking southeast from the Site across High Street East (ERA, 2021).



Looking southeast from the Site across High Street East (ERA, 2021).






Looking southeast from the Site across the intersection of High Street East and Ann Street (ERA, 2021).




Looking southwest from the Site across the intersection of Ann Street and Park Street East (ERA, 2021).





Looking west from the Site across the intersection of Ann Street and Park Street East (ERA, 2021).



Looking northwest from the Site across Park Street East (ERA, 2021).





Looking north from the Site across the intersection of Park Street East and Hurontario Street (ERA, 2021).



Looking northeast from the Site across Hurontario Street (ERA, 2021).





Looking southeast from the Site across the intersection of Hurontario Street and High Street East (ERA, 2021).



Looking Southwest across Hurontario Street (ERA, 2021).



1.5 Heritage Resources

1.5.1 Heritage Status

On-Site Heritage Resources

Three properties on the Site are recognized municipal heritage resources:

- 84 High Street East (Designated Part IV): Charles Hamilton House 'Avonston', 1912. Designation By-law 0206-2007 (See Appendix I) passed by City Council on May 23, 2007.
- 90 High Street East (Designated Part IV): W. T. Gray House, 1909. Designation By-Law 0385-2005 (See Appendix II) passed by City Council on October 12, 2005.
- 19 Ann Street (Listed): Gothic House, 1870s. Inventory No. 195. Reason: Architectural.

19 Ann Street is described on the Mississauga Heritage Register as a one-and-a-half storey wood-clad Gothic-style house. Built in the 1870s, it features an asymmetrical three bay facade and gabled dormer with wide lancet window (see listing description in Appendix III).

Adjacent Heritage Resources

The Site is considered adjacent to the following municipally recognized heritage resources:

• **20 Hurontario Street** (Listed): Heary House, 1912. Inventory No. 501. Reason: Architectural.

20 Hurontario Street is described on the Mississauga Heritage Register as an early 20th century bungalow style house, built in 1912. It is valued for its high degree of historic integrity and its relationship with adjacent properties including 84 and 90 High Street East, which together define the entry to Port Credit (see Listing Description in Appendix IV).



84 High Street East (ERA, 2021).



90 High Street East (ERA, 2021).




19 Ann Street (ERA, 2021).




20 Hurontario Street (ERA, 2021).





(Bing Maps, 2021; annotated by ERA).





Site survey (J.D. Barnes, 2020; annotated by ERA).



1.5.2 Statements of Significance

84 High Street East

Description of Property

The Charles Hamilton House is a two and-a-half storey dwelling, located on the north side of High Street East, Port Credit.

Statement of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest

The Charles Hamilton House's cultural heritage value lies in it being one of few remaining Bungalow style dwellings of its scale in Mississauga and the only known surviving structure designed by Chadwick and Beckett in Mississauga. Typical of the Bungalow style, it features a broad, gently pitched roof, deep overhanging eaves, an extensive porch and a variety of building materials. Lawrence Park architects Chadwick and Beckett designed the house, which was likely built in 1912.

The Charles Hamilton House's cultural heritage value also lies in its association with Charles Hamilton, Port Credit's Post Master from 1894 to 1921. Hamilton was a central figure and community leader in Port Credit. He ran Port Credit's leading general store, served as Councillor from 1926 to 1927, was instrumental to the success of the Port Credit Band, served as warden and board member of Port Credit's Trinity Anglican Church, and was involved in the public library and local service lodge.

The Charles Hamilton House's cultural heritage value also lies in its association with Port Credit's history as a tourist destination. Hamilton used his house as a tourist home (bed 'n breakfast).

The Charles Hamilton House is also of cultural heritage value because it is a Port Credit landmark that is critical to defining the character of the immediate area. The house is visible from a main and historic thoroughfare of not only Port Credit but Mississauga as well, Hurontario Street. Central amongst other early twentieth century single family dwellings, the structure is critical to defining the historic character of the area. Moreover, it is the companion piece to the W.T. Gray House, its only neighbour on the north side of High Street between Ann Street and Hurontario Street. The Hamilton House complements the W.T. Gray House, at 90 High Street East, in style. According to the Gray family, this was Hamilton's intention. The Hamilton House is physically, functionally and historically linked to Port Credit by its location, proximity to the core, as the residence of one of its foremost citizens and downtown merchants, and as part of its tourist history.



Description of Heritage Attributes

Key attributes of the Charles Hamilton House that reflect its value as a Bungalow style dwelling designed by Chadwick and Beckett:

- the footprint of the house as it relates to the property at large
- its mature trees, including the mature spruce in its full shape
- the entire structure in and of itself, including all of its conglomerate parts
- its broad, gently pitched roof that covers the porch and extends beyond the house walls
- the proportions and exact form of the roof and gables
- the shingled gables
- its deep overhanging eaves
- the exposed purlins under the eaves, including their shape and form
- its extensive front porch
- all exposed natural Credit Valley stone with its aged patina, including ground floor, porch walls, porch columns and chimney
- the arches that span the space between the porch columns
- the stone ledge that caps the buttresses and porch walls
- the voussoirs and keystone above the openings
- the stuccoed upper walls
- the arrangement and form of the Tudor half timbering
- the shed dormers and their shape and form
- the varying size of the windows proportionate to the structure
- the wooden window surrounds
- the stone plinths below the windows
- the large three-flue stone chimney on the east side
- the wide stairway that accesses the front porch

Key attributes of the Charles Hamilton House that reflect its association with Charles Hamilton:

- its location in Port Credit, near to the village core in which he was active
- the extensive front and side lawn on which his funeral was held

Key attributes of the Charles Hamilton House that reflect its association with Port Credit tourism:



• its location in Port Credit and its proximity to Lakeshore Road and Hurontario, both historic thoroughfares

Key attributes of the Charles Hamilton House that reflect its contextual value:

- its location on High Street, in the midst of a historical neighbourhood and occupying the west half of the north side of the High Street block between Ann Street and Hurontario Street
- its scale and massing that are compatible with the other structures in the neighbourhood
- its full visibility from Hurontario Street
- its original massing and layout on the lot that reflects the historic pattern of the neighbourhood

90 High Street East

The property known as 90 High Street East is recommended for heritage designation under the terms and conditions of Part IV, the Ontario Heritage Act, for reasons of its cultural heritage value and interests of historical, architectural and contextual significance.

In 1908, William Thomas Gray acquired the subject property for \$650 from Charles G. Hamilton, and built the current house in 1909. W. T. Gray and Louise Marie Elliot, just married, moved into the house in the same year. Located at the comer of High Street East and Hurontario Street, it is only steps away from the former St. Lawrence Starch Works. W. T. Gray became president of the company in 1938, remaining so until 1965. He passed away in 1975 in his ninety-fifth year and ownership of the property was passed on to his sons James A. D. Gray and John C. Gray. W. T. Gray's father, John Gray, was one of the founding partners of the St. Lawrence Starch Works, which was incorporated in 1889. The Gray family name is synonymous with the early economic development and success of Port Credit

The W. T. Gray residence, built in 1909, was designed as a Colonial variation of the traditional Bungalow Style, with some Tudor Revival influences of half-timbering. The two-and-a-half storey, three bay structure has exterior finishes in coursed Credit Valley stone on the ground floor, second floor, and chimneys, stucco and half timbering on the second floor gable ends and shingles that presumably were originally wood.

The main entrance is located at the centre of the south facade. The main door and sidelights appear original to the structure, but the adjacent French doors placed on either side of the entrance have been replaced in recent years. The south facade dormer fenestration includes original



paired twelve pane casement windows on either side of the shed dormer balcony. Within the balcony, there are multi-paned glass windows and a door. The west facade has original double nine paned casement windows, and a first storey projecting bay with four casement windows side-byside, each with nine panes. The east facade has paired nine pane and four pane casement windows of various sizes. The rear facade, which faces north, has several small multi-paned windows along the main floor and basement. There are two doors, one located at the centre and another on the west facade of the small, one storey projecting wing. The upper storey windows are of various shapes and sizes, located within the shed dormer, including the three twelve paned casement windows.

Structural and stylistic details that contribute to its high architectural quality include the rear and front shed dormers, large overhang roof supported by large pillars, large veranda, central main entrance, and use of various 'rustic' materials. The expanse of exterior space around the building helps to relate the building with its natural surroundings. Another important characteristic is the rather modest appearing front elevation, while side elevations reveal two-and-a-half stories of living space. Elements of Colonial-Revival are demonstrated through the four large Tuscan columns, the Tudor inspired half-timbering located on the gable ends, stone base and windows that are varied in size. The Bungalow Style was popular through the first half of the twentieth century, arriving in Canada circa 1910. This is a particularly fine example of the Colonial variation and should be acknowledged as one of the earlier examples.

The W. T. Gray House fronts onto High Street East, located just off Hurontario Street, one block north of Lakeshore Road East, within the centre of port Credit. The property is predominately displayed on an open corner lot and its proximity to Hurontario Street makes it a significant cultural landscape and within the immediate context of early twentieth century residential structures. The relationship between 84 and 90 High Street provides a unifying scale and adds to the architectural quality of each, but also to their historical association and context within Port Credit as recognized landmarks. Although the structure has been used in the past for both commercial and residential uses, the original residential character has remained intact and the Bungalow Stylistic qualities of the building have been preserved.

The subject property, located at the northwest corner of Hurontario Street and High Street is highly visible. The building rests on a relatively large open lot consisting of mature trees, including an uncommon Gingko tree, and other vegetation. An expansive open lawn on the front



yard is also open to the Hurontario Street side of this corner lot. An iron fence, which compliments the character of the house and streetscape, is located on the eastern and southern edges of the property. This open vista from the street to the house enhances the visual character of the building in its setting.



2 SITE HISTORY

2.1 Historical Context

Indigenous Territory & Colonial Context

This site history was prepared from a non-Indigenous perspective, based on written and archaeological records. It does not reflect or represent the full rich history of Indigenous peoples in this region.

The Site forms part of the traditional territory of diverse Indigenous Nations including the Mississaugas of the Credit, Wendat, Haudenosaunee, and Anishinaabe. Beginning in the early 1700s, the area around the Etobicoke Creek, Credit River, and Burlington Bay was settled by the Mississaugas of the Credit, who until the late 1600s had inhabited territory north of Lake Huron. The name Mississauga is an Ojibway (Anishinaabe) word meaning "River of the North of Many Mouths".

Following the establishment of a French colonial trading post at the western end of Lake Ontario in the 1720s, the Mississaugas regularly engaged in the regional fur trade.

"A practice soon developed by which French, and later English fur traders would extend credit to the Mississaugas at a particular river location. As a result, this river became known as the Credit River. By extension, the Mississaugas established in the region became known to Europeans as the Mississaugas of the Credit." (Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation)


The Mississauga Purchase Treaties

On August 2, 1805, near the mouth of the Credit River, the Mississaugas signed Provisional Treaty 13A, surrendering 70,784 acres of land to the British Crown. Encompassing the area from Etobicoke Creek to Burlington Bay to 6 miles from the shoreline, the treaty became known as the "Mississauga Purchase" or the "First Purchase". As part of the negotiations, Treaty 13A set aside a one-mile strip of land on either side of the Credit River, from Lake Ontario to the base line (Eglinton Avenue), for the Mississaugas which would come to be known as the Credit Indian Reserve (CIR).

In 1806, provisional Treaty 13A was ratified with the signing of Treaty 14, known as the "Head of the Lake Purchase". These newly ceded lands were surveyed as Toronto Township. On October 28th, 1818, the Mississaugas signed Treaty 19. Referred to as the Second Purchase, a



Section of 1688 map of lake Ontario showing the location of the Site (University of Toronto, annotated by ERA).




1806 map section of Treaty 14, showing the boundaries of the Credit Indian Reserve along the Credit River. The location of the Site is indicated with in blue (Chamberlain, 1891; annotated by ERA).



1806 Doodems of the Mississauga chiefs who signed Treaty 14 (Chamberlain, 1891).



further 600,000 acres of land were surrendered to the British Crown, including much of the current Region of Peel. These lands would form the townships of Toronto, Chinguacousy, Caledon, Albion and Toronto Gore. The signing of Treaties 22 and 23 (the Credit Treaties) in 1820, saw the Mississauga's surrender all of the CIR lands, save for 200 acres to the east of the Credit River and north of the Site



1849 map of the Credit River Indian Reserve and Port Credit Village. The Site is indicated with a blue arrow (Peel Gallery, Museum and Archives).

Port Credit

In 1834, the colonial administration in Upper Canada chartered the Credit Harbour Company to construct a harbour at the mouth of the Credit River. In 1835, the administration followed the harbour construction with the survey of a new village, Port Credit, on the west bank of the mouth of the Credit River. In 1846, the lands on the east bank of the Credit River were surveyed as the Plan of the Extension of the Town Plot of Port Credit. This extension was bounded by Queen Street to the north, Huron Street (today's Hurontario Street) to the east, Lake Ontario to the south, and the Credit River to the West.





1846 Survey Plan for the extension of Port Credit with the Site outlined in red (Heritage Mississauga, annotated by ERA).

2.2 Site History


2.2.1 Block History

The Site is comprised of Lot 1 Park Street South Side and Lot 1 High Street North Side which were established by the Plan of Extension in the Town Plot of Port Credit in 1846.

In 1865, both Lots were acquired by the Bank of Upper Canada from Frederick W. Jarvis who was the Sheriff of York and Peel County. As part of his duties Jarvis was responsible for collecting money owed to the Bank of Upper Canada, suggesting that the property may have been repossessed from an earlier owner.

On September 6, 1869, both lots were purchased by John B. Crickmore. Crickmore subsequently sold the properties to William B. Hunter on September 20, 1869 who sold them the same day to the Peel General Manufacturing Company for 14,000 shares in the company.

Between 1869-1873, according to land-transfer records, James Hamilton appears to have acquired both blocks, although there is no record of him being granted title to the property. As a businessman and



1850 Map of Port Credit with the Site outlined in red (Heritage Property Index, annotated by ERA).



postmaster, James Hamilton was a prominent member of the community. In the 1871 Census, he is recorded as owning 292 acres of land, 14 town building lots, five dwellings, one warehouse / commercial building, and six barns / stables.

Lot 1 Park Street South Side (North Half of the Block)

In 1873, the north half of the block was purchased from James Hamilton by Catherine A. Ford for 140 dollars. The relative purchase price suggests that there was not yet any residential building on the property at the time of sale.

In October 1879, she married John Henry Hill, a sailor. In 1885, Catherine Ford Hill sold the full Lot 1 Park Street South Side to a John Ford, likely her father, who immediately sold back to her the westerly 66-ft frontage of Lot 1 on Park Street, corresponding to the northwest corner of the full block.

In the 1891 census, Catherine Ford Hill, John Henry Hill and their four children are recorded as living in a two-storey, seven-room wood-frame house, which is comparable in scale, height and materials, and consistent with the era, of the existing building at 19 Ann Street. Given John Henry Hill's income as a sailor, it is unlikely that he would have owned more than one house, and so it is estimated that the house referenced in the census is the house on Site.

The research thus suggests that the house at 19 Ann Street was constructed during the 18-year window between 1873-1891, under the Ford-Hill family's tenure.

After Catherine Ford Hill's death in May 1898, her husband remained on Site, re-purchasing the east half of Lot 1 Park Street South Side from John Ford in 1901.

The 1910 Fire Insurance Plan for Port Credit shows a one-and-a-half storey home with one storey rear wing on the northwest corner of Lot 1 Park Street South Side, near the intersection of Ann Street and Park Street East.


In 1912, John Henry Hill sold the full Lot 1 Park Street South Side to a John E. Hall, for \$3,400. Born in England,

19 Ann St: Investigations & Analysis

Within Mississauga's historical record, there is some conjecture that the building at 19 Ann St may have been moved at some point to the property. This may have been in part due to an archival resource that mislabelled another residence as the house at 19 Ann St.

ERA conducted supplementary investigations into the property, including census reviews, two interior site visits, and consultation with members of the Mississauga Heritage Advisory Committee. The interior site visits were conducted by a materials conservation specialist, and included specific review of structural elements in the basement.

The review showed that the exterior of the basement wall was constructed of rubble stone with cement parging, with the interior constructed of cement block in front of a stone rubble wall. There is also a significant variation in the thickness of the basement walls. Together, this suggests an earlier foundation that was altered or reinforced at a later date. There is no evidence to suggest that the building was not constructed on Site.



1910 fire insurance plan showing the Site outlined in red and the westerly 66-ft frontage lot shaded blue (Peel Art Gallery, Museum and Archives, annotated by ERA).



John E. Hall was listed in the 1911 Census as a broker, and his 1942 death certificate lists his profession as "real estate".

Two years later, in 1914, Hall established the Port Credit Lawn Bowling Club on the east half of Lot 1 Park Street South Side. On May 22, 1920, *The Globe* noted that John E. Hall was the president and director. of the Port Credit Lawn Bowling Club. The article went on to state

"A new clubhouse is being erected, and the club is looking forward to a very active season. The ladies are talking of organizing a ladies' club."

In 1949, the Port Credit Lawn Bowling Club purchased the easterly portion of Lot 1 Park Street South Side from the Estate of John E. Hall. In 1994, after 90 years on site, the Club moved to its current location at 1375 Cawthra Road.

Meanwhile, in 1920, John E. Hall sold the westerly 66-ft frontage (the northwest corner of the block) to Malcolm Thomson and his wife. Malcolm, along with his brothers Robert, William and Stanley, ran the Jon Thomson & Sons Lumber Company, a lumber, coal and ice-supply company founded by their father in 1895. John Thomson & Sons was a major operation and local employer, located immediately across Park Street East from the Site. The company continued to operate there until the 1960s, and in 1976 the land was expropriated by the Toronto Area Transportation Authority, and continues to serve today as a parking lot for the Port Credit GO Station.

The residence at 19 Ann Street was likely convenient housing for Malcolm Thomson due to its proximity to his work, but he owned the property only until 1927, when it was sold to Robert H. Woods (listed in the 1921 Census as a labourer at the St. Lawrence Starch Company). Other than Malcolm Thomson's seven-year tenure, there is no evidence that 19 Ann Street was associated with John Thomson & Sons as a major local employer, e.g. as workers' housing. No past or future residents (between 1895-1976) are recorded as having been employed there.

The westerly 66-ft frontage was held by Robert and Eliza Woods until 1955, when they subdivided the lot into the current properties of 17 and 19 Ann Street, and sold both new lots to mechanic Hernicus Vandevalk and his wife Johanna. The existing house at 17 Ann Street was constructed shortly afterward.

Two years later, in 1957, 19 Ann Street was purchased by George and Josephine Pearson, who owned the property for 20 years until 1977, when they sold to Doreen Hetherington. The following year, in 1978,




building permits were issued for interior alterations at 19 Ann Street, and the conversion of a portion of the structure for use as a dance studio.

In 1983, 556455 Ontario Inc and 556456 Ontario Inc purchased the property. In 1998, a building permit was issued for the construction of an enclosed verandah/porch.

Lot 1 High Street North Side (South Half of the Block)

The south half of the block, Lot 1 High Street North Side, was owned by James Hamilton from an unknown date until the turn of the 20th century, when his son Charles Hamilton is recorded as having obtained full ownership of the lot. There is no purchase date, however a Quit Claim to title by his brother Frederick suggests that the property was inherited from their father.

In 1906, Charles Hamilton severed the easterly portion of the lot and sold it to William Thomas Gray who constructed the current residence at 90 High Street East in 1909. The 1910 Fire Insurance Plan for Port Credit shows the W.T. Gray residence. In 1912, Charles Hamilton constructed 84 High Street East on the westerly portion of Lot 1 High Street North Side.



1935 aerial southeast-facing photograph with the Site outlined in pink. The house and garage at 90 High Street is the only portion of the Site that's visible (Heritage Mississauga, annotated by ERA).













Undated photographs of 90 High St E, clockwise from top: (1) looking northwestward; (2) looking southward across High Street, showing historic landscape condition between 84 and 90 High Street; (3) looking northeastward; (4) looking northeastward from lawn; (5) looking northeastward across High Street (FRAM + Slokker).



2.2.2 Chain of Ownership: 19 Ann Street

- 1865-1869 Bank of Upper Canada.
- 1869 (September 6-20) John B. Crickmore.
- 1869-Unknown Peel General Manufacturing Company.
- Unknown-1873 James Hamilton and wife.
- 1873-1885 Catherine A. Ford.
- 1885-1912 Catherine A. (Ford) Hill.
- 1912-1920 John E. Hall.
- 1920-1927 Malcolm B. Thomson and wife.
- 1927-1955 Robert H. Woods and wife.
- 1955-1957 Hernicus and Johanna Van de Valk.
- 1957-1977 George and Josephine Pearson.
- 1977-1983 Doreen Hetherington.
- 1983-Unknown 556455 Ontario Ltd. and 556456 Ontario Ltd.

A chain of ownership has not been provided for 84 High Street and 90 High Street because a comprehensive site history is already included in their respective designation by-laws.

A chain of ownership has not been provided for 17 Ann Street because it is not considered a prospective cultural heritage resource.



3 CULTURAL HERITAGE VALUE ASSESSMENT

19 Ann Street has been evaluated against the Ontario Regulation ("O. Reg.") 9/06 Criteria for Determining Cultural Heritage Value or Interest under the *Ontario Heritage Act* (the "OHA").

O. Reg. 9/06 was developed for the purpose of identifying and evaluating the cultural heritage value or interest of a property proposed for protection under section 29 of the OHA. The purpose of the criteria is to provide a consistent approach in the evaluation of heritage properties.

O. Reg. 9/06 states that a property \underline{may} be designated under section 29 of the Act if it meets one or more of the following criteria for determining whether it is of cultural heritage value or interest.

The properties at 84 and 90 High Street have not been evaluated using O. Reg. 9/06 since they are already designated under Part IV of the OHA. The property at 17 Ann Street has not been evaluated as it is not considered a prospective cultural heritage resource.



Value (quoted from Ontario Reg. 9/06)	Assessment of 19 Ann Street
The property has design value or physical value because it, i. is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method, ii. displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic	i. 19 Ann Street is a modest example of a Gothic Revival cottage that has undergone exterior and interior alterations. It is not a rare, unique or exceptionally representative example of a Gothic Revival cottage.
	ii. The remaining interior and exterior features do not display a high degree of craftsmanship of artistic merit.
merit, or iii. demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement.	iii. As a modest vernacular 19th century structure it does not demonstrate a high degree of technical of scientific achievement.
The property has historical value or associative value because it, i. has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community, ii. yields, or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture, or iii. demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community.	i. Based on the research conducted to date, the property is not associated with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community. While the house was owned by Malcolm Thomson of the Thomson Lumber Company for seven years, the building does not exemplify this association, and it not considered to meet any threshold for conservation on this basis. ii. The property does not yield, nor does it have the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of community or culture. iii. The architect and builder of the property is unknown.
The property has contextual value because it, i. is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area, ii. is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings, or iii. is a landmark.	i. 19 Ann Street is not important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of the surrounding area. As an evolving context, the surrounding area does not possess a combination of physical elements that provide a distinctive sense of identity that 19 Ann Street might define, maintain or support. ii. Although the property was developed in the 1870s, it does not demonstrate a physical, functional, visual or historical relationship to its surroundings. iii. 19 Ann Street is not considered a landmark.



Recommendation

It is ERA's opinion that 19 Ann Street has minimal cultural heritage value and is not an appropriate candidate for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, nor for retention, or conservation using the Provincial Policy Statement definition of "conserved".

The property contains a modest Gothic Revival style cottage constructed between 1873 and 1891 that has been modified. It is not an early, rare, unique or representative example of an Ontario Gothic Revival cottage. While constructed in the last quarter of the 19th century, our research has not yielded any information to suggest the property possesses historical and associative value that is important to, or contributes to an understanding of, a community. The property does not have contextual value as it is located within an evolving context that does not possess the combination of physical elements that provide a distinctive sense of identity.



4 CONDITION ASSESSMENT

A review of the exterior building conditions for 84 and 90 High Street East, and 19 Ann Street in Port Credit was carried out from grade in September of 2021. Architectural features such as the visible exterior wood cladding and trim details, exterior masonry and rough-cast stucco, windows and doors, roof details, and flashings and rainwater management systems were reviewed. The interior spaces along with the structural, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems and elements were not included in the review.

4.1 84 High Street East

Overall, the exterior primary building elements for 84 High Street appeared to be in fair to good condition in most locations, with certain building features such as selective wood details approaching fair or poor condition in some locations.

- The exterior building elevations are primarily composed of random uncoursed ashlar masonry with lime-based mortar joints on the lower floor with rough-cast painted stucco and Tudor half-timbering details on the upper floors. Wood shingles cover the gable ends on the top floor and wood details are used to trim around the doors and windows in most locations. Exposed rafter tails are found under the eave lines around the building perimeter. There is a recent wood secondary stair and landing addition at the back of the building that mimics the historical details found elsewhere on the building.
- The ground floor masonry details around the building perimeter appear to be in fair to good condition in most locations, with isolated locations of step cracks, open mortar joints, and insensitive past repointing between the stone units (see Fig. 1).
- There are isolated locations of cracked or deteriorated castconcrete details such as window sills or stone wall copings (see Fig. 2).
- The rough cast stucco on the upper floors appears to be generally in good condition in most locations, with isolated areas of cracking or poor past repairs using an inappropriate cement-based patching compound (see Fig. 3).

DEFINITION OF TERMS

The building components were graded using the following assessment criteria:

Excellent: Superior aging performance. Functioning as intended; no deterioration observed.

Good: Normal Result. Functioning as intended; normal deterioration observed.

Fair: Functioning as intended; Normal deterioration and minor distress observed.

Poor: Not functioning as intended; significant deterioration and distress observed.

Defective: Not functioning as intended; significant deterioration and major distress observed, possible damage to support structure; may present a risk.



- Wood details around the building perimeter appear to be generally in fair condition, with some wood elements in poor condition. Exposed wood eave details and rafter tails appear to be in good condition in most locations, as well as the main front porch and landing wood ceiling and perimeter trim details. There is wood rot present in some locations on the second-floor east sunporch projection (see Fig. 4).
- Wood trim details around the windows and doors, as well as the applied wood half timbering details appeared to be in fair to good condition. There are isolated locations of missing or animal damaged perimeter wood window casings or trim (see Fig. 5).
- The wood shingles in the gable end walls are at the end of their serviceable lifespan. The wood gable end eave-line details have been damaged due to animal intrusion (see Fig. 6).
- All the windows have been replaced with what appear to be modern metal inserts. They're currently in good condition.
- The asphalt shingles on all the roof surfaces and associated perimeter prepainted metal flashings appear to be intact and in serviceable condition in most locations. The gutters and downspouts are continuous and functioning as intended in most locations, although there are isolated locations where the gutters are rusted or damaged and downspouts have become detached. (see Fig. 7).









Fig. 1 (ERA, 2021).

Fig. 2 (ERA, 2021).

Fig. 3 (ERA, 2021).








Fig. 5 (ERA, 2021).







Fig. 7 (ERA, 2021).



4.2 90 High Street East

Overall, the exterior primary building elements for 90 High Street appeared to be in fair condition in most areas, with certain building features such as stucco details and roof cladding currently in poor condition.

- The exterior building elevations are primarily composed of small-scale uncoursed ashlar masonry on the lower floor and chimneys, possibly applied as a veneer over an internal structural wood frame. Smooth painted stucco and Tudor half-timbering details are located in the gable ends on the upper floors, and stucco was used for the front porch soffit details and to clad the oversized Tuscan-style porch columns. Wood shingles were applied to the fronts and cheeks of the upper storey shed dormers on the north and south elevations. Wood details are used throughout the building for features such as the trim around the doors and windows, the faux beams on the front porch ceiling and gable brackets.
- The ground floor and chimney masonry details appear to be in fair to good condition in most locations, with isolated locations of step cracks and open mortar joints between the small stone units. The stone window sills appeared to be in good condition (see Fig. 8).
- The smooth painted stucco in the east and west upper floor gable ends appears to be in generally good condition with no visible cracks or delamination. However, the paint on the stucco is peeling badly (see Fig. 9).
- The stucco used for the ceiling of the front porch is in defective condition, and is delaminating from the ceiling areas (see Fig. 10).
- Wood details around the building perimeter are in fair to poor condition in many locations. Wood trim details around the windows and doors, as well as the applied wood half timbering details appear to be in fair condition. Some of the upper storey wood window sills are rotten. The applied faux ceiling beams in the front porch area and the gable end brackets are badly rotten (see Fig. 11 and 12).
- The wood shingles on the upper storey shed dormers are approaching the end of their serviceable lifespan.
- The wood windows are in fair condition, and at least one has a broken window pane.
- The asphalt shingles and associated perimeter flashings on the roof are in poor condition. The prepainted metal gutters and downspouts are also in poor condition around the building perimeter (see Fig. 13).





Fig. 8 (ERA, 2021).



Fig. 9 (ERA, 2021).



Fig. 10 (ERA, 2021).



Fig. 11 (ERA, 2021).



Fig. 12 (ERA, 2021).



Fig. 13 (ERA, 2021).



4.3 19 Ann Street

Overall, the primary building elements for 19 Ann Street appeared to be in fair to good condition in most locations, with certain building features such as selective wood details or windows approaching a poor state of condition in selective locations.

- The Dutch lap tongue and groove wood siding appeared to be in fair to good condition in most locations with isolated locations of rot in some siding boards, primarily near grade where frequently subjected to water saturation. The paint on the siding is peeling and flaking in many locations leaving the wood exposed and subject to potential deterioration (see Fig.
- The paint on the front porch deck boards is also peeling in many locations, although the underlying wood appeared to be generally in fair condition.
- The rear porch is unpainted and more exposed to the elements than the front porch. There is some rotten wood evident in the porch landing, steps and support structure.
- The exterior doors and windows appeared to be generally in fair to good condition in most locations, although at least one upper storey window is nearing poor condition. Most of the windows are covered with exterior wood storm windows, which currently require stripping and repainting (see Fig. 15)
- The gutters, downspouts and associated metal flashings appeared to be intact and functioning as intended. There is a broken clay drain tile near the northeast corner which requires replacement. (see Fig. 16)
- The asphalt roof shingles appeared to be in good condition in most locations.
- The foundations are composed of rubble stone covered with a cementitious parging material. The foundations appeared to be in fair condition in most locations, although there is damaged and delaminated parging at the northeast corner of the building.



Fig. 14 (ERA, 2021).



Fig. 15 (ERA, 2021).



Fig. 16 (ERA, 2021).



Interior Documentation (Photographs)



First floor hallway looking east (ERA, 2021).



First floor hallway wood-panelled door and trim (ERA, 2021).



First floor entrance (ERA, 2021).



Staircase from second floor (ERA, 2021).



Staircase from first floor (ERA, 2022).



First floor kitchenette window (ERA, 2022).





First floor principal room (ERA, 2022).




First floor fireplace and wooden plate rail (ERA, 2021).



First floor bay-window, wood trim and plate rail (ERA, 2021).



Detail of bay-window (ERA, 2022).



Second floor hallway looking north (ERA, 2021).



Second floor wood trim (ERA, 2021).



Second floor wooden four-panel door (ERA, 2021)



Second floor baseboard (ERA, 2021).





East elevation second storey window and trim (ERA, 2021).



North elevation second-storey lancet window (ERA, 2022).



Detail of east elevation second storey window and trim showing cut sash cord (ERA, 2021).



Detail of north elevation second storey window showing sash lock (ERA, 2021).



Exposed rubble foundation wall (ERA, 2022).



Foundation wall (ERA, 2022).





Foundation wall, not thinness compared to previous image (ERA, 2022).



Top of foundation wall (ERA, 2022).



Underside of main staircase from basement stairs (ERA, 2022).





Attic looking east (ERA, 2022).



Attic detail of east chimney (ERA, 2022).



Attic detail of wooden cladding (ERA, 2022).



5 HERITAGE POLICY REVIEW

This report was prepared with reference to the following:

- Provincial Policy Statement, 2020;
- A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horse-shoe, 2019;
- The Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990;
- City of Mississauga Official Plan, 2021;
- Port Credit Local Area Plan;
- Parks Canada Standards and Guidelines, 2010; and
- Ontario Heritage Tool Kit.

Provincial Policy Statement, 2020

The Provincial Policy Statement (the "PPS") directs land use planning in Ontario and identifies the importance of balancing growth demands with the conservation of significant *built heritage resources* and cultural heritage landscapes:

2.6.1 Significant built heritage resources and significant cultural heritage landscapes shall be conserved.

2.6.3 Planning authorities shall not permit development and site alteration on adjacent lands to protected heritage property except where the proposed development and site alteration has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that the heritage attributes of the protected heritage property will be conserved.

A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, 2019

A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (the "Growth Plan") supports the development of prosperous and complete communities across the Greater Golden Horseshoe Region. This approach includes the recognition and conservation of cultural heritage resources and identifies the importance of built heritage and cultural landscapes to local identity, the tourist sector and the investment potential of communities.

Section 4.2.7 of the Growth Plan directs the following:

1. Cultural heritage resources will be conserved in order to foster a sense of place and benefit communities, particularly in strategic growth areas.

Built heritage resource: a building, structure, monument, installation or any manufactured or constructed part or remnant that contributes to a property's cultural heritage value or interest as identified by a community, including an Indigenous community. Built heritage resources are located on property designated under Parts IV or V of the Ontario Heritage Act, or that may be included on local, provincial, federal and/or international registers (PPS, 2020).

Significant: e) in regard to cultural heritage and archaeology, resources that have been determined to have cultural heritage value or interest. Processes and criteria for determining cultural heritage value or interest are established by the Province under the authority of the Ontario Heritage Act (PPS, 2020)

Conserved: the identification, protection, management and use of built heritage resources, cultural heritage landscapes and archaeological resources in a manner that ensures their cultural heritage value or interest is retained. This may be achieved by the implementation of recommendations set out in a conservation plan, archaeological assessment, and/ or heritage impact assessment that has been approved, accepted or adopted by the relevant planning authority and/or decision- maker. Mitigative measures and/ or alternative development approaches can be included in these plans and assessments (PPS, 2020).

Adjacent lands: d) for the purposes of policy 2.6.3, those lands contiguous to a protected heritage property or as otherwise defined in the municipal official plan (PPS, 2020)



The Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990

The Ontario Heritage Act (the "OHA") is the statutory legal foundation for heritage conservation in Ontario. Part IV, Section 27 of the OHA enables the clerk of a municipality to keep a register of properties within the municipality that are of cultural heritage value or interest.

Part IV, Section 29 of the OHA enables municipalities to enact by-laws to designate properties to protect and conserve their cultural heritage value.

Ontario Regulation 9/06 was introduced as part of the 2005 revisions to the OHA and provides *Criteria for Determining Cultural Heritage Value or Interest* which consists of nine criteria under three categories: (1) design/physical value; (2) historical/associative value, and; (3) contextual value.

City of Mississauga Official Plan, Consolidated 2021

Section 7.4.1 of the Official Plan directs the following:

7.4.1.2 Mississauga will discourage the demolition, destruction or inappropriate alteration or reuse of cultural heritage resources.

7.4.1.3 Mississauga will require development to maintain locations and settings for cultural heritage resources that are compatible with and enhance the character of the cultural heritage resource.

7.4.1.10 Applications for development involving cultural heritage resources will be required to include a Heritage Impact Assessment prepared to the satisfaction of the City and other appropriate authorities having jurisdiction.

7.4.1.11 Cultural heritage resources designated under the Ontario Heritage Act, will be required to preserve the heritage attributes and not detract or destroy any of the heritage attributes in keeping with the Ontario Heritage Tool Kit, the Ontario Ministry of Culture, and the Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada, Parks Canada.

Cultural Heritage Resources: Built heritage resources, cultural heritage land-scapes and archaeological resources that have been determined to have cultural heritage value or interest for the important contribution they make to our understanding of the history of a place, an event, or a people. While some cultural heritage resources may already be identified and inventoried by official sources, the significance of others can only be determined after evaluation. (Growth Plan, 2019)



7.4.1.12 The proponent of any construction, development, or property alteration that might adversely affect a listed or designated cultural heritage resource or which is proposed adjacent to a cultural heritage resource will be required to submit a Heritage Impact Assessment, prepared to the satisfaction of the City and other appropriate authorities having jurisdiction.

7.4.1.13 Cultural heritage resources must be maintained in situ and in a manner that prevents deterioration and protects the heritage qualities of the resource.

7.4.1.14 Cultural heritage resources will be integrated with development proposals.

7.4.2 of the Official Plan directs the following:

7.4.2.2 Prior to the demolition or alteration of a cultural heritage resource, documentation will be required of the property to the satisfaction of the City, and any appropriate advisory committee. This documentation may be in the form of a Heritage Impact Assessment.

7.4.2.3 Development adjacent to a cultural heritage property will be encouraged to be compatible with the cultural heritage property.

Port Credit Local Area Plan

2.0 Port Credit Community Note

The retention and enhancement of heritage listed properties is strongly encouraged. Properties listed on the Heritage Register will be preserved in their existing location. Any development will incorporate these structures in the design of the proposal. Any changes to these structures or developments adjacent to these structures will require a Heritage Impact Statement and may have additional requirements. Additional requirements may include, but are not limited to, a review and approval from the Heritage Advisory Committee and Council.



Tall buildings will not visually impede the setting of listed/designated heritage buildings. Where heritage buildings are low-scale, the podium of the tall building will respect and reflect the unique urban character, visual relationships, topography and materials of the surrounding historic building. The tall buildings will preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the setting of the adjacent listed/designated building(s).

Where heritage buildings are low-scale, the podium or ground façade of tall buildings will respect and reflect the unique urban grain and scale, visual relationship, topography and materials of the surrounding historic buildings.



6 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development consists of a full-block redevelopment between High, Ann, Park and Hurontario Streets, including the retention of the buildings at 84 and 90 High Street East, the construction of a 23-storey tower at the block's west corner, and a land-exchange with the City of Mississauga to create two new public parks. It will require the removal of the structures located at 17 and 19 Ann Street and the rear porch at 84 High Street East (not a heritage attribute).

The new development will be set back from 84 High Street East by 7.5 metres at the two-storey podium level, with a projecting element from the podium at the new building's southwest corner that comes within 5.6 metres. The space between the two buildings will include a 2.6-metre rear yard setback on the 84 High Street East property, a 3-metre pedestrian path, and a 2-metre terrace on the new building's property. At the third storey, the new construction will step back by 0.8 metres to create further separation distance from the heritage resource.

The tower will be situated above a two-storey podium that will feature amenity and retail space along Park Street East. The remainder of the podium will consist of residential units including two-storey townhouse units along the south elevation facing 84 High Street East.

The current public property at 91 Park Street East is proposed to be subject to a land-exchange that would establish two new City Park spaces, identified as the "North Public Park" and the "South Public Park". The proposed North Public Park is approximately 1,560 square metres, and includes the current lands at the rear of 90 High Street East, including the house's original detached garage, which is not identified as a heritage attribute.

The proposed South Public Park is approximately 935 square metres, and includes the lands between and in front of 84 and 90 High Street East.

A 5-metre right-of-way conveyance is proposed along the northeast edge of the block for a possible future widening of Hurontario Street. The 5-metre conveyance includes portions of 90 High Street East that are designated under the OHA, including mature trees, expansive open lawn, and iron fence.






Proposed Site Plan, with the retained heritage buildings in blue and proposed public park space in green (CORE Architects, 2022, annotated by ERA).





Rendering of proposal looking from Ann Street showing the retained heritage building at 84 High Street East to the right (CORE Architects, 2022).



West elevation with the retained heritage building at 84 High Street East to the right (CORE Architects, 2022).





Rendering of proposal looking from High Street East showing the retained heritage building at 84 High Street East to the left (CORE Architects, 2021).






Rendering of proposal looking south showing the retained heritage building at 90 High Street East to the left (CORE Architects, 2022).



Rendering of proposal looking south from Ann Street and High Street East (CORE Architects, 2022).





Rendering of proposal looking west along Park Street East (CORE Architects, 2022).



7 CONSERVATION STRATEGY

The properties at 84 and 90 High Street East will be rehabilitated as part of the proposed development to allow for compatible contemporary uses while maintaining their heritage value. The house-form building at 84 High Street East is proposed to continue its existing use as a 6-unit rental apartment building, while the house-form building at 90 High Street East is proposed to be re-adapted for commercial office use.

The rehabilitation of 84 and 90 High Street East is proposed to incorporate a baseline scope of conservation work, and will include removal of the unsympathetic rear porch at 84 High Street East, and replacement with a contemporary fire escape structure. It will also include replacement of the non-original steps off the front porch at 84 High Street East.

The conservation scope will be further developed and undertaken in accordance with the Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada. A detailed conservation scope will be developed in a pursuant Conservation Plan.

Given its age, a salvage and documentation scope is recommended for the building at 19 Ann Street.

Restoration: the action or process of accurately revealing, recovering or representing the state of an historic place, or of an individual component, as it appeared at a particular period in its history, while protecting its heritage value.

Preservation: the action or process of protecting, maintaining, and/or stabilizing the existing materials, form, and integrity of a historic place or of an individual component, while protecting its heritage value.

Rehabilitation: the action or process of making possible a continuing or compatible contemporary use of an historic place, or an individual component, while protecting its heritage value.

Source: Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada (2010).



8 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

8.1 Impact Summary

The proposed development is not anticipated to have significant negative impacts, as identified by the Ontario Heritage Toolkit, on the cultural heritage value of the Site. While the listed building at 19 Ann Street is proposed to be demolished, the Cultural Heritage Value Assessment in Section 3 of this report concludes that the property is not a candidate for designation under Part IV of the OHA, or for conservation using the PPS definition of "conserved".

New Construction

While the proposed development features an appropriate scale and massing for the evolving context of the area, its scale may present limited impact on the adjacent heritage resources. A 23-storey building is proposed to be constructed in proximity to the designated resource at 84 High Street East, with a proposed buffer of 7.5 metres between the two buildings.

The massing, form, materiality and articulation of the proposal are all intended to mitigate potential physical and visual impacts and conserve the cultural heritage values, attributes, and character of on-site and adjacent heritage properties.

While the designated resource at 84 High Street East is a low-scale building, the proposed building's location to the rear and adjacent to the side yard frontage respects the visual relationship of the historic building to High Street East and 90 High Street East.

ERA has provided an overview of the project's impact mitigation approach on the following page.

Land Conveyance

There is a substantial section of both designated properties that is proposed to be conveyed to the City of Mississauga through the Hurontario right-of-way conveyance and for the North and South Public Parks. This includes attributes such as mature trees, expansive open lawn, and iron fence (which does not appear to be early or original), along with other features that may contribute to these properties' cultural heritage value, including the curvilinear paths and the garage.

Within the right-of-way conveyance, it is possible that a future road widening would result in the removal of attributes and features including the iron fence, mature trees, open lawn, and garage.

The Ontario Heritage Toolkit is a series of guides designed to help understand the heritage conservation process in Ontario. The Toolkit identifies potential negative impacts on a cultural heritage resource from new development. Negative impacts include, but are not limited to:

Destruction of any, or part of any, significant heritage attributes or features;

Alteration that is not sympathetic, or is incompatible, with the historic fabric and appearance;

Shadows created that alter the appearance of a heritage attribute or change the viability of a natural feature or plantings, such as a garden;

Isolation of a heritage attribute from its surrounding environment, context or a significant relationship;

Direct or indirect obstruction of significant views or vistas within, from, or of built and natural features;

A change in land use such as rezoning a battlefield from open space to residential use, allowing new development or site alteration to fill in the formerly open spaces;

Land disturbances such as a change in grade that alters soils, and drainage patterns that adversely affect an archaeological resource.

(Ontario Heritage Toolkit).



Further, the gingko tree at 90 High Street East (a heritage attribute) has been assessed to have reached the end of its lifespan, and is recommended for removal as per BTI's Arborist report dated August 18th, 2022.

8.2 Impact Mitigation Measures

New Construction

It is ERA's assessment that the proposed construction of a 23-storey building to the rear of 84 High Street East will present limited impact on the property's cultural heritage value.

Our assessment is guided by specific standards for rehabilitation in the *Standards & Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Properties in Canada* (the Standards & Guidelines), which provides direction to:

- Make new work physically and visually compatible with, subordinate to and distinguishable from the historic place;
- Create any new additions or related new construction so that the essential form and integrity of an historic place will not be impaired if the new work is removed in the future.

In contexts that are intensifying to accommodate increased residential density, often in the form of tall buildings, we reframe the test of "subordinate" to ask whether the historic resource continues to occupy a place of prominence within the development and remains legible in its original form.

Comprehensive mitigation measures were undertaken in the design of the new building on Site to ensure that it would meet this direction in the Standards and Guidelines. These include:

- Siting of the residential tower on the western corner of the property to maintain unobstructed front and side views of the heritage resources from High Street East, Ann Street and Hurontario Street, ensuring that the heritage resources remain prominent and legible as historic estate-style houses;
- Landscaping that maintains the front- and side-yard open spaces surrounding the heritage resources;



- Inclusion of a 7.5-metre unobstructed buffer between the house at 84 High Street East and the new construction, which represents an 0.5-metre increase since the December 2021 submission, and represents the rough width of a typical urban street. In that context, this project could be understood more as an "adjacency" to an historic property than the integration of the historic house at the tower's base;
- A two-storey podium height to match the two-storey building height at 84 High Street East, with a stepback to the tower at the third storey (new since the December 2021 submission) to avoid dominating the house and the urban walkway between the buildings;
- The use of materials that are compatible with but distinct from the heritage resources; in particular, the use of contemporary masonry on the podium conveys a similar solidity but uses a clearly contemporary material so that the building is recognizable as being of its own time;
- Ground-floor activation consistent with the existing pedestrian-oriented urban context;
- A streetwall condition that is pedestrian oriented and enhances interface between the building and the street; and
- Articulation/architectural volumes to break up mass and respond to surrounding context.

Further, the design strategy conserves the full form and integrity of the house, in its entirety. There are no irreversible alterations proposed to the house to accommodate a physical connection to the new podium.

In fact, in contrast to many adaptive reuse projects where houses are integrated at the bases of new residential tower projects, the 7.5-metre buffer space between the house at 84 High Street East and the new construction is so substantial that it functions more as an adjacent development. In areas that are intensifying to accommodate new residential housing, it is not considered inappropriate to permit tall new buildings adjacent to lower-scale historic houses.

In order to understand whether 84 High St E could be successfully conserved near the base of the proposed new building, we looked to precedents of three different types of historic-house integration into taller building developments. Based on this context below, it is ERA's assessment that this development's proposed approach is not only acceptable, but represents the most sensitive form of conservation of historic houses in areas where planning policies target residential intensification.



PRECEDENT STUDY: HISTORIC HOUSE AT BASE OF NEW BUILDING

This study demonstrates architectural approaches to the integration and conservation of one or more historic houses in the context of a residential intensification (or simply a taller building). These approaches range from most invasive (at left) to least invasive (at right).

A. THE FACADE

The house is adapted to become part of the new building's podium.





7 St Thomas, Toronto, Hariri Pontarini Architects (Spacing above, HPA below).

B. THE ANCHOR

The house sits right at the building's base and anchors the podium.





The Selby, 592 Sherbourne St, Toronto, bKL Architecture (Nathan Cyprys).



National Ballet of Canada, KPMB Architects (KPMB, via Architizer).

C. THE BUFFER / ADJACENCY

The house sits adjacent to the new building, with no physical connection and a buffer.





Paul Kane House, 56 Wellesley St E, Toronto, (Google Earth).





Campbell House, 160 Queen St W, Toronto, (Google Earth).



Nimmons Court, Calgary, Casola Koppe Architects (ERA).

Conveyance of Designated Property

While lands are being conveyed to the City of Mississauga, there is no expectation that the attributes and other features will be removed or eradicated in the near future.

Features included in the North and South Public Park conveyances (expansive open lawns, mature trees, and detached garage at 90 High Street East) are recommended to be maintained within the public park space so as to continue supporting the properties' cultural heritage value.

The right-of-way conveyance forecasts potential future encroachment onto heritage attributes and elements including mature trees, expansive open lawn, iron fence, and detached garage. The City is recommended to avoid claiming these lands for an expansion of Hurontario Street in order to mitigate for this impact. If an expansion is required, it may be possible to relocate the fence to the new northeast boundary of 90 High Street East, replant landscaping with the potential to grow to maturity, and relocate the detached garage so that it continues to sit within the North Public Park lands and maintains a rear condition relationship to the house at 90 High Street East. The garage is of architectural and contextual interest, and there is potential for it to be adapted for reuse as a City of Mississauga public-park building or utility.

In proximity to the garage, the landscape design for the south end of the North Public Park is proposed to incorporate a replacement gingko tree, or another Japanese planting to offer a nod to the Arts & Crafts interest in Japanese natural heritage. This would be intended to mitigate for the removal of W. T. Gray's imported gingko tree at the rear of 90 High Street East, which has reached the end of its lifespan.

8.3 Considered Alternatives

In response to the conveyance of the lands around 84 and 90 High Street East as the South Public Park, new entrance paths to 84 and 90 High Street East have been established, connecting to Ann and Hurontario respectively.

In order to respond to the conveyance of the houses' front yards as public park land, an alternative development measure was explored that would reconfigure the stair access to the porch at 84 High Street East to clarify its new frontage onto Ann Street.



However, the porch at 84 High Street East is a heritage attribute, and it was determined that the porch should be retained as built, avoiding reconfiguration wherever possible. The ideal conservation strategy would retain path access through the conveyed public park lands, however it will be possible to establish clear access to the property from Ann Street instead through distinct paving and landscape treatments.



9 CONCLUSION

The proposal is consistent with the policies outlined in Section 5 of this report and contributes a building that responds to the evolving context of the area. The proposed development involves the rehabilitation of 84 and 90 High Street East in-situ, and the construction of a new 23-storey residential building.

This report finds that the proposed development has no significant negative impacts on the cultural heritage value of on-site and adjacent heritage resources.



10 PROJECT PERSONNEL

Philip Evans OAA, AAA, MRAIC, CAHP is a principal at ERA and the founder of Culture of Outports and small. Over the course of 20 years working in the field of heritage conservation, he has led a wide range of conservation, adaptive reuse, design, and feasibility planning projects.

Samantha Irvine JD, CAHP is an associate with the heritage planning team at ERA, where she has overseen projects that impact culturally significant buildings, neighbourhoods and landscapes since 2015. She holds a BA in History and Sociology from McGill University (Great distinction); MA degrees in Historical & Sustainable Architecture (NYU) and Sustainable Urbanism (Wales); and a JD from Queen's University. She is a member of the Ontario Bar Association and a former Fellow of Sustainable Urbanism with the Prince's Foundation in London, England.

Emma Abramowicz CAHP is a planner and project manager with the heritage planning team at ERA. She holds a Master of Planning in Urban Development from Toronto Metropolitan University (formerly Ryerson University), and a Bachelor of Arts (Honours) from Queen's University.

Patrick Brown is a planner with the heritage planning team at ERA Architects. He holds a Bachelor of Urban and Regional Planning from Toronto Metropolitan University (formerly Ryerson University), as well as a Diploma in Heritage Conservation from the Willowbank School of Restoration Arts.



11 REFERENCES

- "Canada, Find a Grave Index, 1600s-Current," database with images, *Ancestry* (https://www.ancestry.ca/), Library and Archives Canada.
- "Canada, Voters Lists, 1935-1980," database with images, *Ancestry* (https://www.ancestry.ca/), Library and Archives Canada.
- Chamberlain, B. (1891). *Indian Treaties and Surrenders, from 1680 to 1890*. Retrieved from https://open.library.ubc.ca/collections/bcbooks/items/1.0355812
- City of Mississauga. (2017). Heritage Impact Assessment Terms of Reference. Retrieved from f https://www7.mississauga.ca/documents/culture/heritage/HeritageImpactAssessment_ TermsOfReference2017.pdf
- City of Mississauga. (2014). Port Credit Local Area Plan. Retrieved from http://www6.mississauga.ca/onlinemaps/planbldg/LakeviewPortCredit/2%20Schedule%20A%20-%20Port%20Credit%20LAP_%20Jan,%202014.pdf
- City of Mississauga. (n.d.). Historic images gallery. https://www.mississauga.ca/apps/mediagallery/#/agency/Library
- City of Mississauga. (n.d.). Find property information. https://www.mississauga.ca/apps/#/property
- Frisque, G. (2014, May 24). Port Credit lawn bowlers celebrate 100 years on the green , *Mississauga. com.* https://www.mississauga.com/community-story/4539084-port-credit-lawn-bowlers-celebrate-100-years-on-the-green/
- Government of Ontario. (2005). Heritage Resources in the Land Use Planning Process: Cultural Heritage and Archaeology Policies of the Ontario Provincial Policy Statement.
- Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation. (2018). The History of the Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation. Retrieved from http://mncfn.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/The-History-of-MNCFN-FINAL.pdf
- Ontario Land Registry Access. (n.d.). Abstract/Parcel Register Book. https://www.onland.ca/ui/43/books/browse
- Ryerson University. (2018). Truth and Reconciliation at Ryerson University Building a New Foundation for Generations to Come.Retrieved from https://www.ryerson.ca/content/dam/aboriginal-news/aboriginal-report-web.pdf
- Statistcis Canada. (1871, 1881, 1891, 1901, 1911, 1921). Census of Canada. Retrieved from https://www.bac-lac.gc.ca/eng/census/Pages/census.aspx
- Toronto Public Library. (n.d.). The Globe and Mail Historical Newspaper Archive. https://www.torontopubliclibrary.ca/
- Underwriters Survey Limited. (1910). Fire Insurance Plan, Port Credit, 1910. Retrieved from https://www.archeion.ca/fire-insurance-plan-port-credit-1910
- Univieristy of Toronto. (n.d.). Map and Data Library. https://mdl.library.utoronto.ca/
- "Ontario, Canada, Deaths and Deaths Overseas, 1869-1948"," database with images, *Ancestry* (https://www.ancestry.ca/), Library and Archives Canada.
- "Web: Canadian Headstone Index, 1840-2017," database with images, *Ancestry* (https://www.ancestry.ca/), Library and Archives Canada.



12 APPENDICES



APPENDIX I

DESIGNATION BY-LAW 0206-2007



THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

BY-LAW NUMBER .. D. 20.6... 2007
A By-law to designate the Charles
Hamilton House located at 84 High Street
East as being of historical, architectural
and contextual significance

WHEREAS the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter 0.18, as amended, authorizes the Council of a municipality to enact by-laws to designate real property including all the buildings and structures thereon, to be of cultural heritage value or interest;

AND WHEREAS Notice of Intention to designate the Charles Hamilton House located at 84 High Street East, being Part of 1 on Registered Plan 43R-31484 in the City of Mississauga, has been duly published and served, and an objection to the proposed heritage designation was received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga by way of letter dated August 10, 2005 from Chris Barnett, Davis & Company LLP, on behalf of the registered owner, 1609375 Ontario Limited;

AND WHEREAS City Council at its meeting on January 17, 2007 adopted GC-0002-2007 to receive the Conservation Review Board's decision dated July 6, 2006 for information and support GC-0431-2005 to designate 84 High Street East under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* for reasons of its historical, architectural and contextual significance;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga hereby ENACTS as follows:

- 1. That the property, including all the buildings and structures thereon, located at 84 High Street East, in the City of Mississauga, and legally described in Schedule 'A' attached hereto, is hereby designated as being of cultural heritage value under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter 0.18, as amended.
- 2. That the reasons for designating the property known as the Charles Hamilton House located at 84 High Street East, in the City of Mississauga, under section 1 of this Bylaw, are duly set out in Schedule "B".
- 3. That the City Clerk is hereby authorized to cause a copy of this by-law to be served upon the owner of the aforesaid property, and upon the Ontario Heritage Trust and to cause notice of this by-law to be published in a newspaper having general circulation in the City of Mississauga.
- 4. That Schedules "A" and "B" form an integral part of this by-law.
- 5. That the City Solicitor is hereby directed to register a copy of this by-law against the property located at 84 High Street East in the proper land registry office.

APPROVED
AS TO FORM
City Solicitor
MISSISSAUGA

ENACTED AND PASSED this 3 day of

MAYOR

, 2007.

CLERK

SCHEDULE 'A' TO BY-LAW DOOL-2007

Description:

Part of Lot 1, North Side of High Street, East of the Credit River, Plan PC-2

(To be designated under the Ontario Heritage Act)

(Ward 1, City Zone 8, in the vicinity of Hurontario Street and Lakeshore Road

East)

In the City of Mississauga, Regional Municipality of Peel, (formerly Village of Port Credit, County of Peel), Province of Ontario and being composed of part of Lot 1, North Side of High Street, East of the Credit River, Plan PC-2, designated as Part 1 on a Plan of Survey deposited in the Land Registry Office for the Land Titles Division of Peel Region (No. 43) as Plan 43R-31484.

Alnashir Jeraj Ontario Land Surveyor

Marhylon

SCHEDULE "B" TO BY-LAW NO. DODG-2007

STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DESIGNATION "The Charles Hamilton House", 84 High Street East

The property known as 84 High Street East be designated as being of cultural heritage value pursuant to Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, for reasons of its historical, architectural and contextual significance.

Description of Property

The Charles Hamilton House is a two-and-a-half storey dwelling, located on the north side of High Street East, Port Credit.

Statement of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest

The Charles Hamilton House's cultural heritage value lies in it being one of few remaining Bungalow style dwellings of its scale in Mississauga and the only known surviving structure designed by Chadwick and Beckett in Mississauga. Typical of the Bungalow style, it features a broad, gently pitched roof, deep overhanging eaves, an extensive porch and a variety of building materials. Lawrence Park architects Chadwick and Beckett designed the house, which was likely built in 1912.

The Charles Hamilton House's cultural heritage value also lies in its association with Charles Hamilton, Port Credit's Post Master from 1894 to 1921. Hamilton was a central figure and community leader in Port Credit. He ran Port Credit's leading general store, served as Councillor from 1926 to 1927, was instrumental to the success of the Port Credit Band, served as warden and board member of Port Credit's Trinity Anglican Church, and was involved in the public library and local service lodge.

The Charles Hamilton House's cultural heritage value also lies in its association with Port Credit's history as a tourist destination. Hamilton used his house as a tourist home (bed 'n breakfast).

The Charles Hamilton House is also of cultural heritage value because it is a Port Credit landmark that is critical to defining the character of the immediate area. The house is visible from a main and historic thoroughfare of not only Port Credit but Mississauga as well, Hurontario Street. Central amongst other early twentieth century single family dwellings, the structure is critical to defining the historic character of the area. Moreover, it is the companion piece to the W.T. Gray House, its only neighbour on the north side of High Street between Ann Street and Hurontario Street. The Hamilton House complements the W.T. Gray House, at 90 High Street East, in style. According to the Gray family, this was Hamilton's intention. The Hamilton House is physically, functionally and historically linked to Port Credit by its location, proximity to the core, as the residence of one of its foremost citizens and downtown merchants, and as part of its tourist history.

Description of Heritage Attributes

Key attributes of the Charles Hamilton House that reflect its value as a Bungalow style dwelling designed by Chadwick and Beckett:

- the footprint of the house as it relates to the property at large
- its mature trees, including the mature spruce in its full shape
- the entire structure in and of itself, including all of its conglomerate parts
- its broad, gently pitched roof that covers the porch and extends beyond the house walls
- the proportions and exact form of the roof and gables
- the shingled gables
- its deep overhanging eaves
- the exposed purlins under the eaves, including their shape and form
- its extensive front porch

SCHEDULE "B" TO BY-LAW NO. 0206-2007

STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DESIGNATION "The Charles Hamilton House", 84 High Street East

- all exposed natural Credit Valley stone with its aged patina, including ground floor, porch walls, porch columns and chimney
- the arches that span the space between the porch columns
- the stone ledge that caps the buttresses and porch walls
- the voussoirs and keystone above the openings
- the stuccoed upper walls
- the arrangement and form of the Tudor half timbering
- the shed dormers and their shape and form
- the varying size of the windows proportionate to the structure
- the wooden window surrounds
- the stone plinths below the windows
- the large three-flue stone chimney on the east side
- the wide stairway that accesses the front porch

Key attributes of the Charles Hamilton House that reflect its association with Charles Hamilton:

- its location in Port Credit, near to the village core in which he was active
- the extensive front and side lawn on which his funeral was held

Key attributes of the Charles Hamilton House that reflect its association with Port Credit tourism:

• its location in Port Credit and its proximity to Lakeshore Road and Hurontario, both historic thoroughfares

Key attributes of the Charles Hamilton House that reflect its contextual value:

- its location on High Street, in the midst of a historical neighbourhood and occupying the west half of the north side of the High Street block between Ann Street and Hurontario Street
- its scale and massing that are compatible with the other structures in the neighbourhood
- its full visibility from Hurontario Street
- its original massing and layout on the lot that reflects the historic pattern of the neighbourhood

APPENDIX II

DESIGNATION BY-LAW 0385-2005



A By-law to designate the property located at 90 High Street East as being of historical, architectural and contextual significance.

WHEREAS the *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter 0.18, as amended, authorizes the Council of a municipality to enact by-laws to designate real property including all the buildings and structures thereon, to be of architectural value or interest;

AND WHEREAS Notice of Intention to so designate the property located at 90 High Street East, in the City of Mississauga, has been duly published and served, and no notice of objection to such designation has been received by the Clerk of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga;

AND WHEREAS the reasons for the said designation are set out as Schedule 'A' hereto;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga hereby ENACTS as follows:

- 1. That the real property located at 90 High Street East, City of Mississauga, and legally described in Schedule 'B' attached hereto, is hereby designated as being of historic value under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter 0.18, as amended.
- 2. That the City Clerk is hereby authorized to cause a copy of this by-law to be served upon the owner of the aforesaid property, and upon the Ontario Heritage Trust and to cause notice of this by-law to be published in a newspaper having general circulation in the City of Mississauga.
- 3. That Schedules "A" and "B" form an integral part of this by-law.
- 4. That the City Solicitor is hereby directed to register a copy of this by-law against the property located at 90 High Street East in the proper land registry office.

ENACTED AND PASSED this Way of October

. 2005.

APPROVED AS TO FORM City Solicitor MISSISSAUGA MEM

ate 04 10 05

MAYOR

CLERK

SCHEDULE "A" TO BY-LAW NO. 0385 2005

STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR DESIGNATION

W. T. Gray House, 90 High Street East

The property known as 90 High Street East is recommended for heritage designation under the terms and conditions of *Part IV*, the Ontario Heritage Act, for reasons of its cultural heritage value and interests of historical, architectural and contextual significance.

In 1908, William Thomas Gray acquired the subject property for \$650 from Charles G. Hamilton, and built the current house in 1909. W. T. Gray and Louise Marie Elliot, just married, moved into the house in the same year. Located at the corner of High Street East and Hurontario Street, it is only steps away from the former St. Lawrence Starch Works. W. T. Gray became president of the company in 1938, remaining so until 1965. He passed away in 1975 in his ninety-fifth year and ownership of the property was passed on to his sons James A. D. Gray and John C. Gray. W. T. Gray's father, John Gray, was one of the founding partners of the St. Lawrence Starch Works, which was incorporated in 1889. The Gray family name is synonymous with the early economic development and success of Port Credit.

The W. T. Gray residence, built in 1909, was designed as a Colonial variation of the traditional Bungalow Style, with some Tudor Revival influences of half-timbering. The two-and-a-half storey, three bay structure has exterior finishes in coursed Credit Valley stone on the ground floor, second floor, and chimneys, stucco and half timbering on the second floor gable ends and shingles that presumably were originally wood.

The main entrance is located at the centre of the south facade. The main door and sidelights appear original to the structure, but the adjacent French doors placed on either side of the entrance have been replaced in recent years. The south facade dormer fenestration includes original paired twelve pane casement windows on either side of the shed dormer balcony. Within the balcony, there are multi-paned glass windows and a door. The west facade has original double nine paned casement windows, and a first storey projecting bay with four casement windows side-by-side, each with nine panes. The east facade has paired nine pane and four pane casement windows of various sizes. The rear facade, which faces north, has several small multi-paned windows along the main floor and basement. There are two doors, one located at the centre and another on the west facade of the small, one storey projecting wing. The upper storey windows are of various shapes and sizes, located within the shed dormer, including the three twelve paned casement windows.

Structural and stylistic details that contribute to its high architectural quality include the rear and front shed dormers, large overhang roof supported by large pillars, large veranda, central main entrance, and use of various 'rustic' materials. The expanse of exterior space around the building helps to relate the building with its natural surroundings. Another important characteristic is the rather modest appearing front elevation, while side elevations reveal two-and-a-half stories of living space. Elements of Colonial-Revival are demonstrated through the four large Tuscan columns, the Tudor inspired half-timbering located on the gable ends, stone base and windows that are varied in size. The Bungalow Style was popular through the first half of the twentieth century, arriving in Canada circa 1910. This is a particularly fine example of the Colonial variation and should be acknowledged as one of the earlier examples.

The W. T. Gray House fronts onto High Street East, located just off Hurontario Street, one block north of Lakeshore Road East, within the centre of Port Credit. The property is predominately displayed on an open corner lot and its proximity to Hurontario Street makes it a significant cultural landscape and within the immediate context of early twentieth century residential structures. The relationship between 84 and 90 High Street provides a unifying scale and adds to the architectural quality of each, but also to their historical association and context within Port Credit as recognized landmarks. Although the structure has been used in the past for both commercial and residential uses, the original residential character has remained intact and the Bungalow Stylistic qualities of the building have been preserved.

SCHEDULE "A" TO BY-LAW NO. 0385-2005

STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR DESIGNATION

W. T. Gray House, 90 High Street East

The subject property, located at the northwest corner of Hurontario Street and High Street is highly visible. The building rests on a relatively large open lot consisting of mature trees, including an uncommon Gingko tree, and other vegetation. An expansive open lawn on the front yard is also open to the Hurontario Street side of this corner lot. An iron fence, which compliments the character of the house and streetscape, is located on the eastern and southern edges of the property. This open vista from the street to the house enhances the visual character of the building in its setting.

SCHEDULE 'B' TO BY-LAW 0385.2005'

Description:

Part of Lot 1, Plan PC-2, East of the Credit River, North Side of High Street

(To be designated under the Ontario Heritage Act)

(Ward 1, City Zone 8, in the vicinity of Hurontario Street and Lakeshore Road

East)

In the City of Mississauga, Regional Municipality of Peel, (formerly in the Village of Port Credit, County of Peel), Province of Ontario and being composed of that part of Lot 1, on the North Side of High Street, West Side of Hurontario Street, East of the Credit River, according to a plan of the Village of Port Credit know as PC-2, as described in Instrument Number RO998249.

Alnashir Jeraj Ontario Land Surveyor

APPENDIX III

LISTING INFORMATION 19 ANN STREET

Inventory No.	Property name	Decade	Constructed	Demolished/Year	
195	GOTHIC HOUSE	1870		N	

0

19 ANN ST

Type: RESIDENTIAL

Style: VERNACULAR - FARM HOUSE

Area: PORT CREDIT
Reason: ARCHITECTURAL

Close X

Photos





History

This frame structure is one and a half storeys in height with wood siding. It has a gable roof with a single front sharp high peak dormer and asphalt shingles. The West, originally North, has an asymmetrical three bay facade. The windows are double hung two over two and fixed. There is a lancet arch window in the second floor peak and a square bay window on the north side of the structure. On the side is a covered porch with a one storey addition to the rear. There are two internally bracketed chimneys near the gable ends. The house rests on a corner lot and therefore in essence has two main facades.

APPENDIX IV

LISTING INFORMATION 20 HURONTARIO STREET

Inventory No.	Property name	Decade	Constructed	Demolished/Year
501	HEARY HOUSE	1910	1912	N

0

20 HURONTARIO ST Type: COMMERCIAL Style: BUNGALOW Area: PORT CREDIT Reason: ARCHITECTURAL Close X

Photos









History

This building was built for customs officer J.W. Heary and included a customs office. This corner residential structure is one-and-a-half stories high with a steep roofline. The roof continues on the front (east) facade to create a canopy for the front porch. To break this line there is a dormer in the front roof. The upper portion of the house is decorated in a half timbering and stucco. On the south facade there is a hanging window (which probably allows light into the interior stairwell) which has wood shingles on the lower half. A similar styled stacked bay window appears on the north side of the house, the bay having three windows, each one over one. Also on the north facade is chimney stack that is mostly internal, which is twinned with the stack on the south side of the building. To the rear of the building is a brick extension on the lower floor with a wood sided upper floor. The house is good example of the early (1910-1920) twentieth century bungalow style, with its front porch, steep roof, and multiple use of materials. John Heary, a customs inspector, likely commissioned the structure. The two-and-a-half storey dwelling at 20 Hurontario St. was built at the turn-of-the-century following the Bungalow Style. Characteristic of this style is the large, gently sloping gable roof which extends to create a portico over the front entrance, supported by square piers on brick pedestals. A large dormer centred over the portico and main entrance is located on the east elevation, while a smaller shed dormer is centrally located on the west elevation. Other exterior features include one interior and another partially-exterior chimney at the gable ends, stacked bay windows on the north elevation, a first-storey bay on the east elevation, and an oriel window on the south elevation. The fenestration is characteristic of the Bungalow Style, involving a variety of window sizes, trims, and placements. The majority are one-over-one paned sash windows. Some of the window panes are stained-glass, following various floral motifs, while other windows are lead-came following a diagonal pattern. The house is a good example of the early (1910-1920) twentieth century Bungalow Style, with its front porch, steep roof, bay windows, variety of window treatments and multiple uses of materials. This Bungalow Style house at 20 Hurontario St., built in the early twentieth century, maintains an important relationship with the other heritage structures of similar age and/or architectural style located at 10 and 15 Hurontario St., and 84 and 90 High St. E. Together these buildings, which are all listed on the City's Heritage Inventory, create a notable streetscape uncommon to Mississauga. The property also maintains an important relationship to the surrounding roadways as it is situated on a corner lot. It is significant that the subject building has retained its historical integrity and early twentieth century residential appearance, despite being converted to office use. This house, in relation to the other heritage structures along Hurontario St., help to maintain the historic vistas of the Hurontario entryway into Port Credit. The subject property is part of the cultural landscape that defines the entry into Port Credit. The historic context of these early twentieth century residential buildings provides a character that has been in place for almost one hundred years. The architectural quality and context of this property are important heritage resources worthy of cultural heritage conservation.

APPENDIX V

ARCHITECTURAL DRAWING PREPARED BY CORE ARCHITECTS DATED OCTOBER 31, 2022



No.	Revisions	Date
140.	110113	Date
A M	ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED RE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE AR UST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPR RAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DI RAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DI	CHITECT AND ODUCTION OF

COREARCHITECTS 130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6 T+1 416 343 0400 • F+1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM


10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO

RE-ISSUED FOR OPA & REZONING SUBMISSION 28 OCTOBER 2022 APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1

rawn	Scale
	NTS
hecked	Date
DL, CY	24 OCT 2022
itle	

TITLE

Drawing No. A001





RESIDENTIAL				
LEVELS	GCA (m2)	GCA (Sq Ft)	GFA (m2)	GFA (Sq Ft)
P-5+	724.03	7,793	69.36	74
P-5	2,840.32	30,573	133.12	1,43
P-4	2,840.32	30,573		
P-3	· ·	· ·		l '
P-3 P-2	2,840.32 2,794.51	30,573 30,080	133.12 137.17	1,43
P-2 P-1		·		1,47
Ground	2,762.61	29,736		,
	1,870.62	20,135	1,361.93 900.07	14,66 9,68
Mezzanine	1,174.09	12,638		-
Level 2	1,292.67	13,914	989.83	10,65
Level 3	1,446.68	15,572	1,383.87	14,89
Level 4	1,446.68	15,572	1,383.87	14,89
Level 5	1,446.68	15,572	1,383.87	14,89
Level 6	1,446.68	15,572	1,383.87	14,89
Level 7	1,301.58	14,010	· ·	11,88
Level 8	1,301.58	14,010	1,238.77	13,33
Level 9	1,301.58	14,010		13,33
Level 10	1,301.58	14,010	1,238.77	13,33
Level 11	1,035.47	11,146		· ·
Level 12	1,035.47	11,146		,
Level 13	1,035.47	11,146		,
Level 14	1,035.47	11,146		· ·
Level 15	1,035.47	11,146		10,34
Level 16	789.61	8,499	730.24	7,86
Level 17	789.61	8,499		7,86
Level 18	789.61	8,499	730.24	7,86
Level 19	789.61	8,499		7,86
Level 20	789.61	8,499	730.24	7,86
Level 21	789.61	8,499		7,86
Level 22	789.61	8,499	730.24	7,86
Mech PH	331.38	3,567	34.61	37
Total - Below Grade	14,802.11	159,329		8,68
Total - Above Grade	26,366.40	,		254,09
Total	41,168.51	443,134	24,412.53	262,77
RETAIL	GCA (m2)	GCA (SQ Ft)	GFA (m2)	GFA (Sq Ft)
Ground	329.18	3,543	307.51	3,31
Mezz	12.39	133	12.39	13
Total	341.57	3,677	319.90	3,44
Total Residential + Retail	41,510.09	446,810.85	24,732.43	266,217.6

Levels	Visitor/Retail	84 High Street	90 High Street	Residential	Total	Accessible
P-5+				11	11	
P-5				69	69	
P-4				69		
P-3				69	69	
P-2	31			32	63	
P-1	25	6	7		38	
Total Provided	56	6	7	250	319	
Ratio Required	0.2/UNIT			0.8/UNIT		
Total Required	73	6	7	291	377	
D_1			1	210		
Levels	Visitor(Indoor)	Visitor(Outdoor)	Retail(Outdoor)	Residential		Total
	_					
P-1	0		-			
Ground	19	0	0	0		
Ground Total Provided	19 19		-	0 218		
Ground Total Provided Ratio Required	19 19 0.05/UNIT	0	0	0 218 0.6/UNIT		
Ground Total Provided	19 19	0	0	0 218		
Ground Total Provided Ratio Required	19 19 0.05/UNIT 19	0	0	0 218 0.6/UNIT		
Ground Total Provided Ratio Required Total Required	19 19 0.05/UNIT 19	0	0	0 218 0.6/UNIT		
Ground Total Provided Ratio Required Total Required AMENITY	19 19 0.05/UNIT 19 Indoor (m2)	Outdoor (m2)	0 0 Total (m2)	0 218 0.6/UNIT		
Ground Total Provided Ratio Required Total Required AMENITY Ground	19 0.05/UNIT 19 Indoor (m2)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total (m2) 471.37 149.04	0 218 0.6/UNIT		
Ground Total Provided Ratio Required Total Required AMENITY Ground Mezz	19 0.05/UNIT 19 Indoor (m2) 220.46 149.04	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total (m2) 471.37 149.04	0 218 0.6/UNIT 218		
Ground Total Provided Ratio Required Total Required AMENITY Ground Mezz Level 2	19 0.05/UNIT 19 Indoor (m2) 220.46 149.04 240.03	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 250.91 0 418.86	Total (m2) 471.37 149.04 658.89	0 218 0.6/UNIT 218	LANDSCAPE Total Provided	AREA(m
Ground Total Provided Ratio Required Total Required AMENITY Ground Mezz Level 2 Level 7	19 0.05/UNIT 19 Indoor (m2) 220.46 149.04 240.03 134.40 743.93	0 0 0 0 0 0 250.91 0 418.86 105.3	Total (m2) 471.37 149.04 658.89 239.70	0 218 0.6/UNIT 218	LANDSCAPE	AREA(m

	ARCHITECTURAL CONCRETE TYPE	EL	ELEVATION	н	НОТ	0/C	ON CENTRE	s	SINK	U/S	UNDERSIDE
	ACOUSTIC TILE	ELEC	ELECTRICAL	HD	HUB DRAIN	OD	OUTSIDE DIAMETER	S/A	SUPPLY AIR		
	AREA DRAIN	EOS	EDGE OF SLAB	НМ	HOLLOW METAL	ОН	OVERHEAD	sc	SEALED CONCRETE	V	VOLT
3	ARCHITECTURAL EXPOSED STEEL	EP	ELECTRICAL PANEL	HOR	HORIZONTAL			SD	SCUPPER DRAIN	VB	VAPOUR BARRIER
JM	ALUMINUM	EQ	EQUAL	HSS	HOLLOW STEEL SECTION	PC	PRECAST CONCRETE	SPEC	SPECIFICATION	VCT	VINYL COMPOSITE TILE TYPE
F	ABOVE FINISHED FLOOR	EIF	EXTERIOR INSULATION FINISHTYPE	нт	HEIGHT	PLM	PLASTIC LAMINATE	sq	SQUARE	VERT	VERTICAL
		EXP	EXPOSED	HW	HARDWOOD STRIP	PLYWD	PLYWOOD	SQ FT	SQUARE FEET	VEST	VESTIBULE
	COLD	EXJ	EXPANSION JOINT			PRE-FAB	PRE-FABRICATED	SSTL	STAINLESS STEEL	VP	VAPOUR BARRIER
Н	COLD & HOT	EXT	EXTERIOR	IM	INSULATED METAL	PS	PRESSED STEEL	STL	STEEL		
AR	CARPET			INS	INSULATION	PT	PAINT	SIM	SIMILAR	w	WASHER, WIDE
В	CONCRETE BLOCK	FD	FLOOR DRAIN	INT	INTERIOR	P/T	PRESSURE TREATED	ST	STONE TYPE	WD	WOOD TYPE
, -	CENTRE LINE	FDN	FOUNDATION			QM	QUIRK MITRE	STOR	STORAGE	WF	WOOD FINISH TYPE
F	CERAMIC TILE	FIN	FINISH(ED)	JT	JOINT	QIVI	QUIRK WITKE	STRUCT	STRUCTURE, STRUCTURAL	WP	WORKING POINT
J	CONCRETE FINISH TYPE	FL	FLOOR			R	RISER, RADIUS	sw	SWITCH	W.P.	WATERPROOF (MEMBRANE)
-	CONCRETE JOINT	FT	FOOT	LAM	LAMINATED	R.O.				W.R.T.	WITH RESPECT TO
OL	CLOSET	FTG	FOOTING	LIN	LINEN	R.O.	ROUGH OPENING RETURN AIR	Т	TREAD	W/	WITH
ONC	CONCRETE BLOCK	FRG	FIBRE REINFORCED GYPSUM			RAD	RADIATOR	твв	TILE BACKER BOARD		
ONT	CONTINUOUS			MA	MARBLE TYPE	RB	RUBBER BASE	TEL	TELEPHONE	XGWB	EXTERIOR GYPSUM BOARD
т	CONCRETE COLUMN	GALV	GALVANIZED	MAX	MAXIMUM		REINFORCED CONCRETE	тнк	THICK, THICKNESS		
/W	COVERED WITH	GBL	GLASS BLOCK	MECH	MECHANICAL	RC RD		T&G	TONGUE & GROOVE		
		GR	GRANITE	MIN	MINIMUM	REF	ROOF DRAIN REFERENCE DIMENSIONS	TV	TELEVISION		
	DIAMETER, DRYER	GL	GLASS	ML	MELAMINE	REINF	REINFORCED, REINFORCING	TYP	TYPICAL		
FJ	DEFLECTION JOINT	GWB	GYPSUM WALLBOARD	MOD	MODULE			T/O	TOP OF SLAB		
N	DOWN			мт	METAL TYPE	REQ'D RV	REQUIRED	T.O.B.	TOP OF BEAM		
WG	DRAWING						REVEAL	T.O.W.	TOP OF WALL		
				NFWH	NO FREEZE WATER HOSE	REV	REVERSE				
				NIC	NOT IN CONTRACT	R INSUL	RIGID INSULATION				
				N°	NUMBER	RM	ROOM				
				NTS	NOT TO SCALE						

ARCHITECTURAL DRAWING LIST						
DRAWING NO. TITLE	CONSULTANT	DRAWING NO.	TITLE	CONSULTANT		
SCHEDULES		PLANS	PLANS			
A001 TITLE	CORE	A214 LEVEL 15		CORE		
A100 CONTEXT PLAN, STATISTICS, ABBREV., DRAWINGS LIST & ZONING	CORE	A215 LEVEL 16		CORE		
A100a RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT	CORE	A216 LEVELS 17-22		CORE		
A101a SUN-SHADOW STUDY	CORE	A217 LEVEL MECHANICAL	PENTHOUSE	CORE		
A101b SUN-SHADOW STUDY	CORE	A218 LEVEL ROOF		CORE		
A101c SUN-SHADOW STUDY	CORE	ELEVATIONS / SECTIONS				
A101d SUN-SHADOW STUDY	CORE					
A101e SUN-SHADOW STUDY	CORE	A400 SOUTH ELEVATION		CORE		
A101f SUN-SHADOW STUDY	CORE	A401 EAST ELEVATION		CORE		
A101g SUN-SHADOW STUDY	CORE	A402 NORTH ELEVATION		CORE		
A102 SURVEY	JD BARNES	A403 WEST ELEVATION		CORE		
A103 PARCEL PLAN	CORE	A410 SECTION 1		CORE		
A104 SITE PLAN	CORE	A411 SECTION 2		CORE		
PLANS		PERSPECTIVES				
A200 P5.5 PARKING LEVEL	CORE	A600 BUILDING PERSPECT	TIVE	CORE		
A201 P5 PARKING LEVEL	CORE	A601 BUILDING PERSPECT	TIVE	CORE		
A202 P4 PARKING LEVEL	CORE	A602 BUILDING PERSPECT	TIVE	CORE		
A203 P3 PARKING LEVEL	CORE	A603 BUILDING PERSPECT	TIVE	CORE		
A204 P2 PARKING LEVEL	CORE	A604 BUILDING PERSPECT	TIVE	CORE		
A205 P1 PARKING LEVEL	CORE	A605 BUILDING PERSPECT	TIVE	CORE		
A206 GROUND LEVEL	CORE					
A207 MEZZENINE LEVEL	CORE					
A208 LEVEL 2	CORE					
A209 LEVELS 3-6	CORE					
A210 LEVEL 7	CORE					
A211 LEVELS 8-10	CORE					
A212 LEVEL 11	CORE					
A213 LEVELS 12-14	CORE					

2 DRAWING LIST
A100 REF.

UNIT DISTRIBUTION	1Bed	1Bed + Den	2Bed	2Bed+Den	Units per Floor
Ground			1		
	1				
Mezzanine Level 2	10				
Level 3	10				
Level 4	10			1	
Level 5	10			1	
Level 6	10				
Level 7	10				
Level 8	+				
Level 9					
Level 10					
Level 11	7	,	3	2	
Level 12	7	7 4	3	2	
Level 13	7	,	3	2	
Level 14	7	7 4	3	2	
Level 15	7	7	2	2	
Level 16		3	. 0	4	
Level 17		5 2	. 0	4	
Level 18	5	5 2	. 0	4	
Level 19	5	5 2	0	4	
Level 20	5	5	. 0	4	
Level 21		5 2	. 0	4	
Level 22		5 2	. 0	4	
Total Units	149				3
Percentage	41%	29%	16%	14%	100


SITE AREA	3,273.96 SQ. M.	ZONING	RA1-24, H-RA2-48, D		
	35,241 SQ. FT.		CITY OF MISSISSAUGA BY LAW 0225-2007		
PROGRAM	RESIDENTIAL (GROUP C), GROUND LEVEL TO LEVEL 22	RETAIL (GROUP E), GROUND			
	PROPOSED	SQ. M.	SQ. FT.		
GROSS FLOOR AREA	RESIDENTIAL ABOVE GRADE GFA =	23.605.88	254091.5734		
	RESIDENTIAL BELOW GRADE GFA =	806.65	8682.68764		
	TOTAL =	24.412.53	262774.261		
NO. DWELLING UNITS	1BED/ 1BED+DEN	2BED/ 2BED+DEN	TOTAL		
	253 UNITS / 70%	110 UNITS / 30%	363 UNITS		
	REQUIRED	PROPOSED			
FLOOR SPACE INDEX	N/A (REFER TO ZONING BY-LAW 0225-2007)	7.55			
PERCENTAGE OF SITE AS LANDSCAPE AREA	N/A (REFER TO ZONING BY-LAW 0225-2007)	997.35 m2	30.46%		
HEIGHT	SEE SCHEDULE RA-1, H-RA2-48, D	76.00m			
SETBACKS	SEE SCHEDULE RA-1, H-RA2-48, D	SEE DRAWINGS			
LOADING	N/A	1 FORMAL LOADING SPACE	1 FORMAL LOADING SPACE		
		1 PROPOSED SMALLER LOAD	1 PROPOSED SMALLER LOADING SPACE		
OFF-STREET LOADING PASSENGER	N/A (REFER TO ZONING BY-LAW 0225-2007)	N/A			
PERCENTAGE OF GROUND FLOOR AS RETAIL/COMMERCIAL	N/A (REFER TO ZONING BY-LAW 0225-2007)	14.96%			
AMENITY SPACE		INTERIOR AMENITY	743.93 m2		
	5.6m2 PER UNIT REQUIRED AT PER ZONING BY-LAW	EXTERIOR AMENITY	775.07 m2		
	TOTAL = 2,010.4 m2	TOTAL	1519 m2 (AS PER 4.18 m2/UNIT)		
RESIDENTIAL PARKING	0.8 PARKING PER UNIT		CES PROVIDED AT P-2 TO P-5.5 PARKING LEVELS		
	0.8 X 363 UNITS = 291	MINIMUM 0.68 PER UNIT			
VISITOR PARKING	0.2 PARKING PER UNIT	TOTAL OF 56 BARKING SPACE	ES PROVIDED AT P-1 AND P-2 PARKING LEVEL		
VISITORY ARRANGE	0.2 X 363 UNITS = 73	MINIMUM 0.15 PER UNIT	ESTROVIDED ATT I AND I I TARRING LEVEL		
PARKING ALLOCATION FOR 84 & 90 HIGH STREET	6 PARKING SPACES FOR 84 HIGH STREET		HIGH STREET PROVIDED AT P-1 PARKING LEVEL		
TARRING ALLOCATION FOR GA GO THOM STREET	7 PARKING SPACES FOR 90 HIGH STREET		HIGH STREET PROVIDED AT P-1 PARKING LEVEL		
	7 FAMILITY STATES FOR SO THE FISHER	717411110 3176231 317331	MONTH TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY		
RESIDENTIAL BICYCLE PARKING	0.6 BIKE PARKING PER UNIT AS PER ZONING BY LAW	TOTAL OF 218 BIKE PARKING	SPACES PROVIDED AT P-1 PARKING LEVEL		
	0.6 X 363 UNITS = 218				
VISITOR BICYCLE PARKING	0.05 BIKE PARKING PER UNIT AS PER ZONING BY LAW	TOTAL OF 19 BIKE PARKING	SPACES PROVIDED OF WHICH		
	0.05 X 363 UNITS = 19	19 INDOOR BIKE PARKING P	ROVIDED AT GROUND LEVEL		

02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022		
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021		
No.	Revisions	Date		
"ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION "				

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS
130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401
INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO
MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO
APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1





rawn	Scale
CY	NTS
necked DL, CY	Date 24 OCT 2022


CONTEXT PLAN, STATISTICS ABBREV., DRAWINGS LIST & ZONING


Project No. 21-182 Drawing No. A100


4 PARKING & AMENITY STATISITICS
REF.

1 UNIT DISTRIBUTION / SUMMARY

A100 REF.








2 A100a STAGING AREA

∖ A100a */*




WASTE MANAGEMENT STATISTICS

RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 17 DEC 2021 'ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENT ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF

PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION." ONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOS

COREARCHITECTS 130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6 T+1 416 343 0400 • F+1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

> 10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1




SK, CY 1:100 DL, CY 24 OCT 2022

RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE **MANAGEMENT**

A100a 21-182

∖ A100a */*

WASTE MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS



LEGEND: INCREMENTAL SHADOW UNDER CONSTRUCTION OPEN SPACES ■■■ RESIDENTIAL PRVIATE OUTDOOR AMENITY SPACE COMMUNAL OUTDOOR
AMENITY AREAS PUBLIC REALM BUILDING FACES TO ALLOW FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF USING SOLAR ENERGY


02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	Revisions	Date
A M D	ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATE RE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE AR UST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPR RAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE RITTEN PERMISSION."	CHITECT AND ODUCTION OF OCUMENTS IN

ONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS

130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401
INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1





DL, CY 24 OCT 2022

INCREMENTAL SHADOW STUDY

A101.a 21-182




LEGEND: INCREMENTAL SHADOW UNDER CONSTRUCTION OPEN SPACES RESIDENTIAL PRVIATE OUTDOOR AMENITY SPACE COMMUNAL OUTDOOR AMENITY AREAS PUBLIC REALM BUILDING FACES TO ALLOW FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF USING SOLAR ENERGY

02
01
No.
M DI P.

ONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS
130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401
INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1






DL, CY 24 OCT 2022

INCREMENTAL SHADOW STUDY

21-182

Drawing No. A101.b





LEGEND:

INCREMENTAL SHADOW

OPEN SPACES

■■■ RESIDENTIAL PRVIATE

COMMUNAL OUTDOOR
AMENITY AREAS

BUILDING FACES TO ALLOW FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF USING SOLAR ENERGY

PUBLIC REALM

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

OUTDOOR AMENITY SPACE


01 ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 17 DEC 2021 "ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION."

02 RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS
130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401
INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1






28 OCT 2022

DL, CY 24 OCT 2022

INCREMENTAL SHADOW STUDY

Drawing No. A101.c 21-182




LEGEND: INCREMENTAL SHADOW UNDER CONSTRUCTION OPEN SPACES RESIDENTIAL PRVIATE OUTDOOR AMENITY SPACE COMMUNAL OUTDOOR AMENITY AREAS PUBLIC REALM BUILDING FACES TO ALLOW FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF USING SOLAR ENERGY DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION."

02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	Revisions	Date
Αſ	ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATEI RE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE AR UST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPR	CHITECT AND

COREARCHITECTS
130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401
INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1





DL, CY 24 OCT 2022

INCREMENTAL SHADOW STUDY

21-182

Drawing No. A101.d





SEPTEMBER / MARCH 21, 17:12

02 RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 28 OCT 2022 1 ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 17 DEC 2021 "ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION."

LEGEND:

INCREMENTAL SHADOW

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

OUTDOOR AMENITY SPACE

OPEN SPACES

■■■ RESIDENTIAL PRVIATE

COMMUNAL OUTDOOR AMENITY AREAS


BUILDING FACES TO ALLOW FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF USING SOLAR ENERGY

PUBLIC REALM

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS
130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T+1 416 343 0400 • F+1 416 343 0401
INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1






DL, CY 24 OCT 2022

INCREMENTAL SHADOW STUDY

Prawing No. A101.e

21-182




LEGEND: INCREMENTAL SHADOW UNDER CONSTRUCTION OPEN SPACES ■■■ RESIDENTIAL PRVIATE OUTDOOR AMENITY SPACE COMMUNAL OUTDOOR AMENITY AREAS PUBLIC REALM BUILDING FACES TO ALLOW FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF USING SOLAR ENERGY

02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	Revisions	Date
AF DF	ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARLUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPREAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE RITTEN PERMISSION."	CHITECT AND ODUCTION OF OCUMENTS IN

COREARCHITECTS
130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401
INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1






DL, CY 24 OCT 2022

INCREMENTAL SHADOW STUDY

21-182

Drawing No. A 101.f





LEGEND:

INCREMENTAL SHADOW

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

OPEN SPACES

RESIDENTIAL PRVIATE
OUTDOOR AMENITY SPACE

COMMUNAL OUTDOOR AMENITY AREAS

PUBLIC REALM

BUILDING FACES TO ALLOW FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF USING SOLAR ENERGY


02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	Revisions	Date

"ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS
ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND
MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF
DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN
PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S
WRITTEN PERMISSION."

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS
130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T+1 416 343 0400 • F+1 416 343 0401
INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: 0Z/0PA 22-3 W1





 Drawn
 Scale


 PR
 Date

 Checked
 Date


 DL, CY
 24 OCT 2022

INCREMENTAL SHADOW STUDY


Project No. 21-182 Drawing No. A101.g







ANA



RIO


HURONTA

02 RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 1 ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 17 DEC 2021 "ALL DRAWNGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION."

COREARCHITECTS

130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T + 1 416 343 0400 • F + 1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

> 10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1








24 OCT 2022

PARCEL PLAN

Drawing No. A103 21-182







REGULATION PARKING

BARRIER FREE PARKING

TYPE B BARRIER FREE PARKING

HORIZONTAL

VERTICAL

BICYCLE PARKING

BICYCLE PARKING

TYPICAL CONVEX MIRROR


28 OCT 2022

17 DEC 2021

PARKING LEGEND

Drawing No. A201

24 OCT 2022



BICYCLE PARKING

TYPICAL CONVEX MIRROR

02 RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 28 OCT 2022 01 ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 17 DEC 2021

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.


COREARCHITECTS 130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6 T+1 416 343 0400 • F+1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM


> 10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1




24 OCT 2022

Drawing No. A202









PARKING LEGEND

REGULATION PARKING



BARRIER FREE PARKING



TYPE B BARRIER FREE PARKING

HORIZONTAL **BICYCLE PARKING**

VERTICAL

TYPICAL CONVEX MIRROR

V5-V18 PARKING SPACES ARE ALLOCATED FOR 84 AND 90 HIGH STREET

02 RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 28 OCT 2022 1 ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 17 DEC 2021

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOE

COREARCHITECTS 130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6 T+1 416 343 0400 • F+1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM


> 10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1





24 OCT 2022


P1 PARKING LEVEL


Drawing No. A205




















28 OCT 2022

17 DEC 2021









28 OCT 2022

17 DEC 2021




28 OCT 2022

17 DEC 2021



28 OCT 2022

17 DEC 2021




02 RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 28 OCT 2022 01 ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 17 DEC 2021 "ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION."

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS
130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T+1 416 343 0400 • F+1 416 343 0401
INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1





SK, MS DL, CY 24 OCT 2022

LEVEL ROOF

21-182

Drawing No. A218



MATERIALS LEGEND

 $\left\langle \begin{array}{c} AL \\ 1 \end{array} \right\rangle$ ARCHITECTURAL LOUVER,

AL ARCHITECTURAL LOUVER

CF CONCRETE FINISH

(EIF) ACRYLIC FINISH COATING ON CONCRETE

5mm ANNEALED GLASS, CLEAR ARGON GAS FILLED DOUBLE GLAZED UNITS

6mm TEMPERED GLASS, CLEAR ARGON GAS FILLED DOUBLE GLAZED UNITS

8mm TEMPERED GLASS AS REQUIRED TO SUIT GUARDRAIL DESIGN LOADS

GL 6mm EXTERIOR SPANDREL GLASS, SINGLE GLAZED

ML MECHANICAL LOUVER

MT METAL PANEL

MT METAL PANEL

MT METAL PANEL

MD HOLLOW METAL DOOR

02 RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 1 SSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 17 DEC 2021 "ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF

PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION." CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS 130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER

TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6 T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

> 10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1



1:200 DL, CY 24 OCT 2022

SOUTH ELEVATION

Drawing No. A400 21-182

MATERIALS LEGEND

- AL ARCHITECTURAL LOUVER,
- AL ARCHITECTURAL LOUVER
- CF CONCRETE FINISH
- $\binom{\mathsf{CF}}{2}$ LIGHT SANDBLASTED CONCRETE FINISH
- $\left\langle \stackrel{\text{ElF}}{1} \right\rangle$ ACRYLIC FINISH COATING ON CONCRETE
- GL 5mm ANNEALED GLASS, CLEAR ARGON GAS FILLED DOUBLE GLAZED UNITS
- GL 6mm TEMPERED GLASS, CLEAR ARGON GAS FILLED DOUBLE GLAZED UNITS
- 8mm TEMPERED GLASS AS REQUIRED TO SUIT GUARDRAIL DESIGN LOADS
- GL 6mm EXTERIOR SPANDREL GLASS, SINGLE GLAZED
- ML MECHANICAL LOUVER
- MT METAL PANEL
- MT METAL PANEL MT METAL PANEL
- $\binom{MD}{1}$ HOLLOW METAL DOOR

<u> </u>		

02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	Revisions	Date
AF MI DF	ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED RE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE AR JST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPR RAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED D ART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE RITTEN PERMISSION."	CHITECT AND ODUCTION OF OCUMENTS IN

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS

130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6

T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401

INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM

WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1

ASSOCIATION OF OF THE PROPERTY
Scale

MP	1:200
Checked	Date
DL, CY	24 OCT 2022
Title	

EAST ELEVATION

Drawing No. A401 21-182

145.250	3000	MT 1			
LEVEL 19 142.250	3000	18400 SETBACK			GL 5
LEVEL 18	3000				
LEVEL 17	3000	GL 3			GL 3
LEVEL 16	3600				GL 5
(132.650 \) LEVEL 15	3600				
(129.050 \ LEVEL 14	3000	8950 GL 3			MT 1
(126.050 \\ LEVEL 13	3000	8950 SETBACK		-	MT 1 GL 1
123.050 \\ LEVEL 12	300 EIGH	GL 3			GL 3
LEVEL 11	3600 33 76000 LDING HE 81500	GL 5			Gt 5
(116.150 \) LEVEL 10	3000 BUIL	MT			
113.150 \\ LEVEL 09	3000				MT
LEVEL 08	3900	GL 3			GL 3
106.250 \\ LEVEL 07	300	GL 5			GL 5
102.950 LEVEL 06	3000 3	5800		•	MT 1 1 GL
99.950 \\ LEVEL 05	3000	MT 1 GL			SETBACK TD BALCONY
96.950 LEVEL 04 93.950	3000	GL 1			GL 3
PARCEL CLEVEL 03 SOUTH		5000 SETBACK			WALL MOUNT SIGNAGE
PUBLIC 90.050 PARK LEVEL 02	HIGH- ST.	₱/BR\ i			4500 BOTTOM MOUNT SIGNAGE
86-150	ജ് EXISTING			400	SETBACK

5000

STOREY 2485 HERITAGE SETBACK

BUILDING

2485 3000

24800 SETBACK

163.850

158.350

154.750

LEVEL 22

151.550

LEVEL 21

148.250 LEVEL 20

ESTABLISHED GROUND LEVEL GRADE

LEVEL 23 - MPH

MPH ROOF

 $\left(\mathsf{B}\right)$

6500

SETBACK

4400 SETBACK

PARK ST. E.

HOLLOW METAL DOOR 1 PAINTED TO MATCH BRICK TYP.

MATERIALS LEGEND

- AL ARCHITECTURAL LOUVER,
- AL ARCHITECTURAL LOUVER
- CF CONCRETE FINISH
- CF LIGHT SANDBLASTED CONCRETE FINISH
- $\left\langle \stackrel{\mathsf{EIF}}{\mathsf{1}} \right\rangle$ ACRYLIC FINISH COATING ON CONCRETE
- GL 5mm ANNEALED GLASS, CLEAR ARGON GAS FILLED DOUBLE GLAZED UNITS
- 6mm TEMPERED GLASS, CLEAR ARGON GAS FILLED DOUBLE GLAZED UNITS
- 8mm TEMPERED GLASS AS REQUIRED TO SUIT GUARDRAIL DESIGN LOADS
- GL 6mm EXTERIOR SPANDREL GLASS, SINGLE GLAZED
- ML MECHANICAL LOUVER
- MT METAL PANEL
- MT METAL PANEL
- MT METAL PANEL
- MD HOLLOW METAL DOOR

02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	Revisions	Date
	ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED RE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE AR	

MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION."

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS 130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6 T+1 416 343 0400 • F+1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

> 10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1

ASSOCIATION OF	

MP	1:200
Checked	Date
DL, CY	24 OCT 2022
Title	

NORTH ELEVATION

Drawing No. A402 21-182

5680

11925

SETBACK

6515 SETBACK

SETBACK

TO BALCONY

TO BALÇONY

4500 SETBACK

HOLLOW METAL DOOR PAINTED TO MATCH

ANN ST.

163.850

158.350

154.750 LEVEL 22

151.550 LEVEL 21

148.250

LEVEL 20

145.250 LEVEL 19

142.250

LEVEL 18

139.250 LEVEL 17

136.250 LEVEL 16

132.650 LEVEL 15

129.050 \ LEVEL 14

126.050 LEVEL 13

123.050 LEVEL 12

119.750 LEVEL 11

116.150 LEVEL 10

113.150 LEVEL 09

110.150 \ LEVEL 08

106.250 LEVEL 07

/102.950 \

LEVEL 06

99.950

LEVEL 05

96.950

LEVEL 04

/ 93.950 [\] LEVEL 03

90.050 LEVEL 02

86.150 \

82.250

ESTABLISHED GROUND LEVEL GRADE

82.350

MEZZANINE LEVEL

NORTH


PARK

BOTTOM MOUNT SIGNAGE

3000

LEVEL 23 - MPH

MPH ROOF





02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	Revisions	Date
AF MI DF	ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATE RE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE AR UST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPR RAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED D ART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE RITTEN PERMISSION."	CHITECT AND ODUCTION OF OCUMENTS IN

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOE

130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6 T+1 416 343 0400 • F+1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

> 10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1




Drawn	Scale
MP	1:200
Checked	Date
DL, CY	24 OCT 2022

SECTION 1

21-182

Drawing No. A410





02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	Revisions	Date
AF MI DF	ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED RE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE AR JIST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPR RAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DA RAT OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE RITTEN PERMISSION."	CHITECT AND ODUCTION OF OCUMENTS IN

COREARCHITECTS

130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401
INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1

ARNO ASSOCIA	
SOME THEATS	
BABAK ESLAHJOU LICENCE 4706 4706	

le
е
24 OCT 2022

PERSPECTIVE

Project No. 21-182 Drawing No. A600



02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	Revisions	Date
"ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMEN' ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AN MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION O DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT WRITTEN PERMISSION."		

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS 130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6 T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1

	BABAK ESLAHJOU LICENCE 4706
--	-----------------------------

 Drawn
 Scale

 MT
 Date

 DL, CY
 24 OCT 2022

PERSPECTIVE

Project No. 21-182 Drawing No. A601



02	02 RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 28 OCT 2022	
01	01 ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 17 DEC 2021	
No.	No. Revisions Date	
AF MI DF	ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATE RE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE AR UST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPR RAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED D ART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE RITTEN PERMISSION."	CHITECT AND ODUCTION OF OCUMENTS IN

ONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOH

COREARCHITECTS
130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER
TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6
T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401
INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1

LANO ASSOCIA	<u>ر</u>
SOME ITEGTS	2
BABAK ESLAHJOU LICENCE 4706 4706	

Drawn	Scale
MT	
Checked	Date
DL, CY	24 OCT 2022

PERSPECTIVE

Project No. Draw 21-182

Prawing No. A602



02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	Revisions	Date
"ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION."		

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.

COREARCHITECTS 130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6 T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO

APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1

ASSOCIATION OF ASSOCI
01-

Drawn MT	Scale
Checked DL, CY	Date 24 OCT 2022

PERSPECTIVE

Project No. 21-182 Drawing No. A603



02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	28 OCT 2022
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	o. Revisions Date	
Af MI Df	ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATE RE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE AR UST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPR RAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED D ART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE RITTEN PERMISSION."	CHITECT AND ODUCTION OF OCUMENTS IN

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOE

COREARCHITECTS 130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6 T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO
MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO
APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1

ASSOCIATION ASSOCIATION OF COMMENT OF COMMEN

Drawi	ı	Scale
М	Т	
Check	ked	Date
D	L, CY	24 OCT 2022

PERSPECTIVE

Project No. Drawing No. 21-182

Drawing No. A604



02	RE-ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING 28 OCT	
01	ISSUED FOR OPA / REZONING	17 DEC 2021
No.	Revisions	Date
"ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION."		

CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE

COREARCHITECTS 130 QUEENS QUAY EAST, SUITE 700, WEST TOWER TORONTO, ON CANADA M5A 0P6 T +1 416 343 0400 • F +1 416 343 0401 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

10 WEST GO MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO APP NO: OZ/OPA 22-3 W1

ARIO ASSOCIATI	
BABAK ESLAHJOU LICENCE 4706	

Drawn	Scale
MT	
Checked	Date
DL, CY	24 OCT 2022

PERSPECTIVE

Project No. Drawing No. 21-182

Drawing No. A605


APPENDIX A:


Heritage Impact Assessment dated January 27, 2023



APPENDIX B:

Full Block Redevelopment & Land Swap: Change in Property Boundaries








APPENDIX C:

Proposed Site Plan






TREES TO BE EXCLUDED FROM THE DESIGNATED HERITAGE PROPERTIES

(TO BE LOCATED IN THE PARK, OR IN THE HURONTARIO RIGHT-OF-WAY)



APPENDIX D:

Proposed Alterations at 84 High St E




Alteration to heritage attribute: "its location on High St, in the midst of a historical neighbourhood and occupying the west half of the north side of the High St block between Ann St and Hurontario St"; property access (i.e. the path) will be reconfigured so the principal address is on Ann St, not High St.



APPENDIX E:

84 High St E: Impact Mitigation Strategy




APPENDIX A:

Heritage Impact Assessment dated January 27, 2023



APPENDIX B:


Full Block Redevelopment & Land Swap: Change in Property Boundaries





APPENDIX C:

Proposed Site Plan





TREES TO BE EXCLUDED FROM THE DESIGNATED HERITAGE PROPERTIES

(TO BE LOCATED IN THE PARK, OR IN THE HURONTARIO RIGHT-OF-WAY)



APPENDIX D:

Proposed Alterations at 90 High St E

Garage (not referenced in designation by-law) to be excluded from property boundaries; City of Mississauga encouraged to adapt for reuse in North Public Park.

Alteration to elements mentioned in des. by-law:
"The building rests on a relatively large open lot consisting of mature trees, including an uncommon Gingko tree, and other vegetation. An expansive open lawn on the front yard is also open to the Hurontario

Stone pillars (not referenced in designation by-law) to be excluded from property boundaries; City of Mississauga encouraged to retain in public right-of-way until a Hurontario expansion necessitates their removal.

Alteration to element mentioned in des. by-law: "The W. T. Gray house fronts onto High St E"; property access (i.e. the path) will be reconfigured so the principal address is on Hurontario St, not High St.

Stone pillars (not referenced in designation bylaw) to be excluded from property boundaries; City of Mississauga encouraged to retain in public park to maintain legibility of original address on High St


St side of this corner lot."; lot size and lawn will be reduced, and live mature trees (shown in blue) will be excluded but retained in the public park and / or Hurontario right-of-way.

Removal of element mentioned in des. by-law: "an iron fence, which compliments the character of the house and streetscape, is located on the eastern and southern edges of the property"; fence has since been determined to be non-original, in collaboration with Mississauga Heritage Advisory Committee on site walking tour (Sept 2022).

£ 11 1

APPENDIX E:

90 High St E: Impact Mitigation Strategy



City of Mississauga Memorandum



Date: November 27, 2024

To: Chair and Members of the Heritage Advisory Committee

From: Martha Cameron, Legislative Coordinator

Meeting date: December 3, 2024

Subject: 2025 Heritage Advisory Committee Meeting Schedule

The 2025 meeting dates for the Heritage Advisory Committee have been scheduled as follows:

Tuesday, January 14, 2025

Tuesday, February 11, 2025

Tuesday, March 18, 2025

Tuesday, April 8, 2025

Tuesday, May 13, 2025

Tuesday, June 10, 2025

Tuesday, July 22, 2025

Tuesday, September 9, 2025

Tuesday, October 14, 2025

Tuesday, November 4, 2025

Tuesday, December 9, 2025

Meetings will be held virtually through webex.

Meetings may be cancelled at the call of the Chair due to insufficient agenda items or lack of quorum.

Please kindly contact the Legislative Coordinator in advance of the meeting if you will be absent or late so that quorum issues can be anticipated and dealt with accordingly.

Martha Cameron

Prepared by: Martha Cameron, Legislative Coordinator