

---

## Heritage Advisory Committee

**Date:** February 11, 2020  
**Time:** 9:30 AM  
**Location:** Civic Centre, Council Chamber  
300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, L5B 3C1

### Members

|                            |                             |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Councillor George Carlson  | Ward 11 (Chair)             |
| Councillor Carolyn Parrish | Ward 5                      |
| David Cook                 | Citizen Member (Vice-Chair) |
| Michael Battaglia          | Citizen Member              |
| Alexander Hardy            | Citizen Member              |
| James Holmes               | Citizen Member              |
| Rick Meteljan              | Citizen Member              |
| Lisa Small                 | Citizen Member              |
| Jamie Stevens              | Citizen Member              |
| Melissa Stolarz            | Citizen Member              |
| Terry Ward                 | Citizen Member              |
| Matthew Wilkinson          | Citizen Member              |

### Contact

Megan Piercey, Legislative Coordinator, Legislative Services  
905-615-3200 ext. 4915  
Email [megan.piercey@mississauga.ca](mailto:megan.piercey@mississauga.ca)

**NOTE:** To support corporate waste reduction efforts the large appendices in this agenda can be viewed online.

### Find it Online

<http://www.mississauga.ca/portal/cityhall/heritageadvisory>

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

2. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

3. **DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

4. **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING**

4.1 Heritage Advisory Committee Minutes - January 14, 2020

5. **DEPUTATIONS**

5.1 Randy Eadie, Golder Associates Ltd. with respect to a Heritage Listed Property: 1200 Old Derry Road (Ward 11)

6. **PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD**

Pursuant to Section 42 of the Council Procedure By-law 0139-2013, as amended:

Heritage Advisory Committee may grant permission to a member of the public to ask a question of Heritage Advisory Committee, with the following provisions:

1. The question must pertain to a specific item on the current agenda and the speaker will state which item the question is related to.
2. A person asking a question shall limit any background explanation to two (2) statements, followed by the question.
3. The total speaking time shall be five (5) minutes maximum, per speaker.

7. **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED**

7.1 Heritage Planning 2019 Year in Review

8. **INFORMATION ITEMS**

8.1 Peel Heritage Committees Meeting Working Group

8.2 Alteration to a Property adjacent to Listed Property: 5150 Ninth Line (Ward 10)

9. **OTHER BUSINESS**

10. **DATE OF NEXT MEETING - March 10, 2020**

11. **ADJOURNMENT**

---

## Heritage Advisory Committee

**Date:** January 14, 2020  
**Time:** 9:33 AM  
**Location:** Civic Centre, Council Chamber  
300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, L5B 3C1

|                        |                            |                                     |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <b>Members Present</b> | Councillor George Carlson  | Ward 11 (Chair)                     |
|                        | Councillor Carolyn Parrish | Ward 5                              |
|                        | David Cook                 | Citizen Member (Vice-Chair)         |
|                        | Alexander Hardy            | Citizen Member (arrived at 9:43 am) |
|                        | James Holmes               | Citizen Member                      |
|                        | Rick Mateljan              | Citizen Member                      |
|                        | Jamie Stevens              | Citizen Member                      |
|                        | Matthew Wilkinson          | Citizen Member                      |
| <b>Members Absent</b>  | Michael Battaglia          | Citizen Member                      |
|                        | Lisa Small                 | Citizen Member                      |
|                        | Melissa Stolarz            | Citizen Member                      |
|                        | Terry Ward                 | Citizen Member                      |
|                        | Adrian Zita-Bennett        | Citizen Member                      |

- 
1. CALL TO ORDER - 9:33 AM
  2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA  
Approved (D. Cook)
  3. DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST - Nil
  4. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING
    - 4.1 Heritage Advisory Committee Minutes – November 5, 2019  
Approved (M. Wilkinson)
  5. DEPUTATIONS - Nil
  6. PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD - Nil
  7. MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED
    - 7.1 Request to Demolish a Heritage Listed Property: 795 First Street (Ward 1)

No discussion took place during this item. Committee members noted approval.

#### RECOMMENDATION HAC-0001-2020

That the property at 795 First Street, which is listed on the City's Heritage Register, is not worthy of heritage designation, and consequently, that the owner's request to demolish proceed through the applicable process, as per the Corporate Report from the Commissioner of Community Services dated December 19, 2019.

Approved (D. Cook)

#### 8. INFORMATION ITEMS

##### 8.1 Resignation Email from Adrian Zita-Bennett, Citizen Member, Heritage Advisory Committee

Councillor Parrish directed staff to review the applications of previous Heritage Advisory Committee candidates to determine if the vacancy could be filled by a candidate that was previously interviewed.

#### RECOMMENDATION HAC-0002-2020

1. That the resignation email from Adrian Zita-Bennett, Citizen Member, Heritage Advisory Committee be received.
2. That due to the resignation of Adrian Zita Bennett, a vacancy exists on the Heritage Advisory Committee, and that the City Clerk be directed to review previous applications to the Heritage Advisory Committee to address the vacancy in accordance with the Corporate Policy #02-01-01 on Citizen Appointments to Committees, Boards and Authorities.

Approved (D. Cook)

#### 9. OTHER BUSINESS

A. Hardy joined the meeting at 9:43 am.

John Dunlop, Supervisor, Heritage Planning provided an update on the intent to designate two structures on the property located at 1200 Old Derry Road and noted that the City received a notice of objection in regards to the intent to designate. Mr. Dunlop noted that the matter would be referred to the Conservation Review Board and that the property owner had scheduled a deputation for the February 11, 2020 Heritage Advisory Committee (HAC) meeting. Councillor Carlson advised the committee that the owner of 1200 Old Derry Road had agreed to allow members of HAC to attend a site visit to view the two structures. Councillor Carlson noted that he would advise the committee of the date of the site visit once it was determined.

Councillor Parrish provided a brief update on the status of the QEW Credit River Bridge and noted that the committee contributed in saving the bridge from demolition.



Matthew Wilkinson, Citizen Member inquired if the City's Dundas Connects project would impact any heritage properties. Councillor Carlson advised that no concrete decisions had been made and that Council would look for possible solutions to not impact nearby heritage properties.

John Dunlop, Supervisor, Heritage Planning advised the committee that Mississauga would be hosting the 2020 Peel Heritage Committees meeting, which would include members and staff from the Heritage Committees of Brampton, Caledon, Mississauga and the Peel Archives (PAMA). Mr. Dunlop noted that the meeting would take place on Friday, June 19, 2020 at the Small Arms Inspection Building, 1352 Lakeshore Road East.

Councillor Parrish provided a brief update on the status of the Avro Arrow project, which included the status of the manufacturing contracts and funding.

10. DATE OF NEXT MEETING - February 11, 2020
11. ADJOURNMENT - 10:01 AM (R. Mateljan)

# City of Mississauga Corporate Report



Date: 1/9/2020

To: Chair and Members of Heritage Advisory Committee

From: Paul Mitcham, P. Eng, MBA, Commissioner of  
Community Services

Originator's files:

Meeting date:  
2/11/2020

## Subject

**Heritage Planning 2019 Year in Review**

## Recommendation

That the Corporate Report dated January 9, 2020 from the Commissioner of Community Services, entitled "Heritage Planning 2019 Year in Review," be received for information.

### Report Highlights

- This report provides a review of all projects, applications, permits and grants completed by the Heritage Planning team in 2018.
- Increases are noted in the number of permits and grants projects processed.
- Completion of Phase 1 of the Cultural Heritage Landscape Inventory Review resulted in 1300 properties being removed from the Heritage Register
- Noted accomplishments include providing guidance and support in the QEW Credit Bridge Council decision, achieving LPAT settlement on the Old Port Credit HCD Plan, and securing funds for the unpermitted removal of buildings from heritage properties.

## Background

Council established the Heritage Advisory Committee (HAC), then known as the Local Architectural Conservation Advisory Committee, in 1976. HAC advises Council on matters relating to the identification, conservation and preservation of Mississauga's cultural heritage property.

## Comments

Heritage Planning staff, within the Culture Division, support HAC by preparing research and reports related to the alteration of heritage property throughout the City:

### Heritage Property Application Review

Due to the City's extensive Heritage Register, Heritage Planning staff review hundreds of applications a year. Heritage Planning staff analyze, evaluate and comment on every Official Plan Amendment, Rezoning and Plan of Subdivision application, as well as Site Plan and Committee of Adjustment applications that pertain to heritage properties. Staff also provide clearances on building permit applications for heritage property wherein a heritage permit is not required.

### Heritage Permit/Demolition Application Review

The City processed 43 heritage permit/demolition applications in 2019. This represents a 10% increase from the previous year despite the removal of 1300 properties from the heritage register.

### Heritage Grant Program

The City approved eighteen grants in 2019 funded in total at \$97,302. The following eleven projects were completed in 2019:

| Address                    | Grant Payment    |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| 1009 Old Derry Road        | \$10,000         |
| 1173 Queen Victoria Avenue | \$2,260          |
| 1234 Old River Road        | \$5,000          |
| 1900 Derry Road East       | \$10,000         |
| 223 Queen Street South     | \$5,000          |
| 2625 Hammond Road          | \$5,000          |
| 292 Queen Street South     | \$3,447          |
| 295 Queen Street South     | \$4,238          |
| 32 Front Street South      | \$5,000          |
| 32 Peter Street South      | \$5,000          |
| 7057 Pond Street           | \$2,733          |
| Total                      | <b>\$57, 678</b> |

|                             |            |   |
|-----------------------------|------------|---|
| Heritage Advisory Committee | 2020/01/09 | 3 |
|-----------------------------|------------|---|

---

Three of the above projects; 1173 Queen Victoria Avenue, 292 Queen Street South and 7057 Pond Street, submitted costs below their approved amounts, resulting in \$3,021 of unused funds, which will be returned to the Arts Reserve.

Four projects; 1011 Old Derry Road, 1074 Old Derry Road, 1155 Willow Lane and 41 Bay Street (funded in total at \$ 24,153) were provided extensions and will be completed in 2020.

Three projects; 1090 Old Derry Road, 141 Lakeshore Road East and 1614 Wintergrove Gardens (funded in total at \$12,450) were not completed, and the approved funds will be returned to the Arts Reserve.

This represents a doubling of the number of approved grant projects and an increase of \$54,024 of heritage grants funding from 2018 and is a result of the Designated Heritage Grants Pilot Project, approved in early 2018.

### **Heritage Interpretive Signage**

The City installed a sign interpreting the Gothic window at Churchill Meadows Library.

### **Heritage Management Strategy Implementation**

As per specific recommendations in the Heritage Management Strategy, the following initiatives were undertaken:

- Heritage Planning communications campaign: social media campaign garnered 98,111 impressions (views) and 17,317 engagements (actions with post), a significant increase from last year
- Heritage Incentives: pilot project for \$25,000 grant for large restoration projects
- Completed Phase 1 and launched Phase 2 of Cultural Heritage Landscape Review Project
  - Removed 1300 properties from City's Heritage Register
  - Held two community meetings and presented at one residents association

### **Additional Notable 2019 Staff and Committee Initiatives:**

- Providing guidance and information to Council and local groups resulting in a reversal of the provincial position to demolish the QEW Credit River Bridge
- Secured \$24,000 in fines for Ontario Heritage Act infractions
- 2019 Citizen Satisfaction Survey shows 6% satisfaction increase in identification and preservation of heritage properties
- Achieving a positive settlement at LPAT for the Port Credit Heritage Conservation District.

### **Heritage Planning staff core workload also includes:**

- Responding to numerous inquiries re: the approximately 1400 properties included on the City's Heritage Register

|                             |            |   |
|-----------------------------|------------|---|
| Heritage Advisory Committee | 2020/01/09 | 2 |
|-----------------------------|------------|---|

---

- Contributing to City planning policies, visioning studies, strategic and master plans
- Serving as team member on multiple City projects with a potential heritage component; includes park/City asset projects, environmental assessments and more
- Liaising with by-law enforcement, building inspector and prosecutions staff, as necessary, on contraventions related to heritage properties
- Preparing for provincial court offences related to charges under the Ontario Heritage Act
- Preparing and/or managing recommendations/decisions related to Land Planning Appeal Tribunal hearings

## Financial Impact

There is no financial impact resulting from the recommendation in this report.

## Conclusion

In 2019, the Heritage Advisory Committee, with support from Heritage Planning staff, advised Council on numerous heritage conservation initiatives. Heritage Planning staff recommend that the Corporate Report entitled “Heritage Planning 2019 Year in Review,” be received.




---

Paul Mitcham, P. Eng, MBA, Commissioner of Community Services

Prepared by: P. Wubbenhorst, Heritage Planner

City of Mississauga  
**Memorandum**



---

Date: 2020/01/14  
To: Chair and Members of Heritage Advisory Committee  
From: Paul Damaso, Director, Culture Division  
Meeting Date: 2020/02/11  
Subject: Peel Heritage Committees Meeting Working Group

---

The Mississauga Heritage Advisory Committee will be hosting the 2020 Peel Heritage Committees meeting, which includes members and staff from the heritage committees of Brampton, Caledon, Mississauga and the Peel Archives (PAMA).

Mississauga Heritage Planning staff have booked the Small Arms Inspection Building, 1352 Lakeshore Road East, for the event on Friday, June 19, 2020. As hosts, the Heritage Advisory Committee is requested to appoint 4 volunteers to a working group which will organize the event; tasks include planning/booking guest speaker(s)/tour, catering, logistics, etc.

---

Paul Damaso, Director, Culture Division

City of Mississauga  
**Memorandum**



---

Date: 2020/01/15  
To: Chair and Members of Heritage Advisory Committee  
From: Paul Damaso, Director, Culture Division  
Meeting Date: 2020/02/11  
Subject: Alteration to a Property adjacent to Listed Property: 5150 Ninth Line (Ward 10)

---

This memorandum and its attachment are presented for HAC's information.

Section 7.4.1.12 of the Mississauga Official Plan states that: "The proponent of any construction, development, or property alteration that might adversely affect a listed or designated cultural heritage resource or which proposed adjacent to a cultural heritage resource will be required to submit a Heritage Impact Assessment, prepared to the satisfaction of the City and other appropriate authorities having jurisdiction." A report is attached for your reference.

**Attachments**

Appendix 1: Heritage Impact Assessment

---

Paul Damaso, Director, Culture Division

Prepared by: John Dunlop, Supervisor, Heritage Planning

**Scoped Heritage Impact Assessment  
5150 & 5170 Ninth Line, City of Mississauga  
Heritage Impact Assessment  
For Adjacent 5104 Ninth Line  
City of Mississauga, Region of Peel  
(Formerly Town of Milton, in the Regional Municipality of Halton), Ontario**

Prepared for  
**Mattamy Homes, Land Development**  
7880 Keele Street  
Vaughan, Ontario L4K 4G7  
Tel: (905) 907 8871

By  
**Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.**  
219-900 Guelph Street  
Kitchener, ON N2H 5Z6  
Tel: (519) 804-2291 Fax: (519) 286-0493

HR-161-2019  
*Project# 2019-0280-02*

**29/10/2019**

**Revised Report**



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Under a contract awarded in July 2019 by Mattamy Homes, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. was retained to complete a Heritage Impact Assessment related to the South Ninth Line development at 5150 and 5170 Ninth Line, City of Mississauga (referred to going forward as 5150 Ninth Line). ARA was further retained in October 2019 to undertake revisions to the Heritage Impact Assessment to reflect revised concept plans for the development. The adjacent property at 5104 Ninth Line is a listed property on the *City of Mississauga's Municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest*. As such, the City of Mississauga requested a scoped Heritage Impact Assessment. The City provided direction regarding completing a scoped assessment in accordance with the *City of Mississauga's Heritage Impact Assessment Terms of Reference* on July 25, 2019, indicating that Section 2.1 (a detailed site history to include a listing of owners from the land registry office) and Section 2.3 bullets 1 and 2 (internal photographs and measured drawings) were not required.

The property at 5104 Ninth Line, the subject property, was originally located within the boundaries of the Town of Milton. On January 1, 2010 lands situated east of Highway 407, including the subject property, were annexed to the City of Mississauga. The property is located within the east part of Lot 1, Concession 9, New Survey, in the former Geographic Township of Trafalgar, in the former Halton County, Ontario, currently the City of Mississauga, Regional Municipality of Peel.

The Heritage Impact Assessment approach consisted of the following:

- Background research concerning the project context, natural context and historical context of the study area;
- Consultation with the City of Mississauga's Heritage Planner;
- Identification of any designated or recognized cultural heritage properties within and adjacent to the subject lands;
- On-site inspection and identification of all properties with potential Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes within, adjacent to or otherwise in close proximity to the subject lands;
- A description of the location and nature of potential cultural heritage resources;
- Evaluation of potential cultural heritage resources against the criteria set out in Ontario Regulation 9/06 for determining cultural heritage value or interest;
- Evaluation of potential project impacts of the proposed development; and
- Provision of suggested strategies for the future conservation of identified cultural heritage resources.

Research, consultation and field work completed for this report found that 5150 Ninth Line did not possess potential cultural heritage value or interest. Following consultation, historical research, field survey and evaluation against the criteria set out in Ontario Regulation 9/06 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the property at 5104 Ninth Line was not found to meet any of the criteria. Modifications have impacted architectural features of 5104 Ninth Line such that it is no longer a representative example of the Neoclassical style, nor is it an early example. The property is associated with the O'Haras, a long-time farming family in the area. Research conducted did not suggest any notable contributions to the community made by the individuals who lived on the property. The contextual value of the property has been diminished through adjacent and proposed contemporary suburban development and the construction of Highway 407.

The proposed development will have indirect impacts on the subject property. The development entails the demolition and removal of the existing dwelling and outbuildings from the project location at 5150 Ninth Line and the construction of approximately 119 condominium units in medium-density residential buildings to be located along the south side of Ninth Line. The proposed buildings are all attached homes including dual frontage towns, front load towns and back-to-back towns (village homes).

Research and field work completed for this report found that 5150 Ninth Line did not possess potential cultural heritage value or interest. Although the property at 5104 Ninth Line was not found to meet the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06, it is an historic farmhouse, as such development considerations that are sympathetic to the existing historic fabric have been suggested. Mitigation measures have been recommended for the development at 5150 Ninth Line that consider the existing historic fabric of the adjacent property at 5104 Ninth Line:

- That slight modifications to the designs of all of the proposed product types be considered, specifically the rear elevation of the front load towns (proposed directly adjacent to the subject property), to draw from and reflect the proportions, materials and design elements reflective of 5104 Ninth Line, notably the red brick cladding, brick voussairs, medium pitched side gable roof, square window and door openings, and centrally placed front entrance with sidelights and/or transom.
- That to better reflect the adjacent property at 5104 Ninth Line, red brick and white design elements should be considered in the colour palate of each proposed product type.
- That during construction, it is recommended that construction fencing be erected between the property at 5104 Ninth Line and the project location at a sufficient distance to ensure that there will be no direct or indirect impacts to the building. The fencing should be maintained throughout the duration of the construction period.
- That to ensure the adequate screening of the property at 5104 Ninth Line, it is recommended that the proposed options presented by Mattamy Homes, including the erection of a wood privacy fence, the conservation of extant mature trees on the subject property and the planting of rows of vegetation be implemented along the east side of the development, directly adjacent to 5104 Ninth Line.
- That a landscape architect or arborist should be retained to investigate the feasibility of conserving mature trees along the east side of the development, directly adjacent to the subject property to further ensure adequate screening.
- That the concept plan for the South Ninth Line development should be revised to realign the 20 m public road further to the south, oriented around the property at 5104 Ninth Line.

The 2014 *Provincial Policy Statement* notes that cultural heritage value or interest is bestowed upon cultural heritage resources by communities. Accordingly, the system by which heritage is governed in this province places emphasis on the decision-making of local municipalities in determining cultural heritage value or interest. It is hoped that the information presented in this report will be useful in those deliberations.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>   | <b>III</b> |
| <b>1.0 PROJECT CONTEXT</b>   | <b>1</b>   |
| <b>2.0 METHOD</b>  | <b>2</b>   |
| <b>3.0 SITE HISTORY</b>  | <b>7</b>   |
| 3.1 Trafalgar Township, Former County of Halton  | 8          |
| 3.2 Study Area   | 8          |
| 3.3 Project Location   | 9          |
| 3.4 5104 Ninth Line  | 9          |
| <b>4.0 HERITAGE CONTEXT</b>  | <b>14</b>  |
| 4.1 Consultation   | 14         |
| 4.2 Site Visit   | 14         |
| <b>5.0 DESCRIPTION OF BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES – PROJECT LOCATION AT 5150 NINTH LINE</b> | <b>14</b>  |
| 5.1 Context  | 14         |
| 5.2 Arrangement of Buildings and Structures  | 14         |
| 5.3 Landscape Features   | 15         |
| 5.4 Dwelling Exterior  | 15         |
| 5.5 Detached Garage Exterior   | 15         |
| 5.6 Workshop Exterior  | 15         |
| 5.7 Architectural Style/Design   | 15         |
| <b>6.0 DESCRIPTION OF BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES – SUBJECT PROPERTY 5104 NINTH LINE</b>    | <b>18</b>  |
| 6.1 Context  | 18         |
| 6.2 Arrangement of Buildings and Structures  | 18         |
| 6.3 Landscape Features   | 18         |
| 6.4 Dwelling Exterior  | 18         |
| 6.5 Garage Exterior  | 19         |
| 6.6 Shed Exterior  | 19         |
| 6.7 Architectural Style/Design   | 19         |
| <b>7.0 HERITAGE ASSESSMENT</b>   | <b>20</b>  |
| <b>8.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</b>  | <b>21</b>  |
| 8.1 Description of Proposed Development  | 21         |
| 8.2 Purpose and Rationale for Proposed Development                                       | 22         |
| 8.3 Land Use Planning Context  | 22         |
| <b>9.0 ANALYSIS OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS</b>   | <b>39</b>  |
| <b>10.0 ASSESEMENT OF MITIGATION MEASURES</b>  | <b>42</b>  |
| 10.1 Previous Design Alternative   | 42         |
| 10.2 Buffers   | 43         |
| 10.3 Architectural Design Elements   | 43         |
| 10.4 Colour Palette  | 44         |
| 10.5 Construction Fencing  | 44         |

|             |   |           |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| 10.6        | Road Alignment  | 44        |
| <b>11.0</b> | <b>SUMMARY STATEMENT AND CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS</b> | <b>44</b> |
| <b>12.0</b> | <b>MANDATORY STATEMENT</b>                                | <b>45</b> |
| 12.1        | Design or Physical Value                                  | 45        |
| 12.2        | Historical or Associative Value                           | 46        |
| 12.3        | Contextual Value  | 46        |
| 12.4        | Conclusion  | 46        |
| <b>13.0</b> | <b>BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SOURCES</b>                           | <b>47</b> |

## LIST OF APPENDICES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Appendix A: 5150 and 5104 Ninth Line Images                         | 50 |
| Appendix B: Historical Photos, Drawings and Other Archival Material | 69 |
| Appendix C: Key Team Member Two-Page Curriculum Vitae               | 70 |

## LIST OF IMAGES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Image 1: Contextual View to 5150 Ninth Line from Ninth Line  | 51 |
| Image 2: Contextual View to 5150 Ninth Line from the South   | 51 |
| Image 3: Façade of 5150 Ninth Line   | 52 |
| Image 4: Northeast corner of 5150 Ninth Line   | 52 |
| Image 5: Southeast corner of 5150 Ninth Line   | 53 |
| Image 6: South Elevation of 5150 Ninth Line  | 53 |
| Image 7: Southwest corner of 5150 Ninth Line   | 54 |
| Image 8: Façade of Garage at 5150 Ninth Line   | 54 |
| Image 9: Northeast Corner of Garage at 5150 Ninth Line   | 55 |
| Image 10: Northwest Corner of Garage at 5150 Ninth Line  | 55 |
| Image 11: Northeast Corner of Workshop at 5150 Ninth Line  | 56 |
| Image 12: East Elevation of Workshop at 5150 Ninth Line  | 56 |
| Image 13: Mature Trees on North End of Property at 5150 Ninth Line   | 57 |
| Image 14: Mature Trees on North End of Property at 5150 Ninth Line   | 57 |
| Image 15: Contextual View of Agricultural Portion of Property Adjacent to Residence at 5150 Ninth Line     | 58 |
| Image 16: Contextual View of Agricultural Portion of Property Adjacent to Residence at 5150 Ninth Line     | 58 |
| Image 17: Contextual View of South Portion of Property at 5150 Ninth Line Bound by Highway 407             | 59 |
| Image 18: Contextual View of South Portion of Property at 5150 Ninth Line Looking Towards Cell Phone Tower | 59 |
| Image 19: Access Road to Cell Phone Tower Running North-South on West Side of Property at 5150 Ninth Line  | 60 |
| Image 20: Contextual View to 5104 Ninth Line from Ninth Line   | 60 |
| Image 21: Contextual View to 5104 Ninth Line from 5150 Ninth Line  | 61 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Image 22: Contextual View of 5104 Ninth Line from Ninth Line   | 61 |
| Image 23: Façade of 5104 Ninth Line  | 62 |
| Image 24: Detailed View of Cladding, Window and Roofline, 5104 Ninth Line                              | 62 |
| Image 25: Northeast Corner of 5104 Ninth Line  | 63 |
| Image 26: South Elevation of 5104 Ninth Line   | 63 |
| Image 27: West Elevation of 5104 Ninth Line  | 64 |
| Image 28: Detail of Chimney Ghost, 5104 Ninth Line   | 64 |
| Image 29: Detail of first storey window opening  | 65 |
| Image 30: Northwest Corner of 5104 Ninth Line  | 65 |
| Image 31: Northeast Corner of Workshop at 5104 Ninth Line  | 66 |
| Image 32: West Elevation of Workshop at 5104 Ninth Line  | 66 |
| Image 33: Northwest Corner of Shed at 5104 Ninth Line  | 67 |
| Image 34: Contextual View of Study Area Along Ninth Line   | 67 |
| Image 35: Contextual View of Suburban Residential Development North of Study Area<br>Across Ninth Line | 68 |
| Image 36: Examples of Neoclassical Architectural Style in City of Mississauga                          | 68 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure 1: Topographic Sketch of 5150 Ninth Line  | 16 |
| Figure 2: Topographic Sketch of 5170 Ninth Line  | 17 |
| Figure 3: Mattamy Homes Concept Plan for 5150 Ninth Line   | 23 |
| Figure 4: Mattamy Homes Dual Frontage Towns – Front Elevation (Blue on Concept<br>Plan)                              | 24 |
| Figure 5: Mattamy Homes Dual Frontage Towns – Front Elevation (2) (Blue on Concept<br>Plan)                          | 25 |
| Figure 6: Mattamy Homes Dual Frontage Towns – Elevations (Blue on Concept Plan)                                      | 26 |
| Figure 7: Mattamy Homes Front Load Towns – “Contemporary” Front Elevation (Yellow<br>on Concept Plan)                | 27 |
| Figure 8: Mattamy Homes Front Load Towns – “Transitional” Front Elevation (Yellow on<br>Concept Plan)                | 28 |
| Figure 9: Mattamy Homes Front Load Towns – Rear Elevation (Yellow on Concept Plan)                                   | 29 |
| Figure 10: Mattamy Homes Front Load Towns – Right Side Elevation (Yellow on Concept<br>Plan)                         | 30 |
| Figure 11: Mattamy Homes Front Load Towns – Left Side Elevation (Yellow on Concept<br>Plan)                          | 31 |
| Figure 12: Mattamy Homes Back to Back Towns Village Homes – Rendering (Pink on<br>Concept Plan)                      | 32 |
| Figure 13: Mattamy Homes Back to Back Towns Village Homes – Front Elevation (Pink<br>on Concept Plan)                | 33 |
| Figure 14: Mattamy Homes Back to Back Towns Village Homes – Front & Right Side<br>Elevations (Pink on Concept Plan)  | 34 |
| Figure 15: Mattamy Homes Back to Back Towns Village Homes – Front Elevation<br>“Transitional” (Pink on Concept Plan) | 35 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 16: Mattamy Homes Back to Back Towns Village Homes – Front & Right Side Elevations (Pink on Concept Plan)        | 36 |
| Figure 17: Concept from City of Mississauga's <i>Shaping Ninth Line Urban Design Guidelines</i>                         | 37 |
| Figure 18: Private Realm Design Guidelines from City of Mississauga's <i>Shaping Ninth Line Urban Design Guidelines</i> | 38 |
| Figure 19: Proximity of Proposed Development to 5104 Ninth Line   | 41 |
| Figure 20: Section Showing Proximity of Proposed Development to 5104 Ninth Line   | 42 |

## LIST OF MAPS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Map 1: Project Location and 5104 Ninth Line in the City of Mississauga       | 2  |
| Map 2: Project Location and 5104 Ninth Line on an 1858 Map                   | 11 |
| Map 3: Project Location and 5104 Ninth Line on an 1877 Map                   | 12 |
| Map 4: Project Location and 5104 Ninth Line on a 1909 Topographic Map        | 13 |
| Map 5: Overlay of Concept Plan for 5150 Ninth Line on Current Aerial Imagery | 40 |
| Map 6: Photo Location Map of 5150 and 5104 Ninth Line                        | 50 |

## LIST OF TABLES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 1: County and Township Settlement History                  | 8  |
| Table 2: Maps and Aerial Photographs Consulted                   | 8  |
| Table 3: Evaluation of 5104 Ninth Line Using <i>O. Reg. 9/06</i> | 20 |
| Table 4: Ownership History of 5104 Ninth Line                    | 69 |
| Table 5: Ownership History of 5150 Ninth Line                    | 69 |

## GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

ARA – Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.  
 BHR – Built Heritage Resource  
 CHL – Cultural Heritage Landscape  
 CHVI – Cultural Heritage Value or Interest  
 HIA – Heritage Impact Assessment  
 MCL – (Former) Ministry of Culture  
 MTC – (Former) Ministry of Tourism and Culture  
 MTCS – Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport  
 MTO – Ministry of Transportation  
 OHA – Ontario Heritage Act  
 OHT – Ontario Heritage Trust  
 O. Reg. – Ontario Regulation  
 PPS – Provincial Policy Statement  
 VLA – Veteran's Land Act

## PERSONNEL

**Principal:** P.J. Racher, M.A. CAHP

**Heritage Operations Manager:** K. Jonas Galvin, M.A., MCIP, RPP, CAHP

**Project Manager:** L. Benjamin M.A.E.S, MCIP, RPP, CAHP

**Site Visit:** K. Jonas Galvin, L. Benjamin

**Historical Research:** S. Clarke, B.A.

**Photography:** K. Jonas Galvin

**Cartographer:** K. Brightwell (GIS)

**Technical Writers:** K. Jonas Galvin, L. Benjamin, P. Young, M.A., CAHP, J. McDermid, B.A.

Two-page curriculum vitae (CV) that demonstrate the qualifications and expertise of key team members to perform cultural heritage work in Ontario are provided in Appendix C.

## MINIMUM REPORT REQUIREMENTS CHART

| <b>City of Mississauga Minimum Requirements</b>   | <b>ARA Equivalent</b>  |
|---|--|
| 2.1 Site History  | 3.0 Site History   |
| 2.2 Description of Existing Structures  | 5.0 Description of Buildings and Structures  |
| 2.2 Statement of Conclusions (Significance and Heritage Attributes of the Cultural Heritage Resource)             | 7.0 Heritage Assessment<br>11.0 Mandatory Statement  |
| 2.2 Location Map  | 1.0 Project Context  |
| 2.3 Documentation of Existing Conditions (Current External Photographs)   | Appendix A: 5150 and 5104 Ninth Line Images  |
| 2.3 Documentation of Existing Conditions (Measured Drawings – Elevations, Floor Plans, and a Site Plan or Survey) | 5.0 Description of Buildings and Structures – Project Location at 5150 Ninth Line<br>6.0 Description of Buildings and Structures – 5104 Ninth Line |
| 2.3 Documentation of Existing Conditions (Historical Photos, Drawings or Other Archival Material)                 | Appendix B: Historical Photos, Drawings and Other Archival Material  |
| 2.4 Outline of the Proposed Development   | 8.0 Proposed Development   |
| 2.5 Full Architectural Drawings   | 8.1 Description of Proposed Development  |
| 2.6 Assessment of Alternative Development Options and Mitigation Measures   | 9.0 Assessment of Alternative Development Options and Mitigation Measures  |
| 2.7 Summary of Conservation Principles  | 9.0 Assessment of Alternative Development Options and Mitigation Measures<br>10.0 Summary Statement and Conservation Recommendations               |
| 2.8 Proposed Demolitions/Alterations  | 8.0 Proposed Development   |
| 2.9 Alternatives for Salvage Mitigation   | 9.0 Assessment of Alternative Development Options and Mitigation Measures  |
| 3. Summary Statement and Conservation Recommendations   | 10.0 Summary Statement and Conservation Recommendations  |
| 4. Mandatory Recommendation   | 11.0 Mandatory Statement   |
| 5. Qualifications   | Appendix C: Key Team Member Two-Page Curriculum Vitae  |




## 1.0 PROJECT CONTEXT

Under a contract awarded in July 2019 by Mattamy Homes, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. (ARA) was retained to complete a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) related to the South Ninth Line development at 5150 and 5170 Ninth Line, City of Mississauga (referred to going forward as 5150 Ninth Line or the project location). ARA was further retained in October 2019 to undertake revisions to the HIA to reflect revised concept plans for the development. The adjacent property at 5104 Ninth Line is a listed property on the *City of Mississauga's Municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest*. As such, the City of Mississauga requested a scoped HIA. On July 25, 2019, the City provided direction regarding completion of a scoped HIA in accordance with the *City of Mississauga's Heritage Impact Assessment Terms of Reference* and indicated that Section 2.1 (a detailed site history to include a listing of owners from the land registry office) and Section 2.3 bullets 1 and 2 (internal photographs and measured drawings) were not required (2017a). For the purposes of this assessment, the properties at 5150 and 5170 Ninth Line will comprise the study area.

The property located at 5104 Ninth Line, the subject property, was originally located within the boundaries of the Town of Milton. On January 1, 2010 lands situated east of Highway 407, including the subject property, were annexed to the City of Mississauga (City of Mississauga 2009). The property is located within part of Lot 1, Concession 9, New Survey, in the former Geographic Township of Trafalgar, in the former Halton County, Ontario, currently the City of Mississauga, Regional Municipality of Peel (see Map 1).

The purpose of this assessment is to identify and evaluate the cultural heritage resources within the study area that may be impacted by the proposed development. This assessment was conducted in accordance with the aims of the *Planning Act* R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, *Provincial Policy Statement* (2014), *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.18, *City of Mississauga Official Plan* (2019), and the *City of Mississauga Heritage Impact Assessment Terms of Reference* (2017a).

All notes, photographs and records pertaining to the heritage assessment are currently housed in ARA's processing facility located at 1480 Sandhill Drive – Unit 3, Ancaster, Ontario. Subsequent long-term storage will occur at the same location.



**Map 1: Project Location and 5104 Ninth Line in the City of Mississauga**  
(Produced by ARA under licence using ArcGIS® software by Esri, © Esri)

## 2.0 METHOD

The framework for this assessment report is provided by provincial planning legislation and policies as well as municipal Official Plans and guidelines. Section 2 of the *Planning Act* indicates that a council of a Municipality have regard for matters of provincial interest such as: “(d) the conservation of features of significant architectural, cultural, historical, archaeological or scientific interest.” Section 3 of the *Planning Act* directs a municipal Council’s decisions to be consistent with the *Provincial Policy Statement* (PPS 2014). Policy 2.6.1 states: “Significant built heritage resources and significant cultural heritage landscapes shall be conserved.”

With respect to cultural heritage, the *Mississauga Official Plan* Policy 7.4.1 states that “Mississauga’s cultural heritage resources reflect the social, cultural and ethnic heritage of the city and, as such, are imperative to conserve and protect” (2019a:7.7). Additionally, Policy 7.4.1.12 states that “the proponent of any construction, development, or property alteration that might adversely affect a listed or designated cultural heritage resource, or which is proposed adjacent to a cultural heritage resource will be required to submit a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA), prepared to the satisfaction of the City and other appropriate authorities having jurisdiction” (2019a:7.8). Additionally, the *City of Mississauga Heritage Impact Assessment Terms of Reference* (2017a) outline the required elements for HIAs prepared on properties situated within the City of Mississauga.

## 2.1 Key Concepts

The following concepts require clear definition in advance of the methodological overview; proper understanding is fundamental for any discussion pertaining to cultural heritage resources:

- **Cultural Heritage Value or Interest (CHVI)**, also referred to as Heritage Value, is identified if a property meets one of the criteria outlined in O. Reg. 9/06 namely historic or associate value, design or physical value and/or contextual value. Provincial significance is defined under *Ontario Heritage Act (OHA)* O. Reg. 10/06.
- **Built Heritage Resource (BHR)** can be defined in the *PPS* as: “a building, structure, monument, installation or any manufactured remnant that contributes to a property’s cultural heritage value or interest as identified by a community, including an Aboriginal community. Built heritage resources are generally located on property that has been designated under Parts IV or V of the *OHA*, or included on local, provincial and/or federal registers” (MMAH 2014:39).
- **Cultural Heritage Landscape (CHL)** is defined in the *PPS* as: “a defined geographical area that may have been modified by human activity and is identified as having cultural heritage value or interest by a community, including an Aboriginal community. The area may involve features such as structures, spaces, archaeological sites or natural elements that are valued together for their interrelationship, meaning or association. Examples may include, but are not limited to, heritage conservation districts designated under the *Ontario Heritage Act*; villages, parks, gardens, battlefields, mainstreets and neighbourhoods, cemeteries, trailways, viewsheds, natural areas and industrial complexes of heritage significance; and areas recognized by federal or international designation authorities (i.e., a National Historic Site or District designation, or a UNESCO World Heritage Site)” (MMAH 2014:40).

It is recognized that the heritage value of a CHL is often derived from its association with historical themes that characterize the development of human settlement in an area (Scheinman 2006). In Ontario, typical themes which may carry heritage value within a community include, but are not limited to: 1) Pre-Contact habitation, 2) early European exploration, 3) early European and First Nations contacts, 4) pioneer settlement, 5) the development of transportation networks, agriculture and rural life, 6) early industry and commerce, and/or 7) urban development. Individuals CHLs may be related to a number of these themes simultaneously.

The *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention* defines several types of CHLs: 1) designed and created intentionally by man, 2) organically evolved landscapes which fall into two-subcategories (relic/fossil or continuing), and 3) associative cultural landscapes (UNESCO 2008:86). MCL (at the time) *Information Sheet #2 Cultural Heritage Landscapes* (MCL 2006c) repeats these definitions to describe landscapes in Ontario.

- **Conserved** means “the identification, protection, management and use of built heritage resources, cultural heritage landscapes and archaeological resources in a manner that ensures their cultural heritage value or interest is retained under the *Ontario Heritage Act*. This may be achieved by the implementation of recommendations set out in a conservation plan, archaeological assessment, and/or heritage impact assessment. Mitigative measures and/or alternative development approaches can be included in these plans and assessments” (MMAH 2014:40).

- **Heritage Attributes** are defined in the *Ontario Heritage Act* as: “the principal features or elements that contribute to a protected heritage property’s cultural heritage value or interest, and may include the property’s built or manufactured elements, as well as natural landforms, vegetation, water features, and its visual setting (including significant views or vistas to or from a protected heritage property means, in relation to real property, and to the buildings and structures on the real property, the attributes of the property, buildings and structures that contribute to their cultural heritage value or interest” (Government of Ontario 2009).
- **Significant** in reference to cultural heritage is defined as: “resources that have been determined to have cultural heritage value or interest for the important contribution they make to our understanding of the history of a place, an event, or a people” (MMAH 2014:49).

Key heritage definitions from the *City of Mississauga Official Plan* are as follows:

- **Heritage Impact Assessment** is defined as “a statement that will identify all heritage resources of a property; describe and evaluate their heritage significance; and, evaluate their sensitivity to a proposed development, use or reuse, including, where possible, measures to mitigate deleterious consequences” (City of Mississauga 2019a:20-4).

## 2.2 Types of Recognition

BHRs and CHLs are broadly referred to as cultural heritage resources. A variety of types of recognition exist to commemorate and/or protect cultural heritage resources in Ontario.

The National Historic Sites program commemorates important sites, people or events that had a nationally significant effect on, or illustrate a nationally important aspect of, the history of Canada. The Minister of Canadian Heritage on the advice of the Historic Sites and Monuments Board of Canada (HSMBC) makes recommendations to the program. Yet another form of recognition at the federal level is the Canadian Heritage Rivers System program. It is a federal program to recognize and conserve rivers with outstanding natural, cultural and recreational heritage. It is important to note that both of these federal commemoration programs do not offer protection from alteration or destruction.

The Ontario Heritage Trust (OHT) operates the Provincial Plaque Program that has over 1,250 plaques located across the province recognizing key people, places and events that shaped Ontario. Additionally, properties owned by the province may be recognized as a “provincial heritage property” (MTC 2010).

Protected properties are those designated under Part IV (individual properties) or Part V (Heritage Conservation District) of the *OHA*. Once designated, a property cannot be altered or demolished without the permission of the local council. A cultural heritage resource may also be protected through a municipal or OHT easement. Many heritage committees and historical societies provide plaques for local places of interest.

Under *Section 27* of the *OHA*, a municipality must keep a Municipal Heritage Register. A register lists designated properties as well as other properties of cultural heritage value or interest in the municipality. Properties on this list that are not formally designated are commonly referred to as “listed.” Listed properties are flagged for planning purposes and are afforded a 60-day delay in demolition if a demolition request is received.

## **2.3 Approach**

The City of Mississauga's *Heritage Impact Assessment Terms of Reference* outlines the contents required to determine the impacts to known and potential heritage resources within a defined area proposed for future development. This HIA records a detailed site history; a location map; complete listing and full written descriptions of all existing structures on the property; documentation, including current photographs; an outline of the proposed development; an assessment of alternative development options and mitigation measures; a summary of conservation principles; an explanation of proposed demolitions/alterations; and a clear statement of the conclusions regarding the significance and heritage attributes of the cultural heritage resource (City of Mississauga 2017a).

### **2.3.1 Historical Research**

Background information is obtained from aerial photographs, historical maps (i.e., illustrated atlases), archival sources (i.e., historical publications and records), published secondary sources (online and print) and local historical organizations. The 1851 Census for the Township of Trafalgar has not survived (LAC 2018). Given that research is constrained to sources in the public record and conducted in a limited time frame, there is the possibility that additional historical information exists but may not have been identified.

### **2.3.2 Consultation**

Consultation with the local community is essential for determining the community value of cultural heritage resources. At project commencement, ARA contacted the relevant local municipalities to inquire about: 1) protected properties in the study area, 2) properties with other types of recognition in the study area, 3) previous studies relevant to the current study, and 4) other heritage concerns regarding the study area or project. Where possible, information was sought directly from the MTCS and OHT. In this case, the City of Mississauga was contacted to obtain feedback on the potential cultural heritage resources in the vicinity as well as the scope of the study.

### **2.3.3 Field Survey**

The field survey component of an assessment involves the collection of primary data through systematic photographic documentation of all potential cultural heritage resources within the study area, as identified through historical research and consultation. Additional cultural heritage resources may also be identified during the survey itself. Photographs capturing all properties with potential BHRs and CHLs are taken, as are general views of the surrounding landscape. The site visit also assists in confirming the location of each potential cultural heritage resource and helps to determine the relationship between resources.

## **2.4 Evaluation of Significance**

In order to objectively identify cultural heritage resources, O. Reg. 9/06 made under the *OHA* sets out three principal criteria with nine sub-criteria for determining CHVI (MCL 2006a:20–27). The criteria set out in the regulation were developed to identify and evaluate properties for designation under the *OHA*. Best practices in evaluating properties that are not yet protected employ O. Reg. 9/06 to determine if they have CHVI. These criteria include: design or physical value, historical or associative value and contextual value.

Design or Physical Value manifests when a feature:

- is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;
- when it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic value; or
- when it displays a high degree of technical or scientific achievement.

Historical or Associative Value appears when a resource has:

- direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to the community;
- yields or has the potential to yield information that contributes to the understanding of a community or culture; or
- demonstrates or reflects work or ideas of an architect, builder, artist, designer or theorist who is significant to the community.

Contextual Value is implied when a feature:

- is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;
- is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or
- is a landmark.

If a potential cultural heritage resource (BHR or CHL) is found to meet any one of these criteria, it can then be considered an identified resource.

## 2.5 Evaluation of Impacts

Any potential project impacts on identified BHRs or CHLs must be evaluated, including direct and indirect impacts. *InfoSheet #5: Heritage Impact Assessments and Conservation Plans* (2006b:3) provides an overview of several major types of negative impacts, including but not limited to:

- Destruction of any, or part of any, significant heritage attributes;
- Alteration that is not sympathetic, or is incompatible, with the historic fabric and appearance;
- Shadows created that alter the appearance of a heritage attribute or change the viability of a natural feature or plantings, such as a garden;
- Isolation of a heritage attribute from its surrounding environment, context or significant relationship;
- Direct or indirect obstruction of significant views or vistas within, from, or of built and natural features;
- A change in land use such as rezoning a battlefield from open space to residential use, allowing new development or site alteration to fill in the formerly open spaces; and
- Land disturbances such as a change in grade that alters soils, and drainage patterns that adversely affect an archaeological resource.

## 2.6 Mitigation Strategies

If potential impacts to identified heritage resources are determined, proposed conservation or mitigative/avoidance measures must be recommended. The Ministry of Culture's *InfoSheet #5:*

*Heritage Impact Assessments and Conservation Plans* (2006b:3) lists several specific methods of minimizing or avoiding a negative impact on a cultural heritage resource, including but not limited to:

- Alternative development approaches;
- Isolating development and site alteration from significant built and natural features and vistas;
- Design guidelines that harmonize mass, setback, setting, and materials;
- Limiting height and density;
- Allowing only compatible infill and additions;
- Reversible alterations; and
- Buffer zones, site plan control, and other planning mechanisms.

Many of these mitigation strategies are echoed in the City of Mississauga's *Heritage Impact Assessment Terms of Reference* that also lists salvage mitigation, relocation, ruinification and symbolic conservation (2017a).

## 2.7 Summary of Approach

The approach outlined herein is supported by the best practices, guidelines and policies of the following:

- The *Provincial Policy Statement* (2014);
- The *Ontario Heritage Act* (R.S.O. 1990);
- The *Ontario Heritage Tool Kit* series (MCL 2006a);
- City of Mississauga *Official Plan* (2019); and
- City of Mississauga *Heritage Impact Terms of Reference* (2017a).

## 3.0 SITE HISTORY

The Township of Trafalgar has a long history of Indigenous land use and settlement including Pre-Contact and Post-Contact campsites and villages. It should be noted that the written historical record regarding Indigenous use of the landscape in southern Ontario draws on accounts by European explorers and settlers. As such, this record details only a small period of time in the overall human presence in Ontario. Oral histories and the archaeological record show that Indigenous communities were mobile across great distances, which transcend modern understandings of geographical boundaries and transportation routes.

Based on current knowledge, the cultural heritage resources located within the study area are tied to the history of the initial settlement and growth of Euro-Canadian populations in the Township of Trafalgar. The arrival of European explorers and traders at the beginning of the 17<sup>th</sup> century triggered widespread shifts in Indigenous lifeways and set the stage for the ensuing Euro-Canadian settlement process. Documentation for this period is abundant, ranging from the first sketches of Upper Canada and the written accounts of early explorers to detailed township maps and lengthy histories. The Post-Contact period can be effectively discussed in terms of major historical events, and the principal characteristics associated with these events are summarized in Table 1.

### 3.1 Trafalgar Township, Former County of Halton

The early history of the study area can be effectively discussed in terms of major historical events. The principal characteristics associated with these events are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1: County and Township Settlement History**  
(Wilson's Publishing Co. 2000; Warnock 1862; Cumming 1971; Town of Oakville 2008; City of Mississauga 2009)

| Historical Event                                 | Timeframe  | Characteristics  |
|--|--|--|
| Loyalist Influx                                  | Late 18 <sup>th</sup> century                            | United Empire Loyalist influx after the American Revolutionary War (1775–1783); British develop interior communication routes and acquire additional lands; <i>Constitutional Act</i> of 1791 creates Upper and Lower Canada.  |
| Peel County Development                          | Late 18 <sup>th</sup> and early 19 <sup>th</sup> century | Area initially adjacent to York County's 'West Riding'; Became part of York County's 'West Riding' in 1798; Southern portion acquired as part of the 'First Purchase of the Mississauga Tract' in 1805; Northern portion acquired as part of the 'Second Purchase' or 'Ajetance Purchase' in 1818; Peel County established after the abolition of the district system in 1849.   |
| Trafalgar Township Formation                     | Early 19 <sup>th</sup> century                           | First settlers arrived in southeastern part of Trafalgar (the 'Old Survey') ca. 1807; Prominent early families in the south included the Sovereigns, Proudfoots, Kattings, Freemans, Posts, Biggars, Mulhollands, Kenneys, Chalmers, Albertsons, Chisholms, Sproats, Browns and Hagars; Population reached 548 by 1817 with four saw mills and one grist mill in operation; the 'New Survey' comprised the northwestern lands acquired in 1818.  |
| Trafalgar Township Development                   | Mid-19 <sup>th</sup> and early 20 <sup>th</sup> century  | By 1846, 28,375 ha had been taken up in Trafalgar, with 11,404 ha under cultivation; 23 saw mills and seven grist mills in operation at that time; Population reached 4,513 by 1850; Traversed by the Hamilton & Toronto Branch of the Great Western Railway (1855), the Hamilton & North Western Railway (1877) and the Credit Valley Railway (1877); Communities at Milton, Hornby, Auburn, Boyne, Omagh, Drumquin in the north and Oakville, Bronte, Palermo, Trafalgar, Munn's Corner and Sheridan in the south. |
| Town of Oakville Amalgamation & Transfer to Peel | Mid-20 <sup>th</sup> and early 21 <sup>st</sup> century  | In 1962, the Township of Trafalgar and the Town of Oakville amalgamated to form a new Town of Oakville with four wards (Town of Oakville 2008:3); At this time, the Township of Trafalgar was part of the County of Halton; In 2010, lands from the Town of Milton were annexed to become part of the City of Mississauga (City of Mississauga 2009).  |

### 3.2 Study Area

As discussed in Section 1.0, 5150 and 5104 Ninth Line fall on the east part of Lot 1, Concession 9 in the Geographic Township of Trafalgar, in the former Halton County, Ontario.

To reconstruct the historic land use of the study area, ARA examined three historical maps that documented past residents, structures (i.e., homes, businesses and public buildings) and features between the early-19<sup>th</sup> and late-19<sup>th</sup> centuries. Specifically, the resources outlined in Table 2 were consulted.

**Table 2: Maps and Aerial Photographs Consulted**

| Year | Map Title   | Reference      |
|------|---|----------------|
| 1858 | Tremaine's Map of the County of Halton, Canada West | Tremaine       |
| 1877 | Northern Part of Trafalgar                          | Walker & Miles |
| 1909 | Brampton Sheet No. 35 [030M12] Topographic Map      | OCUL           |



The limits of the study area are shown on georeferenced versions of the consulted historical maps (see Map 2-Map 4).

“Chas O’Hara” is indicated as the occupant of the east half of Lot 1, Concession 9. Structures are not depicted on the 1858 map; however, property occupants are indicated, as are major thoroughfares and waterways (see Map 2).

The 1877 map of Trafalgar Township indicates that William O’Hara was the occupant of the east half of Lot 1, Concession 9, with a farmhouse and orchard depicted on his property fronting Ninth Line (see Map 3).

By 1909, modern road alignments were well established with the project location indicated northwest along Ninth Line. The O’Hara’s red brick residence is indicated on the map in red with the municipal address of 5104 Ninth Line (Map 4).

### **3.3 Project Location**

The project location on part of the east half of Lot 1, Concession 9 in the Township of Trafalgar was severed from the larger 100-acre farm, once belonging to the O’Hara family, in 1953. The O’Hara home remains extant on the property at 5104 Ninth Line.

### **3.4 5104 Ninth Line**

The Crown Patent for the east half (100 acres) of Lot 9, Concession 1 New Survey in the Township of Trafalgar, Halton County went to Charles O’Hara Sr. in April of 1830. Charles O’Hara, a Catholic farmer from Ireland, appears to have resided on the property prior to receipt of the Crown Patent. In 1819, O’Hara and early township settler Bartholomew O’Connor persuaded Father O’Reilly who was located 40 miles distant, to attend O’Hara’s cabin on Lot 1, Concession 9 to celebrate mass. Shortly thereafter in 1823 a log church was erected on Lot 6, Concession 1 in the Township of Trafalgar (OGS 2019).

In July of 1830, O’Hara Sr. sold the property to his son, Charles O’Hara Jr. The O’Haras formed part of an Irish Catholic settlement in the Township of Trafalgar in the vicinity of Ninth Line and Britannia Road known colloquially as the “Catholic Swamp” for the flooded land conditions and Catholic inhabitants there (Heritage Mississauga 2010:198). An alternative name for the settlement was Nunan’s Corners after one of the first settlers to the area, William Nunan (Heritage Mississauga 2010:198). Census records from 1851 have not survived for the Township of Trafalgar, however the 1861 census provides information that further details the O’Haras that resided on the property. Charles O’Hara Jr. (55) and his wife Mary (50) are enumerated in the 1861 census as living in a one-and-a-half storey brick house with their children Ellen (20), John (23), Lucinda (18), Anabella (16), James (15), Charles (14), Peter (12), Michael (10) and William (8) (LAC 1861). The O’Hara family were Irish Catholic farmers that resided in the subject building at 5104 Ninth Line.

It appears as though Charles O’Hara died between 1861 and 1862, as his wife Mary and son William are listed as the occupants/owners of Lot 1, Concession 9 New Survey in 1862 (Warnock 1862:12). In 1875, Mary O’Hara sold the family farm to her youngest sons, William and Michael.


By 1875, the family farmstead had come under the ownership of Michael O’Hara. O’Hara and his family do not appear in the 1891 census for the Township of Trafalgar, however historic

directories helped to corroborate that the Michael O'Hara family were residing at the property in 1893 (UPC 1893:35). According to the 1901 census, Michael O'Hara (54) and his wife Catherine (39) were residing in a nine-room brick house with their children William (18), Mary (15), Frederick (14), John (12), Wilfred (9), Charles (7), Catherine (5), Joseph (3) and Annie (7 months) (LAC 1901a). At that time, the Irish-Catholic O'Hara family had four barns on their farm property along with their residence (LAC 1901b).


The O'Hara family is enumerated in both the 1911 and 1921 census as residing in the nine-room brick house with their family. Between 1901 and 1911, Michael and Catherine had additional children, though by 1921 all had left home with the exception of Joseph (33) and Lillian (16) (LAC 1911, LAC 1921). Michael O'Hara died in 1928, though his wife Catherine continued to reside at the farmstead until her passing in 1948 (Find a Grave 2019). In 1946 (registered in 1948), the executors of Michael O'Hara sold the 100-acre farm property to Toyne Grice. Grice sold off parcels of the 100-acre lot for development, with the O'Hara farmstead being sold to the Director of the *Veteran's Land Act* (VLA) in 1953. The occupation history of the property from 1953-1973 is unclear, with the Director of the VLA selling the property to Allan and Catherine St. John in 1973. Weeks after the sale to the St. Johns, the property was again sold, though to Joseph and Nicole Burak. The property continued to change ownership two more times until 1994 when it came under the ownership of Robert Turnbull.

According to the City of Mississauga, the house was built in 1848 and is known as the "O'Hara House." Specifically, "This house is believed to have been built by Charles O'Hara Junior in 1848. His father was Charles O'Hara Senior who had originally petitioned for land from the Crown in 1830. This farm remained in the O'Hara family until 1948. This Georgian style house is one-and-a-half storeys with red brick and gable roof. An extension is built on the rear."


Research conducted including review of the Heritage Mississauga materials, business directories and census information did not suggest any notable contributions to the community made by the individuals who lived on this property.











## 4.0 HERITAGE CONTEXT

In order to determine whether any previously-identified properties with CHVI are located within the study area, ARA consulted a number of heritage groups, the municipality and online heritage resources.

### 4.1 Consultation

The MTCS's current list of Heritage Conservation Districts was consulted. No designated districts were identified in the study area (MTCS 2019). The list of properties designated by the MTCS under Section 34.5 of the *OHA* was consulted. No properties in the study area are listed. The OHT plaque database and the Federal Canadian Heritage Database were searched. None of the properties within the study area are commemorated with an OHT plaque.

ARA staff contacted the City of Mississauga via telephone and email on July 25, 2019. The City provided direction regarding completion of a scoped HIA in accordance with the *City of Mississauga Heritage Impact Assessment Terms of Reference* (2017) and indicated that Section 2.1 (a detailed site history to include a listing of owners from the land registry office) and Section 2.3 bullets 1 and 2 (internal photographs and measured drawings) were not required.

### 4.2 Site Visit

A site visit was conducted on July 29, 2019 to photograph and document the project location and adjacent property at 5104 Ninth Line, to record any local features that could enhance ARA's understanding of their setting in the landscape and to contribute to the cultural heritage evaluation process. ARA staff received permission to enter 5150 Ninth Line to conduct the site visit. Photographs of 5104 Ninth Line were taken from public lands as well as from 5150 Ninth Line. Photographs of the project location and 5104 Ninth Line can be found in Appendix A.

## 5.0 DESCRIPTION OF BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES – PROJECT LOCATION AT 5150 NINTH LINE

The property at 5150 Ninth Line contains a residence with a detached garage located in the northwest corner of the lot, fronting Ninth Line. A workshop is located adjacent to the north extent of the property line setback from the road and behind the property at 5170 Ninth Line. An access road runs north-south across the property and leads to a cell phone tower located in the southwest corner of the lot.

### 5.1 Context

The land adjacent to 5150 Ninth Line, on the north side of the roadway, has been subdivided and contains contemporary suburban dwellings (see Image 35). The historic residential property at 5104 Ninth Line is located on the lot immediately to the east and a contemporary commercial building and residence are located to the west. Highway 407 is located to the rear of the property.

### 5.2 Arrangement of Buildings and Structures

The property is accessed via three driveways from Ninth Line. The dwelling is located on the west side of the lot with the façade oriented to the north, facing Ninth Line. Accessory structures

include a large aluminum clad garage located immediately west of the dwelling, a large workshop constructed of concrete block located on the west extent of the lot, and a cell phone tower and associated access road located in the southwest corner of the property. The 2017 surveys included in Figure 1 and Figure 2 illustrate the current location of these structures.

### **5.3 Landscape Features**

The dwelling at 5150 Ninth Line is set back from Ninth Line slightly behind the garage, both of which are accessed via a driveway from the road. A shorter, abandoned driveway is located southeast of the dwelling. The house, garage and workshop are situated on the northern portion of the lot's relatively flat topography, which begins to roll slightly in the southern extent of the lot. The site's landscape surrounding the dwelling and outbuildings to the south include agricultural fields that had been recently tilled but were not under cultivation at the time of the site visit (see Image 2, Image 15-Image 18, Image 34). Mature trees surround the house and garage, screening the northern half of the lot (see Image 2). Formal rows of mature coniferous trees were observed flanking the east and west sides of the garage (see Image 8-Image 10). Notable and impressively large mature deciduous trees flank the property along Ninth Line (see Image 13-Image 14).

### **5.4 Dwelling Exterior**

The dwelling at 5150 Ninth Line is a one-and-a-half storey red brick and vinyl clad structure with a side gable roof clad in asphalt shingles (see Image 3-Image 7). The foundation appears to be concrete. The north, three-bay façade features a projecting one-and-a-half storey attached one-car garage, the main entrance and a large window opening. The east and west elevations reflect an A-frame structure and include a porch below the projecting eaves. Two windows are included in the projecting dormer on the south elevation. A simple wood porch wraps around the dwelling (see Image 5).

### **5.5 Detached Garage Exterior**


A detached garage is located immediately to the northwest of the dwelling. It is a five-bay structure clad in aluminum siding and constructed to a rectangular plan with a front gable roof (see Image 8-Image 10). The façade features a single garage door as does the east elevation in addition to two entrance doors.

### **5.6 Workshop Exterior**

A large workshop is located in the north corner of the property adjacent to the west property line. It is constructed of concrete block to a rectangular plan and features aluminum siding in the gable ends (see Image 11-Image 12). Two sloped overhangs extending from the north and south elevations provide sheltered space. A single garage door is located in the west elevation.


### **5.7 Architectural Style/Design**

The dwelling and outbuildings at 5150 Ninth Line are contemporary structures constructed of modern materials in the late 20<sup>th</sup> century and are not representative of formal architectural styles considered significant or rare provincially or in the City of Mississauga.



**Figure 1: Topographic Sketch of 5150 Ninth Line**  
(J. D. Barnes Limited 2017a)





**Figure 2: Topographic Sketch of 5170 Ninth Line**  
(J. D. Barnes Limited 2017b)

## **6.0 DESCRIPTION OF BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES – SUBJECT PROPERTY 5104 NINTH LINE**

The subject property at 5104 Ninth Line contains a house oriented towards Ninth Line located in the centre of the west side of the lot along with a large detached workshop located to the rear of the house at the southern property boundary.

### **6.1 Context**

The land adjacent to 5104 Ninth Line, on the north side of the roadway, has been subdivided and contains contemporary suburban dwellings (see Image 35). The residential property at 5150 Ninth Line is located on the lot immediately to the west and a vacant lot is located to the east. Highway 407 is located to the south of the property.

### **6.2 Arrangement of Buildings and Structures**

The property is accessed via a long driveway from Ninth Line. The dwelling is located on the west side of the lot with the façade oriented to the north, facing Ninth Line. Accessory structures include a large workshop, located to the south of the dwelling and a small shed located immediately to the west of the house.

### **6.3 Landscape Features**

The dwelling at 5104 Ninth Line is set back from Ninth Line and is accessed via a long driveway that extends beyond the east side of the house to the workshop at the rear of the property. The dwelling is situated on a rise of land (see Image 22). The site's landscape includes remnant agricultural fields that were not under cultivation at the time of the site visit (see Image 21). Vegetation surrounding the dwelling was overgrown and formal plantings were not observed. A stand of mature trees flank the house to the east, west and south.

### **6.4 Dwelling Exterior**

The dwelling is a one-and-a-half storey red brick structure with a side gable roof clad in asphalt shingles. The brick cladding is organized in a Common Bond pattern that is composed of stretchers with a header course every sixth course. The foundation construction material could not be determined due to overgrown vegetation. The north, three-bay façade features a central entryway with a single-pane transom and sidelights flanked by square window openings on either side (see Image 23). The brick voussoirs are obscured, or possibly removed on these openings. The roof line features overhanging eaves and a thick band of vinyl forming a frieze (see Image 24). The ghost of a former chimney is visible in the brick on the west elevation of the original portion of the house (see Image 28). The east and west elevations of the original structure contain two window openings per floor (see Image 25, Image 27). It appears that all original windows and doors are early 21<sup>st</sup> century vinyl replacements. The windows now appear to be casement. Some of the contemporary units are smaller than the original openings, resulting in the extra space above the windows having been covered with vinyl cladding (see Image 29). The addition of new windows has resulted in the covering or interruption of brick voussoirs over the window openings. This has altered the overall fenestration of the house.

Two, one-storey additions have been constructed extending from the south elevation, the first clad in painted wood board and batten and the rear addition clad in light red brick (see Image

26, Image 27). The additions both include a gable roof as well as square window and door openings.

The few remaining exterior details of the structure that are indicative of its early construction date include its red brick cladding, entryway location and some brick voussoirs (see Image 25, Image 29).

### **6.5 Garage Exterior**

The large detached workshop located to the rear of the house is likely of late-20<sup>th</sup> or early 21<sup>st</sup> century construction (see Image 21-Image 24). It exhibits a utilitarian design built to a rectangular plan and clad in aluminum siding. The building features a side gable roof, two garage door access points in the north elevation as well as simple rectangular window and door openings.

### **6.6 Shed Exterior**

A simple, utilitarian shed clad in aluminum siding with a sloped roof is situated on the west property line immediately adjacent to the dwelling (see Image 33).

### **6.7 Architectural Style/Design**

The dwelling at 5104 Ninth Line is built in the Neoclassical architectural style (City of Mississauga 2012:4). The Neoclassical style typically describes “both residential and commercial buildings constructed on the traditional Georgian plan, but... [with] a new gaiety and light-heartedness. Detailing became more refined, delicate, and elegant” (Kyles 2017). When in vogue, Neoclassicism was thought to be an “advanced” style, as it was “popularly felt to be a fashionable refinement, and thus an improvement of the earlier Georgian look” (Fram 2003:24). Neoclassical architecture is similar to the Georgian style in symmetry but is often more delicate including detailing around door and window openings (UW 2009:5). The style was common between 1810-1850 (UW 2009:5; Kyles 2017).

The Neoclassical style was a direct result of the War of 1812 (Kyles 2017). Upper Canadians returning from the war were second or third generation Loyalists who had inherited land from their families and now had the opportunity to buy land and construct residences in Ontario. Pattern books from England illustrating Classical detailing were available and influenced many residential designs, notably introducing fine door and window ornaments (Kyles 2017).

The style is generally expressed in two or three storeys, with a three-bay façade, hip or end gable roofs with matching chimneys and a centred entrance decorated with pilasters and sidelights, transoms and/or fan lights around a single door (UW 2009:5). Many Neo-Classical buildings were built of brick and their detailing is always white (Kyles 2017). Exterior finishes and details can vary significantly across the province. Examples of Neoclassical architecture observed in the City of Mississauga represent modest, vernacular interpretations of the style likely designed by local builders.

The red brick cladding, entryway location and some brick voussoirs are the only remaining features reflective of a Neoclassical style dwelling. The dwelling has undergone extensive modifications including the replacement of windows and doors, as well as modification of their openings, the roof, chimney removal and rear additions. These modifications have impacted elements of the structure’s original design such that it is no longer a representative example of

the Neoclassical style. Constructed in 1848, the house is also not an early example of the style, which can date to 1810. Neoclassical style houses are found in the City of Mississauga, indicated by the style's inclusion in the City's architectural style guide, *Architectural Styles in Mississauga* (2012). Other more representative examples of the style are found in the City of Mississauga at 27 Mill Street and 1620 Orr Road (City of Mississauga 2012:4; see Image 36). These examples retain both their massing and fenestration as well as their detailing.

## 7.0 HERITAGE ASSESSMENT

Based on Section 3.0 and 5.0, it does not appear that 5150 Ninth Line possesses potential cultural heritage value or interest. An evaluation of the property at 5104 Ninth Line according to O. Reg. 9/06 can be found in Table 3 below.

**Table 3: Evaluation of 5104 Ninth Line Using O. Reg. 9/06**

| Evaluation of Property                 |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|
| Criteria                               | Description   | ✓ | Value Statement(s)  |
| <b>Design or Physical Value</b>        | Is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method                      |   | The red brick cladding, entryway location and some brick voussoirs of 5104 Ninth Line are reflective of the Neoclassical architectural style. However, modifications have impacted the structure's architectural features such that it is no longer a representative example of the Neoclassical style. Other more representative examples of the style are found in the City of Mississauga at 27 Mill Street and 1620 Orr Road. These examples retain both their massing and fenestration as well as their detailing. Constructed in 1848, the house is also not an early example of the style, which can date to 1810. |
|  | Displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic value   |   | 5104 Ninth Line does not display a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic value.  |
|  | Displays a high degree of technical or scientific achievement   |   | 5104 Ninth Line does not display a high degree of technical or scientific achievement.  |
| <b>Historical or Associative Value</b> | Has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community |   | 5104 Ninth Line is not directly associated with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community. The O'Haras built the farmhouse, established the farmstead and lived on the property for 100 years before selling it. It was then severed and subdivided in the mid-1900s. Although the property is associated with the O'Haras, an early farming family, research conducted did not suggest any notable contributions to the community made by the individuals who lived on this property.   |
|  | Yields or has the potential to yield information that contributes to the understanding of a community or culture                      |   | 5104 Ninth Line does not yield information that contributes to the understanding of a community or culture.   |
|  | Demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, builder, artist, designer or theorist who is significant to a community   |   | 5104 Ninth Line does not demonstrate or reflect the work or ideas of an architect, builder, artist, designer or theorist who is significant to a community. The research conducted did not locate an individual or firm associated with the construction of the building.   |

|                         |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Contextual Value</b> | Is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area     | 5104 Ninth Line is not important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area. The rural character of the area has been diminished over time through the introduction of contemporary suburban development, the construction of Highway 407 and the ongoing proposed development of the Ninth Line Lands. |
|                         | Is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings | 5104 Ninth Line is not physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings. The adjacent property at 5150 Ninth Line is contemporary and buildings to the east have been demolished. Contemporary suburban development is located to the north and Highway 407 is located to the south.            |
|                         | Is a landmark  | 5104 Ninth Line is not a landmark.   |

5104 Ninth Line does not meet the criteria of O. Reg. 9/06. As such, it does not merit designation under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

It should be noted that this evaluation was completed without Permission to Enter the property and without an interior investigation. It is possible that a closer examination of the building may result in a revised evaluation.

## 8.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

### 8.1 Description of Proposed Development

The materials provided by Mattamy Homes detailing the South Ninth Line development included a site survey plan (see Figure 1, Figure 2), preliminary concept plan (see Figure 3), preliminary building elevations for each proposed product type (see Figure 4-Figure 16), a topographic map showing existing building locations (see Map 5), and a plan and section indicating the distance between the development and the property at 5104 Ninth Line. The site area is approximately 2.73 ha (excluding the transit corridor, 14 m Ministry of Transportation setback, 10 m buffer and public road) and the site density is proposed at 43.6 units per hectare with 31 visitor parking spaces provided (Mattamy Homes 2019a). The concept plan provided by Mattamy Homes describes the proposed development to consist of 119 units (all attached product – dual frontage towns, front load towns, and back-to-back towns (village homes). Private amenity space is proposed in the southwest quadrant of the site. All roads are planned to be condo with the exception of the 20 m L-shaped right-of-way that connects Ninth Line and the development to the south (“Your Home Developments”). Most of the units will be condo with the exception of those fronting onto the public road (indicated as Street “A” on the concept plan). The 20 m public road is shown to pass through the subject property on the concept plan, however Mattamy Homes indicated that “...it will likely be revised to avoid 5104 Ninth Line, following the property line instead” (see Figure 3) (D. Hegarty, Personal Communication July 25, 2019).

The distance from the development (rear of the “front drive towns”) to the property line of 5104 Ninth Line includes a proposed 5.8 m wide rear yard area (see Figure 19-Figure 20) (Mattamy Homes 2019d). The total distance from the property line to the subject property is 4.3 m, representing a total separation of 10.1 m between the new development and 5104 Ninth Line (Mattamy Homes 2019d). A privacy fence 1.8 m in height is proposed to delineate the property boundary between the two properties (see Figure 20).

## 8.2 Purpose and Rationale for Proposed Development

The materials that detail Phase 1 of the proposed South Ninth Line development outline the construction of medium-density residential buildings with dual frontage town (15 units, see Figure 4-Figure 6), front load town (80 units, see Figure 7-Figure 11) and back-to-back town village home (24 units, see Figure 12-Figure 16) condominiums located along the south side of Ninth Line. Upon completion of the development, it will result in a “mix of housing to accommodate residents at all stages in life” (Mattamy Homes 2018). Section 8.3 provides the land use planning context that offers a further understanding of the rationale for the proposed project.

## 8.3 Land Use Planning Context

The property located at 5104 Ninth Line was originally located within the boundaries of the Town of Milton. On January 1, 2010 lands situated east of Highway 407, including the subject property, were annexed to the City of Mississauga (City of Mississauga 2009).

The City of Mississauga’s Official Plan notes the responsibility of the City and Province to conserve and protect cultural heritage resources (City of Mississauga 2019a:7-7). However, Section 7.4.1.12, states that, “The proponent of any construction, development, or property alteration that might adversely affect a listed or designated cultural heritage resource or which is proposed adjacent to a cultural heritage resource will be required to submit a Heritage Impact Assessment, prepared to the satisfaction of the City and other appropriate authorities having jurisdiction” (City of Mississauga 2019a:7-8).

The Ninth Line Neighbourhood has been identified by the City as “mostly underdeveloped” (City of Mississauga 2017b:1). The City of Mississauga has a vision for the Ninth Line Neighbourhood to become “sustainable, transit-supportive, connected and distinct” (City of Mississauga 2017b:3). Extensive public and stakeholder engagement were involved in the creation of this vision and urban design guidelines for Ninth Line.

Under the City of Mississauga’s Official Plan, the area is designated as a Special Study Area (City of Mississauga 2019a). The City of Mississauga’s *Shaping Ninth Line Urban Design Guidelines* (2017b) calls for Residential Medium Density of three to six storeys for the study area (City of Mississauga 2017b:7-8; see Figure 17, Figure 18). The new streetscape, as per the *Guidelines*, would include a range of housing types. This may include apartments and condominiums, as well as townhouse forms (City of Mississauga 2017b:27). The proponent notes that their concept is in keeping with the *Guidelines*, and that this vision would protect the natural heritage system and stable neighbourhoods to the east, while directing compact mixed-use development where it will be transit supportive (Mattamy Homes 2018).




Figure 3: Mattamy Homes Concept Plan for 5150 Ninth Line  
(Mattamy Homes 2019)






Figure 4: Mattamy Homes Dual Frontage Towns – Front Elevation (Blue on Concept Plan)  
 (Q4A Architects 2019)







Figure 5: Mattamy Homes Dual Frontage Towns – Front Elevation (2) (Blue on Concept Plan)  
 (Q4A Architects 2019)



**Figure 6: Mattamy Homes Dual Frontage Towns – Elevations (Blue on Concept Plan)**  
(Q4A Architects 2019)



Figure 7: Mattamy Homes Front Load Towns – “Contemporary” Front Elevation (Yellow on Concept Plan)  
(Q4A Architects 2019)



Figure 8: Mattamy Homes Front Load Towns – “Transitional” Front Elevation (Yellow on Concept Plan) (Q4A Architects 2019)




Figure 9: Mattamy Homes Front Load Towns – Rear Elevation (Yellow on Concept Plan)  
(Q4A Architects 2019)




Figure 10: Mattamy Homes Front Load Towns – Right Side Elevation (Yellow on Concept Plan)  
(Q4A Architects 2019)




Figure 11: Mattamy Homes Front Load Towns – Left Side Elevation (Yellow on Concept Plan)  
(Q4A Architects 2019)



**Figure 12: Mattamy Homes Back to Back Towns Village Homes – Rendering (Pink on Concept Plan)**  
(Q4A Architects 2019)






Figure 13: Mattamy Homes Back to Back Towns Village Homes – Front Elevation (Pink on Concept Plan)  
(Q4A Architects 2019)



Figure 14: Mattamy Homes Back to Back Towns Village Homes – Front & Right Side Elevations (Pink on Concept Plan) (Q4A Architects 2019)



Figure 15: Mattamy Homes Back to Back Towns Village Homes – Front Elevation “Transitional” (Pink on Concept Plan) (Q4A Architects 2019)




Figure 16: Mattamy Homes Back to Back Towns Village Homes – Front & Right Side Elevations (Pink on Concept Plan) (Q4A Architects 2019)




Figure 17: Concept from City of Mississauga's *Shaping Ninth Line Urban Design Guidelines*  
(City of Mississauga 2017b:7)






Figure 18: Private Realm Design Guidelines from City of Mississauga's *Shaping Ninth Line Urban Design Guidelines* (City of Mississauga 2017b:2)

## 9.0 ANALYSIS OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS

The proposed development entails the demolition of the existing dwelling and outbuildings at 5150 Ninth Line and the construction of approximately 119 condominium units in medium-density residential buildings to be located along the south side of Ninth Line. The proposed buildings are all attached homes including dual frontage towns, front load towns, and back-to-back townhouses (village homes). The adjacent subject property at 5104 Ninth Line will be retained in situ. The following analysis of project impacts is based upon the drawings and development description provided by Mattamy Homes as outlined in Section 8.0. On the following page, Map 5 overlays the concept plan for the proposed development on a current aerial image to provide context to the assessment of impacts resulting from the development on the landscape and extant structures in the study area. Figure 19 and Figure 20 further illustrate the proximity of the proposed development to the subject property.

Based on Section 3.0 and 5.0, it does not appear that 5150 Ninth Line possesses potential cultural heritage value or interest. Although the property at 5104 Ninth Line was not found to meet the criteria of O. Reg. 9/06, it is an historic farmhouse, as such development considerations sympathetic to the existing historic fabric can be suggested. The best mitigation option for historic properties is generally retention. Retention allows cultural heritage resources to be retained in their original location and encourages adaptive re-use and sympathetic or compatible development (i.e., mass, setback, setting and materials). The adjacent property at 5104 Ninth Line will be retained in situ, as such no direct impacts are anticipated.

With the implementation of the development's land use concept and plan, and the destruction of the extant building at 5150 Ninth Line, the property would no longer be a remnant agricultural landscape and the adjacent subject property's context would be impacted. However, due to the presence of Highway 407 to the rear (south) of the property and a suburban subdivision to the north, the lands adjacent to 5150 and 5104 Ninth Line are no longer within an agricultural context. As a result, both properties have lost any contextual value they may have possessed in the past.

The current design concept does not propose a significant buffer between the rear of the planned residential units ("front load towns") and the adjacent property at 5104 Ninth Line. The extant and proposed structure will be separated by 10.1 m, including a rear yard distance of 5.8 m and 4.3 m from the property line to the subject property. Although a limited buffer is provided, no direct impacts are anticipated to affect 5104 Ninth Line.

Indirect impacts such as shadows cast by the rear lane townhouses on 5104 Ninth Line were evaluated through a Shadow Impact Study prepared by NAK design strategies on October 18, 2019. Several shadow models were illustrated for time increments on June 21 (7:08 a.m. to 7:34 p.m.), September 21 (8:34 a.m. to 5:49 p.m.) and December 21 (9:18 a.m. to 3:16 p.m.) and were used to assess incremental shadow impacts. The diagrams demonstrate that most shadows will remain within the project location while shifting throughout the day. As a result, the Shadow Study found that the proposed front load towns will have minimal impacts on the subject property, with shadows cast on the dwelling by 6:21 p.m. on June 21 and after 5:12 p.m. on September 21. No shadow impacts were modeled in December. It should be noted that there are currently mature trees along the lot line casting shadows on the house. It is possible that no new shadows or less shadows will be cast if mature vegetation is removed.

Upon review of the preliminary elevation drawings circulated by Mattamy Homes for the proposed townhouse units, visual indirect impacts to the adjacent property at 5104 Ninth Line



are anticipated (Q4A 2019). The architectural design, construction materials and colour palate of the townhouses are not reflective of, or sympathetic to, the design of the neighbouring dwelling at 5104 Ninth Line.








Figure 19: Proximity of Proposed Development to 5104 Ninth Line (Mattamy Homes 2019d)




Figure 20: Section Showing Proximity of Proposed Development to 5104 Ninth Line (Mattamy Homes 2019d)

## 10.0 ASSESEMENT OF MITIGATION MEASURES

Mattamy Homes has examined different development alternatives and mitigation measures as outlined below. These alternatives and mitigation measures address the approaches outlined in *InfoSheet #5: Heritage Impact Assessments and Conservation Plans* (MLC 2006b) and the City of Mississauga *Heritage Impact Assessment Terms of Reference* (2017a). The feasibility of each of the mitigation suggestions is described based on materials provided by the client and considers the character of the subject property as outlined in Section 7.0. Due to the adjacency of the subject property to the project location, mitigation measures focus on ensuring that the concept plan and townhouse designs for the proposed development are visually sympathetic with the property at 5104 Ninth Line.

### 10.1 Previous Design Alternative

The previous concept that ARA evaluated (dated May 10, 2019 and submitted to the City of Mississauga) included a more significant buffer between the project location and the property at 5104 Ninth Line. The distance from the front of the proposed “rear lane towns” to the subject property was approximately 18 m, which included a 2.8 m front yard, an 11 m wide private road with a 2.6 m wide lay-by parking area, a 2 m sidewalk and a 4.2 m landscape buffer (W. Coutinho, Personal Communication Oct. 28, 2019). Although this earlier concept provided greater building separation, it proposed a local traffic route within 4.2 m of the property line (W. Coutinho, Personal Communication Oct. 28, 2019).

Due to additional property constraints, the concept plan was revised, removing the open space between the project location and the subject property and siting a row of front load towns directly adjacent to the dwelling at 5104 Ninth Line. Mattamy Homes described that their rationale for revising the concept plan was primarily driven by two factors:

*“First, due to intersection spacing requirements, Mattamy was advised to move the intersection of Street “A” and Ninth Line north so not to conflict with other Ninth Line intersections. By moving the intersection north Mattamy needed to site product that would back onto the heritage property.*

*The second issue was that the City of Mississauga has required that Street “A” be a public right-of-way. This requirement reflects the City’s desire for better traffic and possible transit through Mattamy’s lands and the parcels to the south. Due to right-of-way widths, spacing and product layout, the current design resulted in an enclave of approximately 23 townhomes to be located adjacent to the heritage property at 5104 Ninth Line” (C. Scarlett, Personal Communication October 22, 2019).*

## 10.2 Buffers

The creation of buffer zones is noted as a method of minimizing or avoiding negative impacts to a property and should be incorporated into the concept plan for the South Ninth Line development (MLC 2006b:4). Shifting the placement of amenity space from the centre of the development to the east side of the lot buffering the property at 5104 Ninth Line was suggested by ARA. Mattamy Homes indicated that this was not feasible, noting that, “Due to the size and shape of the site, there are many constraints...The amenity area is placed centrally so it’s easily accessible by all residents” (D. Hegarty, Personal Communication July 29, 2019). As a result, the current concept plan has incorporated amenity space in the southwest quadrant of the proposed development. As the provision of a buffer of open space between properties was not considered a viable design alternative, the following considerations are suggested to ensure that the development is designed in a manner that is visually sympathetic with the subject property at 5104 Ninth Line.

To ensure the adequate screening of the property at 5104 Ninth Line based on the current concept plan, it is recommended that the proposed options presented by Mattamy Homes, such as the erection of a wood privacy fence, the conservation of extant mature trees, and the planting of rows of vegetation be implemented along the east side of the development, adjacent to the subject property. The introduction of additional screening may enhance the views from the historic property to the new development, thus mitigating a portion of potential visual impacts. A number of mature trees are currently growing along the north and east property line of the project location and their retention and incorporation into the development’s concept plan is recommended to buffer the development from 5104 Ninth Line as well as to maintain a vestige of the formerly rural context of the study area. To ensure the adequate screening of the property at 5104 Ninth Line, a landscape architect or arborist should be retained to investigate the feasibility of conserving mature trees along the east side of the development, directly adjacent to the subject property.

## 10.3 Architectural Design Elements

The current preliminary elevations for the South Ninth Line development indicate that front load towns (see Figure 7-Figure 11) will be constructed immediately adjacent to the subject property, with their rear elevations oriented to the east facing the extant dwelling (Q4A 2019). Slight modifications to the designs of all of the proposed product types, specifically the rear elevation

of the front load towns (see Figure 9), is recommended to draw from and reflect the proportions, materials and design elements reflective of 5104 Ninth Line, notably the brick cladding, brick voussoirs, medium pitched side gable roof, square window and door openings, and centrally placed front entrance with sidelights and/or transom.

#### **10.4 Colour Palette**

Illustrated renderings for the South Ninth Line townhouse product types were only provided for the back to back village homes (see Figure 12) (Q4A 2019). The proposed colour pallet focuses on blacks and greys. To better reflect the adjacent structure at 5104 Ninth Line, red brick and white design elements should be considered for incorporation into the townhouse designs.

#### **10.5 Construction Fencing**

During construction, it is recommended that construction fencing between the property at 5104 Ninth Line and the project location be erected at a sufficient distance to ensure that there will be no direct or indirect impacts to the building. The fencing should be maintained throughout the duration of the construction period.

#### **10.6 Road Alignment**

Mattamy Homes indicated that "...while the 20 m public road is shown passing through the heritage property on this concept [Concept Plan – Oct. 10, 2019], it will likely be revised to avoid 5104 Ninth Line...so that it doesn't fall on the property" (D. Hegarty, Personal Communication July 25 and 29, 2019). The concept plan for the South Ninth Line development should be revised as noted above to realign the 20 m public road further to the south, oriented around the property at 5104 Ninth Line.

### **11.0 SUMMARY STATEMENT AND CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS**

Research, consultation and field work completed for this report found that 5150 Ninth Line did not possess potential cultural heritage value or interest. 5104 Ninth Line is a listed property on the *City of Mississauga's Municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest*. Following consultation, historical research, field survey and evaluation against the criteria set out in O. Reg. 9/06 of the OHA, the property at 5104 Ninth Line was not found to possess CHVI. Although the property was not found to meet the criteria of O. Reg. 9/06, it is an historic farmhouse, as such development considerations sympathetic to the existing historic fabric have been suggested.

As outlined in Section 8.0, the proposed development will have indirect impacts on the adjacent heritage property. The development entails the demolition and removal of the existing dwelling and outbuildings at 5150 Ninth Line and the construction of approximately 119 condominium units in medium-density residential buildings to be located along the south side of Ninth Line. The proposed buildings are all attached homes including dual frontage towns, front load towns, and back-to-back townhouses (village homes).

Mitigation measures have been suggested for the development at 5150 Ninth Line that consider the existing historic fabric of the adjacent property at 5104 Ninth Line:

- That slight modifications to the designs of all of the proposed product types be considered, specifically the rear elevation of the front load towns (proposed directly

adjacent to the subject property), to draw from and reflect the proportions, materials and design elements reflective of 5104 Ninth Line, notably the red brick cladding, brick voussoirs, medium pitched side gable roof, square window and door openings, and centrally placed front entrance with sidelights and/or transom.

- That to better reflect the adjacent property at 5104 Ninth Line, red brick and white design elements should be considered in the colour palate of each proposed product type.
- That during construction, it is recommended that construction fencing be erected between the property at 5104 Ninth Line and the project location at a sufficient distance to ensure that there will be no direct or indirect impacts to the building. The fencing should be maintained throughout the duration of the construction period.
- That to ensure the adequate screening of the property at 5104 Ninth Line, it is recommended that the proposed options presented by Mattamy Homes, including the erection of a wood privacy fence, the conservation of extant mature trees on the subject property and the planting of rows of vegetation be implemented along the east side of the development, directly adjacent to 5104 Ninth Line.
- That a landscape architect or arborist should be retained to investigate the feasibility of conserving mature trees along the east side of the development, directly adjacent to the subject property to further ensure adequate screening.
- That the concept plan for the South Ninth Line development should be revised to realign the 20 m public road further to the south, oriented around the property at 5104 Ninth Line.

The 2014 *Provincial Policy Statement* notes that CHVI is bestowed upon cultural heritage resources by communities (MMAH 2014). Accordingly, the system by which heritage is governed in this province places emphasis on the decision-making of local municipalities in determining CHVI. It is hoped that the information presented in this report will be useful in those deliberations.

## 12.0 MANDATORY STATEMENT

Based on the results of consultation, historical research, field survey and assessment of the study area, 5104 Ninth Line was evaluated against the criteria in O. Reg. 9/06 and was not found to meet any of the criteria.

### 12.1 Design or Physical Value

The dwelling at 5104 Ninth Line was built in the Neoclassical style (City of Mississauga 2012:4). Constructed in 1848, the structure is not an early example of the style, which was common in the period between 1810-1850. Neoclassical architecture typically describes “both residential and commercial buildings constructed on the traditional Georgian plan, but ...[with] a new gaiety and light-heartedness. Detailing became more refined, delicate, and elegant” (Kyles 2017). When in vogue, Neoclassicism was thought to be an “advanced” style, as it was “popularly felt to be a fashionable refinement, and thus an improvement of the earlier Georgian look” (Fram 2003:24). Neoclassical architecture is similar to the Georgian style in symmetry but is often more delicate including detailing around door and window openings (UW 2009:5). The red brick cladding, entryway location and some brick voussoirs of 5104 Ninth Line are reflective of the Neoclassical architectural style. The dwelling has undergone extensive alterations including the replacement of windows (including the modification of their openings), doors, the roof, chimney removal and rear additions. These modifications have impacted elements of the structure’s original design such that it is no longer a representative example of the Neoclassical style.



## 12.2 Historical or Associative Value

In 1830, the Crown Patent for the east half of Lot 9, Concession 1 originally in the Township of Trafalgar, Halton County went to Charles O'Hara, who then sold the property to his son, Charles O'Hara Jr. The O'Hara family were Irish Catholic farmers that formed part of an Irish Catholic settlement in the area. According to the *Census of 1861*, Charles O'Hara Jr. and his wife Mary lived in a one-and-a-half storey brick house, the subject building, at 5104 Ninth Line with their nine children. After O'Hara Jr.'s death, his wife Mary and son William owned and occupied the property until 1875 when Mary sold the land to her youngest sons, William and Michael. According to the 1901 census, Michael O'Hara and his wife Catherine lived on the property in a nine-room brick house until his death in 1928. Catherine continued to live on the property until her passing in 1948 after which the property changed hands many times until it was sold to Robert Turnbull in 1994. Although the subject property is associated with the O'Haras, a long-time farming family in the area, currently available resources do not suggest any notable contributions to the community made by members of the family.

## 12.3 Contextual Value

The house, accessed via a driveway, is set back from Ninth Line on a rise of land. While the dwelling was originally part of a farming complex, the associated barn and other farm structures have been removed. The rural character of the area has been diminished overtime with the introduction of contemporary suburban development, Highway 407 and the ongoing proposed development of the Ninth Line Lands.

## 12.4 Conclusion

In summary, alterations made to the dwelling at 5104 Ninth Line have impacted its architectural elements such that it is no longer a representative example of the Neoclassical style. In addition, it is not an early example of the style. The property is associated primarily with the O'Haras, a long-time farming family in the area. Research conducted did not suggest any notable contributions to the community made by the individuals who lived on the property. The contextual value of the property has been diminished through adjacent and proposed contemporary suburban development. As such, the property does not meet any of the criteria included in O. Reg. 9/06 and does not merit designation under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

### 13.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SOURCES

#### City of Mississauga

- 2019a *Mississauga Official Plan*. Accessed online at: [www.mississauga.ca/portal/residents/mississaugaofficialplan](http://www.mississauga.ca/portal/residents/mississaugaofficialplan).
- 2019b *Property Heritage Detail: 5104 Ninth Line in Municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest*. Accessed online at: [www.mississauga.ca/portal/services/property?paf\\_portalId=default&paf\\_communityId=200005&paf\\_pageId=2700006&paf\\_dm=shared&paf\\_gear\\_id=6500016&paf\\_gm=content&paf\\_gear\\_id=6500016&action=heritage\\_desc&id=276044&addressId=413393&invId=5846&heritageTab=yes&propDetailsTab=no](http://www.mississauga.ca/portal/services/property?paf_portalId=default&paf_communityId=200005&paf_pageId=2700006&paf_dm=shared&paf_gear_id=6500016&paf_gm=content&paf_gear_id=6500016&action=heritage_desc&id=276044&addressId=413393&invId=5846&heritageTab=yes&propDetailsTab=no).
- 2017a *City of Mississauga Heritage Impact Assessment Terms of Reference*. Accessed online at: [www7.mississauga.ca/documents/culture/heritage/HeritageImpactAssessmentTermsOfReference2017.pdf](http://www7.mississauga.ca/documents/culture/heritage/HeritageImpactAssessmentTermsOfReference2017.pdf).
- 2017b *Shaping Ninth Line Urban Design Guidelines*. Accessed online at: [www7.mississauga.ca/documents/pb/ninthline/Ninth\\_Line\\_Urban\\_design\\_web.pdf](http://www7.mississauga.ca/documents/pb/ninthline/Ninth_Line_Urban_design_web.pdf).
- 2012 *Architectural Styles in Mississauga*. Accessed online at: [www.mississauga.ca/file/COM/Architectural\\_Styles\\_in\\_Mississauga\\_2012.pdf](http://www.mississauga.ca/file/COM/Architectural_Styles_in_Mississauga_2012.pdf).
- 2009 *Proposed Annexation of Lands by the City of Mississauga from the Town of Milton*. Accessed online at: [www.halton.ca/common/pages/UserFile.aspx?fileId=13113](http://www.halton.ca/common/pages/UserFile.aspx?fileId=13113).

#### Cumming, R.

- 1975 *Historical Atlas of Simcoe County, Ontario*. Port Elgin, Ontario: Cumming Atlas Reprints.

#### Find a Grave

- 2019 'Michael O'Hara'. Accessed online at: [www.findagrave.com/memorial/170260572/michael-o-hara](http://www.findagrave.com/memorial/170260572/michael-o-hara).

#### Fram, M.

- 2003 *Well-Preserved: The Ontario Heritage Foundations Manual of Principles and Practice for Architectural Conservation*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Erin, Ontario: The Boston Mills Press.

#### Government of Ontario

- 2006 *Ontario Regulation 9/06 made under the Ontario Heritage Act*. Accessed online at: [www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/060009](http://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/060009).
- 2006 *Ontario Regulation 10/06 made under the Ontario Heritage Act*. Accessed online at: [www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/060010](http://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/060010).
- 2019 *Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.18*. Accessed online at: [www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws\\_statutes\\_90o18\\_e.htm](http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws_statutes_90o18_e.htm).
- 2019 *Planning Act R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13*. Accessed online at: [www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90p13](http://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90p13).

#### Heritage Mississauga

- 2010 *Journey to the Past: The Lost Villages of Mississauga*. Mississauga: Heritage Mississauga.

#### J.D. Barnes Limited

- 2017a *Topographic Map of the Property at 5150 Ninth Line*.
- 2017b *Topographic Map of the Property at 5170 Ninth Line*.

Korsiak Urban Planning

2019 Personal Communication via Email, Wayne Coutinho, Planner, Korsiak Urban Planning, October 28, 2019.

Kyles, S.

2017 *Ontario Architecture – Neo-Classical (1810-1850)*. Accessed online at: [www.ontarioarchitecture.com/neoclassical.htm](http://www.ontarioarchitecture.com/neoclassical.htm).

Land Registry Office (LRO) #20

Lot 1, Concession 9 New Survey, Township of Trafalgar, Halton County in the *Abstract Index to Deeds*.

5104 Ninth Line *Parcel Register*.

5150 Ninth Line *Parcel Register*.

Library and Archives Canada (LAC)

1921 'William J. McCarron' in the *Census of Canada*. Trafalgar Township, Halton County, Ontario. RG 31, F 61; Page 6, Family 61, Lines 29–32.

1911 'Michael O'Hara' in the *Census of Canada*. Trafalgar Township, Halton County, Ontario. Sub-District 17. Reels T-20326–T-20460, Page 5, Family 54, Lines 33–40.

1901a 'Michael O'Hara' in the *Census of Canada*. Trafalgar Township, Halton County, Ontario. Reels T-6428–T-6556, Pages 6–7, Family 67, Lines 47–50 and 1–7.

1901b Schedule 2, Reels T-6428–T-6556, Page 2, Line 20.

1861 'Charles O'Hara' in the *Census of 1861*. Trafalgar Township, Halton County. Enumeration District 2. Roll C-1031, Page 30, Lines 17–27.

Mattamy Homes

2019a *5150 Ninth Line Concept Plan*. Provided by Mattamy Homes.

2019b Personal Communication via Email, David Hegarty, Land Development Coordinator, Mattamy Homes, July 25, 2019.

2019c Personal Communication via Email, David Hegarty, Land Development Coordinator, Mattamy Homes, July 29, 2019.

2019d *Ninth Line Heritage Impact Assessment*. Graphics. October 2019. Provided by Mattamy Homes.

2019e Personal Communication via Email, Craig Scarlett, Senior Land Development Manager, Mattamy Homes, October 22, 2019.

2018 *Mattamy Concept: Ninth Line Lands and 6432 Ninth Line*. Provided by Mattamy Homes.

Ministry of Culture (MCL)

2006a *Heritage Property Evaluation: A Guide to Listing, Researching and Evaluating Cultural Heritage Property in Ontario Communities*. Ontario Heritage Tool Kit Series. Toronto: Ministry of Culture.

2006b *InfoSheet #5: Heritage Impact Assessments and Conservation Plans*. Ontario Heritage Tool Kit Series. Toronto: Ministry of Culture.

2006c *InfoSheet #2: Cultural Heritage Landscapes*. Ontario Heritage Toolkit Series. Toronto: Ministry of Culture.

1992 *Guideline for Preparing the Cultural Heritage Resource Component of Environmental Assessments*.

Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing (MMAH)

2014 *Provincial Policy Statement, 2014*. Toronto: Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing.



Ministry of Tourism and Culture (MTC)

2010 *Standards & Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties*. Toronto: Ministry of Tourism and Culture.

Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS)

2019 *List of Heritage Conservation Districts*. Accessed online at: [www.mtc.gov.on.ca/en/heritage/heritage\\_conserving\\_list.shtml](http://www.mtc.gov.on.ca/en/heritage/heritage_conserving_list.shtml).

NAK design strategies (NAK)

2019 *Shadow Impact Study*. October 2019.

Ontario Council of University Libraries (OCUL)

2018 Historical Topographic Map Digitization Project. Accessed online at: <https://ocul.on.ca/topomaps/>.

Ontario Genealogical Society (OGS)

2019 *St. Peter's Catholic Church and Cemetery, Mississauga*. Halton-Peel Branch. Accessed online at: <https://haltonpeel.ogs.on.ca/st-peters-r-c/>.

Q4A Architects (Q4A)

2019 *South Ninth Line Preliminary Elevations Packages*. Provided by Mattamy Homes.

Tremaine, G. R.

1858 *Tremaine's Map of the County of Halton, Canada West*. Oakville: George C. Tremaine.

UNESCO

2008 Guidelines on the Inscription of Specific Types of Properties on the World Heritage List. Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention, Annex 3. Accessed online at: <http://whc.unesco.org/archive/opguide08-en.pdf#annex3>.

Union Publishing Company

1884 *Farmers' and Business Directory for the Counties of Brant, Halton, Waterloo and Wentworth*. Ingersoll: Union Publishing Co. Accessed online at: [www.collectionscanada.gc.ca/obj/001075/f2/e010780584\\_p3.pdf](http://www.collectionscanada.gc.ca/obj/001075/f2/e010780584_p3.pdf).

1893 *Farmers' and Business Directory for the Counties of Haldimand, Halton, Lincoln, Welland, and Wentworth, 1892–3*. Ingersoll: Union Publishing Co. Accessed online at: [www.collectionscanada.gc.ca/obj/001075/f2/e010780596\\_p1.pdf](http://www.collectionscanada.gc.ca/obj/001075/f2/e010780596_p1.pdf).

University of Waterloo (UW)

2009 *Ontario Architectural Style Guide*. Accessed online at: [www.therealtydeal.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/Heritage-Resource-Centre-Achitectural-Styles-Guide.pdf](http://www.therealtydeal.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/Heritage-Resource-Centre-Achitectural-Styles-Guide.pdf).

Walker & Miles

1877 *Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Halton, Ont.* Toronto: Walker & Miles.

Warnock, R.

1862 *Sketch of the County of Halton, Canada West*. Toronto: Leader Steam Press.

Wilson's Publishing Co.

2000 *Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Peel, Ont.* Reprint of 1877 Edition (Toronto: Walker & Miles). Campbellford: Wilson's Publishing Co.

## Appendix A: 5150 and 5104 Ninth Line Images



**Map 6: Photo Location Map of 5150 and 5104 Ninth Line**  
(Produced by ARA under licence using ArcGIS® software by Esri, © Esri)





**Image 1: Contextual View to 5150 Ninth Line from Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing South)



**Image 2: Contextual View to 5150 Ninth Line from the South**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing North)





**Image 3: Façade of 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing South)



**Image 4: Northeast corner of 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Southwest)





**Image 5: Southeast corner of 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing West)



**Image 6: South Elevation of 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing North)





**Image 7: Southwest corner of 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Northeast)



**Image 8: Façade of Garage at 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing South)





**Image 9: Northeast Corner of Garage at 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing East)



**Image 10: Northwest Corner of Garage at 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Southeast)






**Image 11: Northeast Corner of Workshop at 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing West)




**Image 12: East Elevation of Workshop at 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing West)





**Image 13: Mature Trees on North End of Property at 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Northwest)



**Image 14: Mature Trees on North End of Property at 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Southeast)





**Image 15: Contextual View of Agricultural Portion of Property Adjacent to Residence at 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Southeast)



**Image 16: Contextual View of Agricultural Portion of Property Adjacent to Residence at 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Southwest)





**Image 17: Contextual View of South Portion of Property at 5150 Ninth Line Bound by Highway 407**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Southeast)



**Image 18: Contextual View of South Portion of Property at 5150 Ninth Line Looking Towards Cell Phone Tower**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Southwest)





**Image 19: Access Road to Cell Phone Tower Running North-South on West Side of Property at 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Southwest)



**Image 20: Contextual View to 5104 Ninth Line from Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing West)





**Image 21: Contextual View to 5104 Ninth Line from 5150 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing East)



**Image 22: Contextual View of 5104 Ninth Line from Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing South)





**Image 23: Façade of 5104 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing South)



**Image 24: Detailed View of Cladding, Window and Roofline, 5104 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Southeast)





**Image 25: Northeast Corner of 5104 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing West)



**Image 26: South Elevation of 5104 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing North)





**Image 27: West Elevation of 5104 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing South)



**Image 28: Detail of Chimney Ghost, 5104 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing South)





**Image 29: Detail of first storey window opening**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing South)



**Image 30: Northwest Corner of 5104 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing South)






**Image 31: Northeast Corner of Workshop at 5104 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing West)



**Image 32: West Elevation of Workshop at 5104 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing East)





**Image 33: Northwest Corner of Shed at 5104 Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing South)




**Image 34: Contextual View of Study Area Along Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing Northwest)





**Image 35: Contextual View of Suburban Residential Development North of Study Area Across Ninth Line**  
(Photo taken on July 29, 2019; Facing North)



**Image 36: Examples of Neoclassical Architectural Style in City of Mississauga**  
(City of Mississauga 2012:4)

## Appendix B: Historical Photos, Drawings and Other Archival Material

**Table 4: Ownership History of 5104 Ninth Line  
(LRO 20)**

| Instrument Number | Instrument      | Date        | Grantor  | Grantee   | Comments       |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|--|---|----------------|
|                   | Patent          | 30 Apr 1830 | Crown  | Charles O'Hara  | E ½, 100 acres |
| 662               | B&S             | 13 Jul 1830 | Charles O'Hara Sr.                               | Charles O'Hara Jr.  | E ½, 100 acres |
| 813               | B&S             | 24 Dec 1861 | Heirs of Charles O'Hara                          | Mary O'Hara   | E ½, 100 acres |
| 3356              | B&S             | 15 May 1875 | Mary O'Hara                                      | Michael and William O'Hara                                      | E ½, 100 acres |
| 3941              | Quit Claim      | 15 Nov 1880 | William O'Hara                                   | Michael O'Hara  | E ½, 100 acres |
| 4024              | B&S             | 12 Mar 1884 | Michael O'Hara                                   | William O'Hara  | E ½, 100 acres |
| 4415              | B&S             | 26 Aug 1885 | William O'Hara                                   | Michael O'Hara  | E ½, 100 acres |
| 3343              | Probate of Will | 2 Aug 1928  | Michael O'Hara                                   |   | E ½, 100 acres |
| 22500             | Grant           | 9 Jul 1946  | Executors of Michael O'Hara                      | [Toyne] Grice   | E ½, 100 acres |
| 28611             | Grant           | 4 Aug 1953  | Toyne Grice                                      | Director of the Veteran's Land Act                              |                |
| 133411            | Amalgamation    | 18 Oct 1962 | Re: Oakville – Trafalgar                         |   |                |
| 370555            | Grant           | 1 Aug 1973  | Director of the Veteran's Land Act               | Allan and Catherine St. John                                    |                |
| 370556            | Grant           | 13 Aug 1973 | Allan and Catherine St. John                     | Joseph and Nicole Burak   | Pt. E ½        |
| 541656            | Deed            | 15 Jun 1981 | Joseph and Nicole Burak                          | Michael and Renata Pustina, Ivan and Zlata Rozic; joint tenants | Pt. E ½        |
| 639747            | Grant           | 29 Apr 1986 | Michael and Renata Pustina, Ivan and Zlata Rozic | Robert and Deborah Turnbull                                     |                |
| 828362            | Transfer        | 9 Sep 1994  | Deborah and Robert Turnbull                      | Robert Turnbull   | Pt. E ½        |

**Table 5: Ownership History of 5150 Ninth Line  
(LRO 20)**

| Instrument Number | Instrument      | Date        | Grantor                     | Grantee                    | Comments       |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
|                   | Patent          | 30 Apr 1820 | Crown                       | Charles O'Hara             | E ½, 100 acres |
| 662               | B&S             | 13 Jul 1830 | Charles O'Hara Sr.          | Charles O'Hara Jr.         | E ½, 100 acres |
| 813               | B&S             | 24 Dec 1861 | Heirs of Charles O'Hara     | Mary O'Hara                | E ½, 100 acres |
| 3356              | B&S             | 15 May 1875 | Mary O'Hara                 | Michael and William O'Hara | E ½, 100 acres |
| 3941              | Quit Claim      | 15 Nov 1880 | William O'Hara              | Michael O'Hara             | E ½, 100 acres |
| 4024              | B&S             | 12 Mar 1884 | Michael O'Hara              | William O'Hara             | E ½, 100 acres |
| 4415              | B&S             | 26 Aug 1885 | William O'Hara              | Michael O'Hara             | E ½, 100 acres |
| 3343              | Probate of Will | 2 Aug 1928  | Michael O'Hara              | E ½, 100 acres             |                |
| 22500             | Grant           | 9 Jul 1946  | Executors of Michael O'Hara | [Toyne] Grice              | E ½, 100 acres |
| 28227             | Grant           | 8 Jun 1953  | Toyne Grice                 | Gabor Szilagyi             | Part E ½       |
| 133411            | Amalgamation    | 18 Oct 1962 | Re: Oakville – Trafalgar    |                            |                |
| 367648            | Grant to Uses   | 10 Jul 1973 | Gabor Szilagyi              | Gary Rynsoever             | Grant to uses  |

## Appendix C: Key Team Member Two-Page Curriculum Vitae

Paul J. Racher, M.A., CAHP  
Principal - Management and Senior Review (MSR) Team  
**ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES LTD.**  
219-900 Guelph Street, Kitchener, ON N2H 5Z6  
Phone: (519) 804-2291 x100 Mobile: (519) 835-4427  
Fax: (519) 286-0493  
Email: [pracher@arch-research.com](mailto:pracher@arch-research.com)  
Web: [www.arch-research.com](http://www.arch-research.com)

### Biography

Paul Racher is a Principal of ARA. He has a BA in Prehistoric Archaeology from WLU and an MA in anthropology from McMaster University. He began his career as a heritage professional in 1986. Over the three decades since, he has overseen the completion of several hundred archaeological and cultural heritage contracts. Paul has years of experience related to linear transportation and rail projects, notably through the ongoing work to complete a Cultural Heritage Inventory for the Region of Waterloo's Stage 2 LRT from Kitchener to Cambridge, Ontario. He holds professional license #P007 with the MTCS. Paul is a former lecturer in Cultural Resource Management at WLU. He is a professional member of the Canadian Association of Heritage Professionals (CAHP) and the President of the Ontario Archaeological Association (OAS).

### Education

|           |   |
|-----------|---|
| 1992-1997 | PhD Programme, Department of Anthropology, University of Toronto.<br>Supervisors: E.B. Banning and B. Schroeder. Withdrawn.   |
| 1989-1992 | M.A., Department of Anthropology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario.<br>Thesis titled: "The Archaeologist's Indian: Narrativity and Representation in Archaeological Discourse." |
| 1985-1989 | Honours B.A., Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Ontario.<br>Major: Prehistoric Archaeology.   |

### Professional Memberships and Accreditations

|         |   |
|---------|---|
| Current | Ministry of Tourism Culture and Sport Professional Licence (#P007).<br>Professional Member of the Canadian Association of Heritage Professionals (CAHP), Volunteer on the ethics committee.<br>Member of the Ontario Archaeological Society (OAS), Volunteer on the Professional Committee.<br>Associate of the Heritage Resources Centre, University of Waterloo.<br>RAQS registered with MTO. |
|---------|---|

### Work Experience

|           |  |
|-----------|--|
| Current   | <b>Principal, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.</b><br>Responsible for winning contracts, client liaison, project excellence, and setting the policies and priorities for a multi-million dollar heritage consulting firm. |
| 2000-2011 | <b>Project Manager/Principal Investigator, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.</b><br>Managed projects for a heritage consulting firm. In 10 field seasons, managed hundreds of projects of varying size.                    |
| 2008-2011 | <b>Part-Time Faculty, Wilfrid Laurier University.</b>  |

- 1995 Lecturer for Cultural Resource Management course (AR 336). In charge of all teaching, coursework, and student evaluations.  
**Field Archaeologist, University of Toronto.**  
Served as a supervisor on a multinational archaeological project in northern Jordan.
- 1992-1995 **Teaching Assistant, University of Toronto.**  
Responsible for teaching and organizing weekly tutorials for a number of courses.
- 1991-1994 **Part-Time Faculty, Wilfrid Laurier University.**  
Lectured for several courses in anthropology. Held complete responsibility for all teaching, coursework, and student evaluations.
- 1992-1996 **Partner in Consulting Company, Cultural Management Associates Incorporated.**  
Supervised several archaeological contracts in Southern Ontario. Participated in a major (now published) archaeological potential modeling project for MTO.
- 1989-1991 **Partner in Consulting Company, Cultural Resource Consultants.**  
Managed the financial affairs of a consulting firm whilst supervising the completion of several contracts performed for heritage parks in central Ontario.
- 1988-1991 **Principal Investigator/Project Director, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.**  
Oversaw the completion of large contracts, wrote reports, and was responsible for ensuring that contracts were completed within budget.
- 1988 **Assistant Director of Excavations, St. Marie among the Hurons, Midland, Ontario.**  
Duties included crew supervision, mapping, report writing and photography.
- 1986-1987 **Archaeological Crew Person, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd., Waterloo, Ontario.**  
Participated in background research, survey, and excavation on a number of Archaeological sites across Ontario.



Kayla Jonas Galvin, M.A., MCIP, RPP, CAHP  
 Heritage Operations Manager  
**ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES LTD.**  
 1480 Sandhill Drive, Unit 3, Ancaster, ON L9G 4V5  
 Phone: (519) 804-2291 x120 Fax: (519) 286-0493  
 Email: [kjgalvin@arch-research.com](mailto:kjgalvin@arch-research.com) Web: [www.arch-research.com](http://www.arch-research.com)

## Biography

Kayla Jonas Galvin, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.'s Heritage Operations Manager, has extensive experience evaluating cultural heritage resources and landscapes for private and public-sector clients to fulfil the requirements of provincial and municipal legislation such as the *Environmental Assessment Act*, the *Standards & Guidelines for the Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties* and municipal Official Plans. She served as Team Lead on the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport Historic Places Initiative, which drafted over 850 Statements of Significance and for *Heritage Districts Work!*, a study of 64 heritage conservation districts in Ontario. Kayla was an editor of *Arch, Truss and Beam: The Grand River Watershed Heritage Bridge Inventory* and has worked on Municipal Heritage Registers in several municipalities. Kayla has drafted over 150 designation reports and by-laws for the City of Kingston, the City of Burlington, the Town of Newmarket, Municipality of Chatham-Kent, City of Brampton and the Township of Whitchurch-Stouffville. Kayla is the Heritage Team Lead for ARA's roster assignments for Infrastructure Ontario and oversees evaluation of properties according to *Standards & Guidelines for the Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties*. Kayla is a Member of the Canadian Institute of Planners (MCIP), a Registered Professional Planner (RPP), a Professional Member of the Canadian Association of Heritage Professionals (CAHP) and sits on the board of the Ontario Association of Heritage Professionals.

## Education

|           |  |
|-----------|--|
| 2016      | MA in Planning, University of Waterloo. Thesis Topic: <i>Goderich – A Case Study of Conserving Cultural Heritage Resources in a Disaster</i> |
| 2003-2008 | Honours BES University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario<br>Joint Major: Environment and Resource Studies and Anthropology                      |

## Professional Memberships and Accreditations

|         |  |
|---------|--|
| Current | Member of the Canadian Institute of Planners (MCIP)<br>Registered Professional Planner, Ontario Professional Planners Institute (OPPI)<br>Professional Member, Canadian Association of Heritage Professionals (CAHP)<br>Board Member, Ontario Association of Heritage Professionals. |
|---------|--|

## Work Experience

|                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
| Current            | <b>Heritage Operations Manager, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.</b><br>Oversees business development for the Heritage Department, coordinates completion of designation by-laws, Heritage Impact Assessments, Built Heritage and Cultural Heritage Landscape Assessments, and Cultural Heritage Resource Evaluations. |
| 2009-2013          | <b>Heritage Planner, Heritage Resources Centre, University of Waterloo</b><br>Coordinated the completion of various contracts associated with built heritage including responding to grants, RFPs and initiating service proposals.   |
| 2008-2009,<br>2012 | <b>Project Coordinator–Heritage Conservation District Study, ACO</b><br>Coordinated the field research and authored reports for the study of 32 Heritage Conservation Districts in Ontario. Managed the efforts of over 84 volunteers, four staff and municipal planners from 23 communities.                                 |

- 2007-2008     **Team Lead, Historic Place Initiative, Ministry of Culture**  
 Liaised with Ministry of Culture Staff, Centre's Director and municipal heritage staff to draft over 850 Statements of Significance for properties to be nominated to the Canadian Register of Historic Places. Managed a team of four people.

### **Selected Professional Development**

- 2019     OPPI and WeirFoulds Client Seminar: Bill 108 – More Homes, More Choice, 2019
- 2019     Annual attendance at Ontario Heritage Conference, Goderich, ON (Two-days)
- 2019     Information Session: Proposed Amendments to the OHA, by Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport
- 2018     Indigenous Canada, University of Alberta
- 2017     Empowering Indigenous Voices in Impact Assessments, Webinar, International Association for Impact Assessments
- 2015     Introduction to Blacksmithing, One-Day
- 2015     Leadership Training for Managers Course, Dale Carnegie Training
- 2014     Heritage Preservation and Structural Recording in Historical and Industrial Archaeology, Wilfrid Laurier University, 12 weeks
- 2014     Conservation and Craftsmanship in Sustainable City Building Presented by the Hamilton Burlington Society of Architects
- 2012     Region of Waterloo Workshop on Heritage Impact Assessments, Half-Day
- 2012     Conducting Historic Building Assessments Workshop, One-Day
- 2012     Window Restoration Workshop, One-Day
- 2011     Lime Mortars for Traditionally Constructed Brickwork, Two-Day Workshop, ERA Architects and Historic Restoration Inc., Toronto
- 2011     Energy & Heritage Buildings Workshop Two-Day Workshop, Heritage Resources Centre
- 2010     Architectural Photography, Mohawk College
- 2010     Project Management Fundamentals, University of Waterloo Continuing Education
- 2009     Cultural Heritage Landscapes Two-Day Workshop, Heritage Resources Centre
- 2009     Urban Landscape and Documentary Photography, Mohawk College
- 2008     Introduction to Digital Photography, Mohawk College
- 2008     Heritage Planning Four-Day Workshop, Heritage Resources Centre

### **Selected Publications**

- 2018     "Conserving Cultural Heritage Landscapes in Waterloo: An Innovative Approach." *Ontario Association of Heritage Professionals Newsletter*, Winter 2018.
- 2018     "Restoring Pioneer Cemeteries" *Ontario Association of Heritage Professionals Newsletter*. Spring 2018. *In print*.
- 2015     "Written in Stone: Cemeteries as Heritage Resources." *Municipal World*, September 2015.
- 2015     "Bringing History to Life." *Municipal World*, February 2015, pages 11-12.
- 2014     "Inventorying our History." *Ontario Planning Journal*, January/February 2015.
- 2014     "Mad about Modernism." *Municipal World*, September 2014.

Lindsay Benjamin, MAES, MCIP, RPP, CAHP  
 Project Manager - Heritage  
**ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES LTD.**  
 219-900 Guelph Street, Kitchener, ON, N2H 5Z6  
 Phone: (519) 804-2291 x120 Fax: (519) 286-0493  
 Email: lindsay.benjamin@arch-research.com  
 Web: [www.arch-research.com](http://www.arch-research.com)

### Biography

Lindsay Benjamin is practiced at providing professional planning recommendations and expertise on complex studies, research projects, cultural heritage impact and archaeological assessments. Through her work as a Cultural Heritage Planner, Lindsay researched, drafted and implemented policies for the Regional Official Plan and other planning documents regarding the recognition, review and conservation of cultural heritage resources, including archaeological resources, heritage bridges, cultural heritage landscapes and scenic roads. She was the Primary Author of *Arch, Truss and Beam: The Grand River Watershed Heritage Bridge Inventory*, served as a Team Lead on the MTCS Historic Places Initiative that drafted over 850 Statements of Significance, and was Series Editor for Phase 2 of *Heritage Districts Work!* a study of 32 heritage districts in Ontario. Lindsay has developed heritage property tax relief programs, worked on Municipal Heritage Registers and drafted designation by-laws in several municipalities. She holds a Master of Applied Environmental Studies degree from the University of Waterloo School of Planning, is a Member of the Canadian Institute of Planners (MCIP), a Registered Professional Planner (RPP) and a Professional Member of the Canadian Association of Heritage Professionals (CAHP).

### Education

|      |  |
|------|--|
| 2013 | MAES, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON<br>Focus: Planning  |
| 2009 | Post-Graduate Diploma, Centennial College, Toronto, ON<br>Publishing & Professional Writing                        |
| 2007 | Honours BES, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON<br>Major: Urban Planning, Co-op Distinction: Dean's Honours List |

### Professional Memberships and Accreditations

|         |  |
|---------|--|
| Current | Member of the Canadian Institute of Planners (MCIP)<br>Registered Professional Planner, Ontario Professional Planners Institute (OPPI)<br>Professional Member, Canadian Association of Heritage Professionals (CAHP) |
|---------|--|

### Professional Development

|            |   |
|------------|---|
| 2019       | OPPI and WeirFoulds Client Seminar: Bill 108 – More Homes, More Choice, 2019  |
| 2019       | Annual attendance at Ontario Heritage Conference, Goderich, ON (Two-days)   |
| 2019       | Information Session: Proposed Amendments to the OHA, by Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport                      |
| 2013-2017  | Ontario Heritage Planners Network Workshops   |
| 2017, 2016 | National Trust for Canada Conference  |
| 2016       | Heritage Inventories Workshop, City of Hamilton & ERA Architects  |
| 2011-2015  | Ontario Heritage Conference   |
| 2012       | Heritage Impact Assessments Workshop, Region of Waterloo  |
| 2012       | National Trust for Historic Preservation Conference, Spokane, WA  |
| 2012       | Conducting Historic Building Assessments Workshop, National Trust for Historic Preservation Conference, Spokane, WA |
| 2012       | Canadian Institute of Planners National Conference, Banff, ON   |

## Awards

- 2014 Heritage River Award, Watershed Awards & Canadian Heritage River Celebration, Grand River Conservation Authority
- 2009 A. K. (Alice King) Sculthorpe Award for Advocacy - Architectural Conservancy of Ontario

## Work Experience

- 2017-Present **Project Manager, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.**  
Coordinate the completion of heritage projects, including the evaluation of the cultural heritage value or interest of a variety of cultural heritage resources.
- 2013-2017 **Cultural Heritage Planner, Region of Waterloo**  
Planned and implemented Arts, Culture and Heritage initiatives that support creativity and quality of life in the Region of Waterloo. Researched, developed and implemented Regional cultural heritage policies and programs. Fulfilled Regional and Provincial cultural heritage and archaeological review responsibilities under the Planning Act and Ontario Heritage Act.
- 2009-2013 **Heritage Planner, Heritage Resources Centre, University of Waterloo**  
Facilitate the completion of various cultural heritage contracts by undertaking archival research, site visits, report writing, liaising with municipal staff and stakeholders and coordinating project scheduling and budgetary responsibilities.
- 2006-2007 **Project Manager, Heritage Resources Centre, University of Waterloo**  
Established the process of nominating heritage properties to the National Register of Historic Places. Primary liaison between all stakeholder groups, responsible for motivating each group to participate. Drafted over 130 Statements of Significance for properties to be nominated to the National Register. Managed a team of five.
- 2005-2006 **Heritage Conservation Easement Planning Assistant, Ontario Heritage Trust**  
Supported easement acquisitions through researching the historical and architectural value of potential acquisitions and extensive photo documentation. Screened and processed activity requests from property owners and stakeholders relating to the easement program. Conducted site visits to monitor conservation easement sites and prepared condition assessment reports.

## Publications

- 2019 "A history of the Village of German Mills." *Waterloo Historical Society Annual Volume*, Volume 106.
- 2018 "Conserving Cultural Heritage Landscapes in Waterloo: An Innovative Approach." *Ontario Association of Heritage Professionals Newsletter*, Winter 2018.

## Volunteer Experience

- 2017-2019 Lieutenant Governor's Ontario Heritage Awards Jury Member

Sarah Clarke, B.A.  
Research Manager  
**ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES LTD.**  
219-900 Guelph Street, Kitchener, ON N2H 5Z6  
Phone: (519) 755-9983 Email: [sclarke@arch-research.com](mailto:sclarke@arch-research.com)  
Web: [www.arch-research.com](http://www.arch-research.com)

### Biography

Sarah Clarke is Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.'s Heritage Research Manager. Sarah has over 12 years of experience in Ontario archaeology and 10 years of experience with background research. Her experience includes conducting archival research (both local and remote), artifact cataloguing and processing, and fieldwork at various stages in both the consulting and research-based realms. As Team Lead of Research, Sarah is responsible for conducting archival research in advance of ARA's archaeological and heritage assessments. In this capacity, she performs Stage 1 archaeological assessment site visits, conducts preliminary built heritage and cultural heritage landscape investigations and liaises with heritage resource offices and local community resources in order to obtain and process data. Sarah has in-depth experience in conducting historic research following the *Ontario Heritage Toolkit* series, and the *Standards and Guidelines for Provincial Heritage Properties*. Sarah holds an Honours B.A. in North American Archaeology, with a Historical/Industrial Option from Wilfrid Laurier University and is currently enrolled in Western University's Intensive Applied Archaeology MA program. She is a member of the Ontario Archaeological Society (OAS), the Society for Industrial Archaeology, the Ontario Genealogical Society (OGS), the Canadian Archaeological Association, and is a Council-appointed citizen volunteer on the Brantford Municipal Heritage Committee. Sarah holds an R-level archaeological license with the MTCS (#R446).

### Education

|           |   |
|-----------|---|
| Current   | MA Intensive Applied Archaeology, Western University, London, ON. Proposed thesis topic: Archaeological Management at the Mohawk Village. |
| 1999–2010 | Honours BA, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Ontario<br>Major: North American Archaeology, Historical/Industrial Option              |

### Professional Memberships and Accreditations

|         |   |
|---------|---|
| Current | Member of the Ontario Archaeological Society      |
| Current | Member of the Society for Industrial Archaeology  |
| Current | Member of the Brant Historical Society            |
| Current | Member of the Ontario Genealogical Society        |
| Current | Member of the Canadian Archaeological Association |
| Current | Member of the Archives Association of Ontario     |

### Work Experience

|         |  |
|---------|--|
| Current | <b>Team Lead – Research; Team Lead – Archaeology, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.</b><br>Manage and plan the research needs for archaeological and heritage projects. Research at offsite locations including land registry offices, local libraries and local and provincial archives. Historic analysis for archaeological and heritage projects. Field Director conducting Stage 1 assessments. |
|---------|--|

- 2013-2015 **Heritage Research Manager; Archaeological Monitoring Coordinator, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.**  
Stage 1 archaeological field assessments, research at local and distant archives at both the municipal and provincial levels, coordination of construction monitors for archaeological project locations.
- 2010-2013 **Historic Researcher, Timmins Martelle Heritage Consultants Inc.**  
Report preparation, local and offsite research (libraries, archives); correspondence with the Ministry of Tourism, Culture, and Sport; report submission to the MTCS and clients; and administrative duties (PIF and Borden form completion and submission, data requests).
- 2008-2009 **Field Technician, Archaeological Assessments Ltd.**  
Participated in field excavation and artifact processing.
- 2008-2009 **Teaching Assistant, Wilfrid Laurier University.**  
Responsible for teaching and evaluating first year student lab work.
- 2007-2008 **Field and Lab Technician, Historic Horizons.**  
Participated in excavations at Dundurn Castle and Auchmar in Hamilton, Ontario. Catalogued artifacts from excavations at Auchmar.
- 2006-2010 **Archaeological Field Technician/Supervisor, Wilfrid Laurier University.**  
Field school student in 2006, returned as a field school teaching assistant in 2008 and 2010.

### Professional Development

- 2018 Grand River Watershed 21<sup>st</sup> Annual Heritage Day Workshop and Celebration (One day)
- 2018 Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation Historical Gathering and Education Conference (One day)
- 2017 Ontario Genealogical Society Conference. (Two days)
- 2016 Ontario Archaeological Society Symposium (One day)
- 2015 Introduction to Blacksmithing Workshop, Milton Historical Society (One day)
- 2015 Applied Research License Workshop, MTCS (One day)
- 2014 Applied Research License Workshop, MTCS (One day)
- 2014 Heritage Preservation and Structural Recording in Historical and Industrial Archaeology. Four-month course taken at Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON. Professor: Meagan Brooks

### Presentations

- 2018 *The Early Black History of Brantford.* Brant Historical Society, City of Brantford.
- 2017 *Mush Hole Archaeology.* Ontario Archaeological Society Symposium, City of Brantford.
- 2017 *Urban Historical Archaeology: Exploring the Black Community in St. Catharines, Ontario.* Canadian Archaeological Association Conference, Gatineau, QC.

### Volunteer Experience

- Current Council-appointed citizen volunteer for the Brantford Municipal Heritage Committee.

Jacqueline McDermid, B.A.

Technical Writer

**ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES LTD.**

1480 Sandhill Drive, Unit 3, Ancaster, ON L9G 4V5

Phone: (905) 304-6893 x221 Fax: (519) 286-0493

Email : [jmcdermid@arch-research.com](mailto:jmcdermid@arch-research.com) Web: [www.arch-research.com](http://www.arch-research.com)

## Biography

Jacqueline recently finished a 6-month contract with MTO as the Heritage Specialist for Central Region, returning to her permanent position at ARA in the Fall 2018 where she had been the acting Heritage Team Lead for the year previous. As the lead, she directed the preparation and oversaw the submission of deliverables to clients. Currently, she is the Heritage Team Technical Writer and Researcher, where she continues to research and evaluate the significance of cultural heritage resources using *Ontario Regulation 9/06* and *10/06*, most recently completing designation reports for the City of Burlington, City of Kingston and Town of Newmarket and the Town of Whitchurch-Stouffville. Further, Jacqueline has overseen the completion of many Built Heritage and Cultural Heritage Landscape Studies as well as Heritage Impact Assessments including reports for a proposed aggregate pit, road widening, the LRT in the Region of Waterloo and a National Historic Site in St. Catharines. As well as being a proficient technical writer, Jacqueline is skilled at writing in approachable language demonstrated by my crafting of 30 properties stories and 35 thematic stories for Heritage Burlington's website. She holds an Honours Bachelor of Arts in Near Eastern Archaeology from Wilfrid Laurier University. In addition to heritage experience, Jacqueline also has archaeological experience working as field crew, as an Assistant Lab Technician and archaeological technical writer.

## Education

2000-2007 Honours B.A., Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Ontario  
Major: Near Eastern Archaeology

## Work Experience

2015-Present **Technical Writer and Researcher – Heritage, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd., Kitchener, ON**

Research and draft designation by-laws, heritage inventories, Heritage Impact Assessments, Built Heritage and Cultural Heritage Landscape Assessments, and Cultural Heritage Resource Evaluations using Ontario Regulation 9/06, 10/06 and the Ontario Heritage Bridge Guidelines.

2018 **Environmental Planner – Heritage Ministry of Transportation, Central Region** – Six-month contract.

Responsibilities included: project management and coordination of MTO heritage program, managed multiple consultants, conducted and coordinated field assessments and surveys, estimated budgets including \$750,000 retainer contracts. Provided advice on heritage-related MTO policy to Environmental Policy Office (EPO) and the bridge office.

2017-2018 **Acting Heritage Team Lead – Heritage Archaeological Research Associates Ltd., Kitchener, ON**

Managed a team of Heritage Specialists, oversaw the procurement of projects, retainers; managed all Heritage projects, ensured quality of all outgoing products.



- 2014-2015 **Technical Writer – Archaeology, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd., Kitchener, ON**  
Report preparation; correspondence with the Ministry of Tourism, Culture, and Sport; report submission to the Ministry and clients; and administrative duties (PIF and Borden form completion).
- 2012-2013 **Lab Assistant, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd., Kitchener, ON**  
Receive, process and register artifacts.
- 2011-2012 **Field Technician, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd., Kitchener, ON**  
Participated in field excavation and artifact processing.
- 2005-2009 **Teaching Assistant, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON**  
Responsible for teaching and evaluating first, second, third- and fourth-year student lab work, papers and exams.
- 2005-2007 **Lab Assistant, Wilfrid Laurier University – Near Eastern Lab, Waterloo, ON**  
Clean, Process, Draw and Research artifacts from various sites in Jordan.

### Selected Professional Development

- 2019 OPPI and WeirFoulds Client Seminar: Bill 108 – More Homes, More Choice, 2019
- 2019 Information Session: Proposed Amendments to the OHA, by Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport
- 2019 Rural Heritage, Webinar, National Trust for Canada
- 2019 Ontario Heritage Conference, Goderich (Two days)
- 2019 Indigenous Heritage Places and Perspectives, Webinar, National Trust for Canada
- 2018 Grand River Watershed 21<sup>st</sup> Annual Heritage Day Workshop and Celebration (One day)
- 2015 Introduction to Blacksmithing (One-Day)
- 2015 Leadership Training for Managers Course, Dale Carnegie Training
- 2015 Ontario Heritage Trust symposium, topics included: Cultural landscapes, City building, Tangible heritage, How the public engages with heritage, and Conserving intangible heritage
- 2014 Community Heritage Ontario, webinar, Part IV and V of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

### Presentations

- 2019 *Cemeteries and Burials Research*. Cultural Heritage Planning and Archaeology Symposium, Burlington, ON.