

# City of Mississauga Corporate Report



<p>Date: March 30, 2021</p> <p>To: Chair and Members of Heritage Advisory Committee</p> <p>From: Shari Lichterman, CPA, CMA, Commissioner of Community Services</p>	<p>Originator's files:</p> <hr/> <p>Meeting date: April 13, 2021</p>
---	--

## Subject

**Request to Alter a Heritage Designated Property: 875 Enola Avenue (Ward 1)**

## Recommendation

That the request to alter the heritage designated property at 875 Enola Avenue as per the Corporate Report from the Commissioner of Community Services dated March 30, 2021, be approved.

## Executive Summary

- The property is designated under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*. Alterations to designated properties requires a heritage permit.
- The proposed alteration is a required renewal the external building envelope in order to maintain the building in good order.
- All external work is proposed to be “like for like” with an emphasis on restoration over replication.
- The proposal is sympathetic to the heritage character of the building so it should be approved.

## Background

Council designated the subject property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act in 1993. Section 33 of the Act requires permission from Council in order to make alterations to property designated under Part IV of Act.

## Comments

Facilities and Property Management (FPM) proposes a much needed building envelope renewal for the subject property. The proposal is to “replace deteriorated, failing components of the roof,

wall and window assembly, as well as transition details at foundation walls.” The Conservation Management Plan is attached as Appendix 1. All external changes would be “like for like,” i.e. same material, same design, with restoration the priority before replication.

Work includes restoring storm windows, restoring and replicating trim profiles, wood siding, soffit and fascia boards, if necessary. The wood front door would be repaired and floor tiles in the entryway replaced to match. Eavestroughs would match existing with custom built galvanized steel rainwater leaders. Some parts of the foundation brick walls would be rebuilt to match existing.

This vital work is proposed to be done sensitively to the heritage character of the building. As such, it should be approved.

## **Financial Impact**

There is no new financial impact resulting from the recommendation in this report. The proposed work is managed by the Facilities and Property Management Division through an existing capital project (PN B21750) with a budget of \$560,000.

## **Conclusion**

FPM proposes a sensitive building renewal of the Derry House. The project would serve to restore this heritage designated structure and should therefore be approved.

## **Attachments**

Appendix 1: Conservation Management Plan



Shari Lichterman, CPA, CMA, Commissioner of Community Services

Prepared by: P. Wubbenhorst, Heritage Planner