City of Mississauga Memorandium: City Department and Agency Comments

Date Finalized: 2021-05-05

To: Committee of Adjustment

From: Committee of Adjustment Coordinator

File(s): A166.21 Ward: 1

Meeting date:2021-05-13 1:00 PM

Consolidated Recommendation

The City recommends that the Committee have regard for all comments and evidence provided by the applicant and area residents when assessing if the application meets the requirements of Section 45 (1) of the Planning Act.

Application Details

The applicant requests the Committee to approve a minor variance to allow an accessory structure (shed) proposing a lot coverage of 39.30% whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, permits a maximum lot coverage of 35.00% in this instance.

Background

Property Address: 119 Angelene Street

Mississauga Official Plan

Character Area:Mineola NeighbourhoodDesignation:Residential Low Density II

Zoning By-law 0225-2007

Zoning: R3-1 - Residential

Other Applications

Pre-Application: 21-4919

Site and Area Context

The subject property is located within the Mineola Neighbourhood Character Area, southeast of Hurontario Street and Mineola Road East. The neighbourhood is predominantly residential, consisting of one and two storey detached dwellings with some mature vegetation. Institutional uses are located north of the subject property. The subject property contains an existing two storey detached dwelling with no mature vegetation.

The applicant is proposing an accessory structure requiring a variance for an increased lot coverage.



Comments

Planning

Section 45 of the *Planning Act* provides the Committee of Adjustment with the authority to grant relief from the requirements of a municipal zoning by-law. Approval of applications must meet the requirements set out under 45(1) and/or 45(2) (a) or (b) in the *Planning Act*.

Staff comments concerning the application are as follows:

The subject property received minor variance approval on June 20th, 2019 under application 'A' 237/19, for a new two storey dwelling that required an increase in gross floor area and lot coverage (38%). The subject application proposes an accessory structure which raises the previously approved lot coverage from 38% to 39.30%. The proposed structure is accessory to

2

City Department and Agency Comments	File:A166.21	2021/05/05	3

the dwelling and does not significantly contribute to overdevelopment of the lot. Staff is of the opinion that the application is appropriate to be handled through the Committee of Adjustment process and raises no concerns of a planning nature, in this instance.

Conclusion

The Planning and Building Department recommends that the Committee have regard for all comments and evidence provided by the applicant and area residents when assessing if the application meets the requirements of Section 45 (1) of the Planning Act.

Comments Prepared by: Lucas Petricca, Committee of Adjustment Planner

4

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Transportation and Works Comments

Enclosed for the Committees information are photos depicting the existing shed. The shed itself poses no drainage related concerns.





Comments Prepared by: John Salvino, Development Engineering Technologist

Appendix 2 – Zoning Comments

The Building Department is currently processing preliminary zoning review application PREAPP 21-4919. From a review of the preliminary zoning review application it appears the variances requested are correct.

Our comments are based on the plans received by Zoning staff on 1/26/2021 for the above captioned application. Please note that should there be any changes contained within this Committee of Adjustment application that have not been identified and submitted through the preliminary zoning review process, these comments may no longer be valid. Any changes and/or updates to information and/or drawings must be submitted, as per standard resubmission procedure, separately through the preliminary zoning review process in order to receive updated comments.

Comments Prepared by: Brian Bonner, Zoning Examiner

Appendix 5 – Region of Peel Comments

We have no comments or objections.

Comments Prepared by: Diana Guida, Junior Planner