City of Mississauga Memorandium: City Department and Agency Comments

Date Finalized: 2021-05-05

To: Committee of Adjustment

From: Committee of Adjustment Coordinator

File(s): B30.21 Ward: 7

Meeting date:2021-05-13 1:00 PM

Consolidated Recommendation

The City has no objections to the requested consent application. The Applicant may wish to defer the application to ensure that all required variances have been accurately identified.

Application Details

The applicant requests the approval of the Committee to sever a parcel of land for the creation of a new lot. The parcel of land has a frontage of approximately 17.72m (58.14ft) and an area of approximately 800.50sq.m (8,616.51sq.ft).

Recommended Conditions and/or Terms of consent

• Appendix A – Conditions of Provisional Consent

Background

Property Address: 428 Tedwyn Drive

Mississauga Official Plan

Character Area:Cooksville NeighbourhoodDesignation:Residential Low Density I

Zoning By-law 0225-2007

Zoning: R3 - Residential

Other Applications: None

Site and Area Context

The subject property is located within the Cooksville Neighbourhood Character Area, north-east of the Queen Elizabeth Way and Cliff Road intersection. The surrounding area primarily consists of one and two-storey detached dwellings with lot frontages +/- 17.0m with minimal vegetation in the front yards. The subject property contains two existing, detached dwellings with minimal vegetation in the front and rear yards.

The application proposes to sever the lot in order to reinstate the original parcels. This severance does not require variances.



Comments

Planning

Planning Act

Section 51 (24) of the *Planning Act* sets out the criteria for land division in the Province of Ontario. In evaluating such requests, the Committee needs to be satisfied that the proposal meets not only the criteria set out under Section 51(24), but also municipal requirements identify in local legislation.

2

3

Section 45 of the *Planning Act* provides the Committee of Adjustment with the authority to grant relief from the requirements of a municipal zoning by-law. In evaluating such requests, the Committee needs to be satisfied that the proposal meets the four tests set out in the *Planning Act*.

Provincial Matters

The Provincial Policy Statement 2014 (PPS 2014) and Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe promote efficient development and land use, directing the focus towards intensification and redevelopment. The proposal is consistent with the general directive in provincial policy.

Staff comments concerning the application for application are as follows:

The severed parcel has a proposed lot frontage of 17.72m and a lot area of 800.5m2 with the retained parcel (exterior) having a proposed lot frontage of 20.17m and lot area of 769.5m². The zoning by-law requires a lot frontage of 19.5m and a lot area of 720m² for an exterior lot and; a lot frontage of 15.0m and lot area of 550.0m² for an interior lot.

The subject property is located within the Cooksville Neighbourhood and designated Residential Low Density I in Schedule 10 of the Mississauga Official Plan (MOP). This designation permits detached, semi-detached, and duplex dwellings. Section 16.1.2.1 of MOP states, to preserve the character of lands designated Residential Low Density I and Residential Low Density II, the minimum frontage and area of new lots will be evaluated in the context of the existing lot pattern in the surrounding area. The existing parcels were joined historically though common ownership and the Applicant is now requesting to re-instate the original parcels. The intent of the zoning by-law is to ensure that new lots are appropriately sized and fit within the context of the parcels, the proposed severance is consistent with the immediate surroundings. Additionally, the proposal does not require any additional variances. Staff is of the opinion that the proposed parcels are consistent with the detached lots found within the immediate area and does not negatively impact the lot fabric of the existing and planned community.

Conclusion

Staff is of the opinion that the proposed application has due regard for Section 51(24) of the *Planning Act,* specifically that it conforms to the official plan. The dimensions of the proposed lots exceed Zoning By-law provisions and are suitable for the purposes for which they are to be subdivided and are consistent with the character of the area.

Based upon the preceding information, the Planning and Building Department has no objections to the requested consent applications.

City Department and Agency Comments	File:B30.21	2021/05/05	4
-------------------------------------	-------------	------------	---

Comments Prepared by: Brooke Herczeg, RPP Committee of Adjustment Planner

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Transportation and Works Comments

This department has no objections or requirements for this application where the intent is to reestablish the previous lots. The subject properties which were once separate parcels but have merged on title due to common ownership.

Comments Prepared by: Tony Iacobucci, Development Engineering Technologist

Appendix 2 – Zoning Comments

The Building Department has processed a Preliminary Zoning Review under file PREAPP 20-4486. Based on review of the information currently available in this permit application, the information provided, as requested is correct.

Please note that comments reflect those provided through the above permit application and should there be any changes contained within this Committee of Adjustment application that have not been identified and submitted through the application file noted above, these comments may no longer be valid. Any changes and/or updates to information and/or drawings must be submitted, as per standard resubmission procedures, separately through the application process in order to receive updated comments.

Comments Prepared by: Brandon Eidner, Zoning Examiner

Appendix 5 – Region of Peel Comments

Development Engineering: Camila Marczuk (905) 791-7800 x8230

Please note that severing the lands may adversely affect the existing location of the water and sanitary sewer services, if any exist. The result of this may require the applicant to install new water / sanitary servicing connections to either the severed or retained lands in compliance with the Ontario Building Code. The applicant may require the creation of private water / sanitary sewer servicing easements. An upgrade of your existing service may be required. All works associated with the servicing of this site will be at the applicant's expense. For more information, please call our Site Servicing Technicians at 905.791.7800 x7973 or by email at <u>siteplanservicing@peelregion.ca</u>

Comments Prepared by: Diana Guida, Junior Planner

6

Appendix 7 – Bell Canada

Subsequent to review of the Severance Application by our local Engineering Department it has been identified that Bell Canada will require a transfer of easement over these lands, to protect existing rear-lot buried facilities, supply service to the properties and to maintain service in the area. According to our records, Bell has buried cable that runs parallel to the southeast property boundary at 428 TEDWYN DR and is found approximately 0.9m from the rear lot boundary. We request the easement be the full length of the facilities as it falls within the applicant's lands and as shown on the attached sketch.

Bell Canada would like to confirm that a blanket easement over the lands or a 3.0m wide corridor to be measured 1.5m on either side of the buried cable as can be accommodated would satisfy our needs. With respect to the buried plant, it will be necessary for the surveyor to arrange for a cable locate to identify its location.

Since the easement is necessary in order to provide and maintain service to this area, all costs associated with this transaction is the responsibility of the landowner. Compensation should be set to the nominal amount of \$2.00 for the acquisition of these rights. Additionally, Bell Canada requires separate, registered postponements for any mortgages and certification of title.

We hope this proposal meets with your approval and request a copy of the Committee of Adjustments decision. We look forward to the owners' Solicitor contacting us with a draft reference plan and accompanying draft easement documents for our approval prior to registration, along with an acknowledgement and direction for our execution.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

City Department and Agency Comments File:B30.21	2021/05/05 7
---	--------------

Comments Prepared by: Carrie Gordon, External Liaison

8

Appendix A – Conditions of Provisional Consent

SHOULD THE COMMITTEE GRANT A PROVISIONAL CONSENT, THE FOLLOWING IS A LIST OF THE RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS TO BE ATTACHED TO THE DECISION AND THESE CONDITIONS MAY BE REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE AT THE PUBLIC MEETING.

- 1. Approval of the draft reference plan(s), as applicable, shall be obtained at the Committee of Adjustment office, and; the required number of prints of the resultant deposited reference plan(s) shall be received.
- 2. An application amendment letter shall be received from the applicant or authorized agent confirming that the conveyed land shall be together with and/or subject to services easement(s) and/or right(s)-of-way, if necessary, in a location and width as determined by the Secretary-Treasurer based on written advice from the agencies having jurisdiction for any service or right for which the easement or right-of-way is required; alternatively, a letter shall be received from the applicant or authorized agent confirming that no services easement(s) and/or right(s)-of-way, are necessary.
- 3. A letter shall be received from the City of Mississauga, Manager of Zoning Plan Examination, indicating that the conveyed land and retained lands comply with the provisions of the Zoning By-law, or alternatively; that any variances are approved by the appropriate authorities and that such approval is final and binding.
- 4. A letter shall be received from Bell Canada, Right-of-way, indicating that satisfactory arrangements have been made with respect to the matters addressed in their comments dated May 5, 2021.