# City of Mississauga

### Memorandium:

# City Department and Agency Comments

Date Finalized: 2021-07-13 File(s): A283.21

To: Committee of Adjustment Ward:1

From: Committee of Adjustment Coordinator

Meeting date:2021-07-22

1:00 PM

### **Consolidated Recommendation**

The City has no objection to the variance, as amended.

# **Application Details**

The applicant requests the Committee to allow a medical office proposing 386 parking spaces whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, requires a minimum of 429 parking spaces in this instance.

#### **Amendments**

Based on the Parking Allocation Report obtained from Zoning, dated June 29, 2021, City Planning Strategies staff recommend that the requested parking variance be amended:

 proposing a total of 386 parking spaces onsite whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, requires a minimum of 432 parking spaces for all uses onsite in this instance

# **Background**

Property Address: 20-1235 Queensway East

### Mississauga Official Plan

Character Area: Dixie Employment Area
Designation: Business Employment

#### **Zoning By-law 0225-2007**

Zoning: E2-131 - Employment

#### **Site and Area Context**

The subject property is located within the Dixie Employment Character Area, west of Queensway East and Dixie Road. The surrounding area north of Queensway East comprises a mix of commercial, employment and industrial type uses, while the subject property contains a similar mix of commercial and employment uses. The area south of Queensway East consists of detached residential dwellings.

The applicant is proposing a dental office on-site, requiring a variance for a parking reduction.



### **Comments**

#### **Planning**

Section 45 of the *Planning Act* provides the Committee of Adjustment with the authority to grant relief from the requirements of a municipal zoning by-law. Approval of applications must meet the requirements set out under 45(1) and/or 45(2) (a) or (b) in the *Planning Act*.

Staff comments concerning the application of the four tests to this minor variance request are as follows:

The subject property is designated Business Employment in Schedule 10 of the Mississauga Official Plan (MOP), which permits secondary office uses – meaning businesses and professional and administrative offices having an area less than 10,000 m<sup>2</sup> or accommodating less than 500 jobs. In this instance, the subject application would be considered a secondary office and is permitted in the official plan.

The applicant is requesting to allow a medical office proposing a total of 386 parking spaces onsite whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, requires a minimum of 429 parking spaces (for the whole site) in this instance. This is a reduction of 43 spaces which equates to a 10% deficiency. The City Planning Strategies (CPS) Division has reviewed the application and their comment is as follows.

CPS Staff evaluated 'A' 282/21 and 'A' 283/21 comprehensively and it is important to highlight that the growing parking deficiency onsite is of concern. The deficiency will likely increase and be worsened through future minor variance applications seeking greater parking reductions.

The applicant submitted a Letter of Justification, dated March 17, 2021, in support of 'A' 283/21. The Letter, dated March 17, 2021, explains the business operations of the proposed physiotherapy use. The unit allows for a small business with one physiotherapist, one secretary and a maximum of two clients per hour. The Letter explains that the use has a low demand, with a maximum requirement of four parking spaces at any given time. A Physiotherapy clinic functions on an appointment basis which helps manage parking demand.

The subject property has 6 buildings and a total of 91 units. Originally, Building C, D and F were approved by the City for warehouse facility use with a parking rate of 1.1 spaces per 100 m<sup>2</sup> of GFA. Building A, B and F were approved for office use with a parking rate of 3.2 spaces per 100 m<sup>2</sup> of GFA. All the buildings share a total of 386 parking spaces onsite. Currently, only 48 of the 91 units are open and operating, which equates to a 52% occupancy. The site has a mix of uses, including warehousing, office, medical office, restaurant, commercial school and recreational establishment.

The proposed medical office in Unit 20 is 167 m² in size and located in Building B, which was approved at the office parking rate. Based on Zoning's Parking Allocation Report (PAR), the medical office is calculated at a rate of 6.5 spaces per 100 m² GFA and requires 11 parking spaces.

Overall, the submitted information is satisfactory as the variance represents a 10% reduction that staff can support.

Previously, staff commented on application 'A' 392/20 at 1235 Queensway East, which was also a proposed medical office use in Unit 15. At the time of the application, the required parking variance was to provide 386 spaces onsite whereas 410 spaces were required for all uses. Now, through this application ('A' 283/21) the parking requirement is 429 spaces for all uses, which indicates that the parking deficiency is increasing, especially considering that about half of the 91 units are not occupied yet.

CPS Staff are concerned about the growing parking deficiency on the entire subject property. It is evident to staff that as more uses with higher parking requirements move into the units, the parking deficiency will be exacerbated and contribute to future parking issues onsite.

Moving forward, once the parking deficiency surpasses the 10% threshold, the applicant will be required to submit a satisfactory Parking Utilization Study (PUS) to justify a parking variance. Upon review of the PUS survey data and results, CPS staff may consider implementing tools to manage parking demand, such as capping the gross floor area of certain uses with high parking requirements (including medical office); consider a blended rate for the subject property and other measures.

CPS Staff reviewed the subject property comprehensively and strongly recommend that prospective unit holders are made aware of the parking deficiency onsite and that the applicant may be required to undertake a PUS to justify a parking reduction in the future.

CPS Staff contacted Zoning regarding the uses and parking requirements. Based on the Parking Allocation Report obtained from Zoning, dated June 29, 2021, staff recommend that the requested parking variance be amended:

 proposing a total of 386 parking spaces onsite whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, requires a minimum of 432 parking spaces for all uses onsite in this instance

Based on the submitted information, CPS staff can support the amended parking variance proposing a total of 386 parking spaces onsite whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, requires a minimum of 432 parking spaces for all uses onsite in this instance.

Planning Staff echo CPS' comments and are of the opinion that the general intent and purpose of the zoning by-law is maintained. The proposed parking reduction is required as a new tenant is proposing a dental office in a unit. With the addition of this use, the subject property will remain self-sufficient and the proposed parking reduction will not pose a negative impact on the surrounding area from a parking perspective. As such, the proposed application represents orderly development of the land and is minor in nature.

## Conclusion

The Planning and Building Department has no objections to the requested variance.

Comments Prepared by: Connor DiPietro, Committee of Adjustment Planner

File:A283.21

# **Appendices**

#### **Appendix 1 – Transportation and Works Comments**

This Department has no objections, comments or requirements with respect to C.A. 'A' 283/21.

Comments Prepared by: John Salvino, Development Engineering Technologist

#### **Appendix 2 – Zoning Comments**

The Building Department is currently processing a Zoning Certificate application under file 21-5023. Based on review of the information currently available in this permit application, we advise that the variances should be amended as follows:

1. To provide 386 parking spaces on site whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, requires a minimum of 424 parking spaces in this instance.

Please note that comments reflect those provided through the above permit application and should there be any changes contained within this Committee of Adjustment application that have not been identified and submitted through the application file noted above, these comments may no longer be valid. Any changes and/or updates to information and/or drawings must be submitted, as per standard resubmission procedures, separately through the application process in order to receive updated comments.

Comments Prepared by: Marco Palerma

Appendix 3 – Parks, Forestry & Environment

N/A

Appendix 4 - Heritage

N/A

Appendix 5 – Region of Peel Comments

We have no comments or objections.