City of Mississauga

Corporate Report



Date: May 25, 2021

To: Chair and Members of Heritage Advisory Committee

From: Shari Lichterman, CPA, CMA, Commissioner of Community Services

Originator's files:

Meeting date:
June 8, 2021

Subject

Request to Alter a Heritage Designated Property: 850 Enola Avenue (Ward 1)

Recommendation

That the request to alter the heritage designated property at 850 Enola Avenue, as per the Corporate Report from the Commissioner of Community Services dated May 25, 2021, be approved.

Executive Summary

- The City designated the property under the *Ontario Heritage Act* in 1978.
- The Barn is in need of renewal. The siding, doors, roof and cupola are to be replaced "like for like".
- Structural work on the interior is also proposed.
- The ground floor stone exterior will be repointed and left bare (without paint or plaster) to reveal the patina.

Background

Council designated the subject property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* in 1978. Section 33 of the Act requires permission from Council in order to make alterations to property designated under Part IV of Act.

Comments

Facilities and Property Management (FPM) proposes a building envelope renewal to the barn at the subject property. In 2018, the City re-sheathed the waterfront facing side of the barn. The other three sides are now also proposed to be re-sheathed. The roof, cupola and large sliding doors are proposed to be replaced, like for like, i.e. same material and same design. Improved asphalt shingles are proposed for the roof, as well as protective membrane installed under the

2

shingles. New steel properly sized downspouts and eaves troughs are proposed as is interior structural work to restore structural integrity. The proposal is attached as Appendix 1. The mortar joints on the exterior of the ground floor would be repointed. After a site visit on May 17, 2021, it was determined that the exterior of the ground floor had been either painted or whitewashed several times throughout the past, but that painting would not be included, as stated in the attachment, in order to reveal the patina of the building and better demonstrate the continued life of the heritage barn. The proposal is sympathetic with the character of the building and will give new life to the structure; as such, it should be approved.

Financial Impact

There is no new financial impact resulting from the recommendation in this report. The proposed work is managed by the Facilities and Property Management Division through an existing capital project (PN A21750) with a budget of \$140,000.

Conclusion

FPM proposes to renew the Adamson Estate barn. The project would serve to prolong the life of this heritage designated structure and should therefore be approved.

Attachments

Conservation Management Plan Appendix 1:

Shari Lichterman, CPA, CMA, Commissioner of Community Services

Prepared by: P. Wubbenhorst, Heritage Planner