## City of Mississauga Department Comments

Date Finalized: 2021-12-08 File(s): A291.21

To: Committee of Adjustment Ward 5

From: Committee of Adjustment Coordinator

Meeting date:2021-12-16

1:00:00 PM

## **Consolidated Recommendation**

The City has no objections to the application. The applicant may wish to defer the application to ensure the accuracy of the requested variances and that additional variances are not required.

# **Application Details**

The applicant requests the Committee to approve a minor variance to allow the construction of a new house proposing:

- 1. A gross floor area of 187.53sq.m (approx. 2018.56sq.ft) whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, permits a maximum gross floor area of 174.32sq.m (approx. 1876.36sq.ft) in this instance; and
- 2. A lot coverage of 31.67% (117.70sq.m) whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, permits a maximum lot coverage of 30% (111.48sq.m) in this instance.

# **Background**

Property Address: 3064 Churchill Avenue

Mississauga Official Plan

Character Area: Malton Neighbourhood
Designation: Residential Low Density II

**Zoning By-law 0225-2007** 

Zoning: R4 - Residential

Other Applications: BP 9NEW 17-5910

**Site and Area Context** 

The subject property is located north-east of the Airport Road and Derry Road East intersection, in the Malton Neighbourhood Character Area. This portion of Churchill Avenue contains only detached dwellings, however semi-detached dwellings are present in the nearby vicinity and commercial uses are also present along Airport Road. The vast majority of dwellings along this portion of Churchill Avenue are the original one and two storey detached dwellings that were built in the area when it was originally developed. Limited mature vegetation exists on the subject and surrounding properties.

File:A291.21

The applicant is proposing to construct a new dwelling on the property requiring variances for gross floor area and lot coverage.



## **Comments**

#### **Planning**

Section 45 of the *Planning Act* provides the Committee of Adjustment with the authority to grant relief from the requirements of a municipal zoning by-law. Approval of applications must meet the requirements set out under 45(1) and/or 45(2) (a) or (b) in the Planning Act.

Staff comments concerning the application of the four tests to this minor variance request are as follows:

#### Does the proposal maintain the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan?

The subject property is located in the Malton Neighbourhood Character Area and is designated Residential Low Density II in Schedule 10 of the Mississauga Official Plan (MOP). This designation permits detached, semi-detached, and duplex dwellings. Section 9 of MOP promotes development with appropriate urban form and site design, regulating that such development is compatible with the existing site conditions, the surrounding context, and the landscape of the character area. The proposed dwelling conforms to the designation and staff are of the opinion that the proposed built form appropriately balances the planned character of the area and the existing built form of the surrounding context. Staff are satisfied that the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan are maintained.

#### Does the proposal maintain the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law?

Variance 1 requests an increase in gross floor area. The intent in restricting gross floor area is to maintain compatibility between existing and new dwellings in order to ensure the existing and planned character of a neighbourhood is preserved. Staff are satisfied that the revised proposal appropriately balances the existing built form and character of the neighbourhood with the planned character envisioned by the Malton Infill Housing Study.

Variance 2 requests an increase in lot coverage. The intent in restricting lot coverage is to ensure that there isn't an overdevelopment of the lot which would impact the streetscape as well as abutting properties. Staff are satisfied that the proposal does not represent an overdevelopment of the subject property and is in line with both original and newer dwellings in the surrounding context.

Given the above, staff are satisfied that the application maintains the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law.

# Is the proposal desirable for the appropriate development of the subject lands and minor in nature?

Upon review of the application staff are satisfied that the proposal represents appropriate development of the subject lands. The variances, both individually and cumulatively, are minor in nature and will not create any undue impacts to adjoining properties or the planned or existing character of the area.

Comments Prepared by: Alexander Davies, Committee of Adjustment Planner

# **Appendices**

### **Appendix 1 – Transportation and Works Comments**

We are noting for Committee's information that any Transportation and Works Department concerns/requirements for the proposed new dwelling will be addressed through the Building Permit process.



Comments Prepared by: Tony Iacobucci, Development Engineering Technologist

## **Appendix 2 – Zoning Comments**

The Building Department is currently processing a Building Permit under file BP 9NEW 17-5910. Based on review of the information currently available for this building permit, we advise that more information is required to verify the accuracy of the requested variance(s) or determine whether additional variance(s) will be required.

Our comments are based on the plans received by Zoning staff on 10/25/2017 for the above captioned building permit application. Please note that should there be any changes contained within this Committee of Adjustment application that have not been identified and submitted

through the site plan approval process, these comments may no longer be valid. Any changes and/or updates to information and/or drawings must be submitted, as per standard resubmission procedure, separately through the site plan approval process in order to receive updated comments.

Comments Prepared by: Adam McCormack, Zoning Examiner