APPENDIX 1

Comparison Table of Major Changes between the Existing and the Proposed Storm Sewer Use By-law

Current By-law 259-05	Proposed By-law 2022
Connections to City Storm Sewers Currently in Part II	 Added two general prohibitions in this Part as follows: No person shall enter a municipal storm sewer without prior authorization No person shall break, damage, etc. with any part of a municipal storm sewer or any device installed to monitor or sample stormwater These will provide additional enforcement capabilities under this By-law regarding any person who trespasses or causes damage the City's storm sewer infrastructure or devices installed within it. Removed section addressing maintenance of private storm sewers as this will be covered under a proposed amendment to the Dreparty Standards By law 064 1009
Discharge Requirements Currently in Parts IV and V	 the Property Standards By-law, 064-1998. Combined and moved these sections into new Part 3 and added: groundwater discharge requirements, where permitted additional prohibited substances (e.g. algaecides, raw materials from industrial processes) oil, grease and sediment separator requirements The table displaying allowable concentration limits has been moved
	from Part 3 and is now included as Schedule A. These changes are intended to further enhance protection of the natural environment and align with current legislation and guidelines.
Exceptions Currently in Part VI	 Moved this section into new Part 4 and added: temporary discharge permit requirements (i.e. construction dewatering); to formalize a permit process that is currently carried out informally and ensure consistent and enforceable provisions residential pool water discharge requirements (requires amendment of similar section in Debris and Anti-Littering Bylaw); this is to update the requirements to current best practices for the protection of the natural environment.
Spills Currently in Part VII	 Moved this section into new Part 6. Added ability to require responsible party to submit a Pollution Prevention Plan and a Spill Response Plan This provides more enforcement options to ensure that the responsible party that caused a spill will be able to prevent any future spills.

Current By-law 259-05	Proposed By-law 2022
Pollution Prevention Currently in Part VIII	 Moved this section into new Part 7. Expanded and updated submission requirements for further clarity of the process and procedures Officer can decide to reduce requirements for a Pollution Prevention Plan on a case-by-case basis This change would provide greater flexibility for small- to medium-sized business owners who often lack the knowledge or resources to retain a Professional Engineer to prepare these plans. This change will also enable more businesses to be eligible to apply for Stormwater Charge Credits; this has been identified as a barrier to participation. Contents of a Pollution Prevention Plan are referenced in proposed Schedule B (previously in Schedule A).
Sampling and Analysis Currently in Part IX	 Moved this section into new Part 5 and changed title to 'Monitoring and Analyzing Discharges'. Added the following: Officer can require owner or occupier of a premises to carry out all monitoring or sampling and analysis of discharge at their own expense and submit report Officer can require owner or occupier of a premise to install a monitoring device and provide calibration and maintenance records This is to ensure that businesses bear these costs instead of the City, where unauthorized discharges from such businesses are known to occur.
Schedule A: Limits for Storm Sewer Discharge Currently in Part IV	 Moved this section into new Schedule A for ease of reference and includes new updates. Added several volatile organic compounds that are common contaminants to further protection the natural environment Adjusted the limits of two naturally occurring metals to reflect typical groundwater conditions in Mississauga to reduce financial burden of property owners, where applicable Removed an indicator parameter (TKN) that is only used as a guideline; it should no longer be used as a mandatory compliance limit