

John Alati

johna@davieshowe.com

Main: 416.977.7088

Fax: 416.977.8931

File No. 702861-01

2019 DEC 23 3:22PM
CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
REC'D CLERK'S OFFICE

December 23, 2019

By Courier and E-Mail Only to *diana.rusnov@mississauga.ca*

Diana Rusnov
The City Clerk
The Corporation of the City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive, 2nd Floor
Mississauga, ON
L5B 3C1

Dear Ms. Rusnov:

**Re: Notice of Objection
Proposed Designation of the Foreman's House and the Cottage/Owner's
Residence (the "Structures") located at 1200 Old Derry Road (the
"Property")
Pursuant to Part IV, Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990,
Chapter 0.18 (the "*Act*")
City of Mississauga File No.: CS.08.OLD**

We are counsel to Hanlon Glen Homes Inc. and Sinqua Developments Inc. ("Hanlon") the owners of the Property. We are in receipt of the December 5, 2019, City of Mississauga (the "City") Notice of Intention to Designate (the "Notice") the Structures located on the Property under the *Act*.

In response to the Notice, and on behalf of our clients, we hereby object to the City's intention to designate the Structures for the reasons, amongst others, provided below.

Hanlon commissioned Golder Associates Ltd. to prepare a Heritage Impact Assessment ("HIA") which assessed the Structures from a heritage perspective. The purpose of the HIA was to support Hanlon's application to demolish the Structures (the "Application").

The HIA concluded that the Structures do not have cultural heritage values or interests and do not meet the prescribed criteria of O. Reg. 9/06. Furthermore, the HIA confirmed that the demolition of the Structures will not result in adverse impacts to heritage attributes of another designated structure located on the Property, or to the Credit River Corridor Cultural Heritage Landscape (the "Landscape"). The HIA report is enclosed with this Notice of Objection.

In response to the HIA and the Application City staff wrote a Corporate Report dated September 25, 2019 (the "Report") to the City's Heritage Advisory Committee for

consideration at their meeting of November 5, 2019. In the Report City staff indicated that designation of the Structures is not a viable option to preserve the Landscape. The Report confirms City staff's position that the Structures are not worthy of a heritage designation. Furthermore, the Report's conclusion provides a City staff recommendation that the Application (to demolish the Structures) proceed subject to certain conditions including the provision of an interpretation and commemoration strategy, amongst other things.

Given the Notice, it would appear that the City's Heritage Advisory Committee did not heed the recommendations conveyed by City staff in the Report, which were consistent with the findings of the HIA.

Hanlon remains of the view, consistent with the HIA and the Report, that the designation of the Structures under Part IV of the *Act* is not appropriate as it will, amongst other things, unjustifiably restrict the redevelopment potential of the Property. Hanlon remains prepared to work with the City's Heritage Advisory Committee staff to undertake and prepare a commemoration and interpretation strategy that would be appropriate for the Property.

Hanlon therefore respectfully requests that the Conservation Review Board direct the Heritage Advisory Committee staff to withdraw its intention to designate the Structures under Part IV, s. 29 of the *Act*.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Yours truly,
DAVIES HOWE LLP



John Alati

JA:am
encl.: As above

copy: Messrs. Cary and Daechsel, Golder Associates Ltd.
Client