

City of Mississauga Department Comments

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Date Finalized: 2022-07-20 | File(s): A337.22 Ward: 10 |
| To: Committee of Adjustment | |
| From: Committee of Adjustment Coordinator | Meeting date:2022-07-28 1:00:00 PM |

Consolidated Recommendation

The City recommends the application be deferred.

Application Details

The applicant requests the Committee to approve a minor variance to allow an existing driveway with a width of 7.2m (approx. 23.6ft) whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, permits a maximum driveway width of 6.0m (approx. 19.7ft) in this instance.

Background

Property Address: 6356 Osprey Blvd

Mississauga Official Plan

Character Area: Lisgar Neighbourhood
Designation: Residential Low Density II

Zoning By-law 0225-2007

Zoning: R4 - Residential

Other Applications: None

Site and Area Context

The subject property is located south-west of the Tenth Line West and Battleford Road intersection in the Lisgar Neighbourhood. The property contains a two-storey detached dwelling with an attached two car garage and has a lot frontage of +/- 12.4m (40.7ft). The surrounding

context consists of detached and townhouse dwellings with attached garages. The property contains no notable vegetation which is characteristic of the area.

The applicant is proposing to maintain the existing driveway requiring a variance for driveway width.



Comments

Planning

Section 45 of the *Planning Act* provides the Committee of Adjustment with the authority to grant relief from the requirements of a municipal zoning by-law. Approval of applications must meet the requirements set out under 45(1) and/or 45(2) (a) or (b) in the *Planning Act*.

Staff comments concerning the application of the four tests to this minor variance request are as follows:

The subject property is located in the Lisgar Neighbourhood Character Area and is designated Residential Low Density II in Schedule 10 of the Mississauga Official Plan (MOP). Section 9 of MOP promotes development (including its features such as driveways) with appropriate urban form and site design, regulating that such development is compatible with: the existing site conditions, the surrounding context, and the landscape of the character area.

The applicant is proposing a driveway width of 7.2m (23.6ft). The planned character of the area is that of residential dwellings serviced by appropriately sized driveways that can accommodate the parking required by the zoning by-law for each property. The intent of the driveway width regulations in the by-law is to allow a driveway that can accommodate two vehicles parked side by side, with the remainder of the front yard being soft landscaped area. The subject property

has a frontage of +/- 12.4m (40.7ft), and the proposed driveway would represent over 50% of the front yard area which is out of character for the surrounding context.

Staff are of the opinion that, as currently proposed, the driveway does not meet the general intent or purpose of the zoning by-law and is not minor in nature. Staff recommend that the application be deferred to allow the applicant to reduce the size of the driveway.

Comments Prepared by: Alexander Davies, Committee of Adjustment Planner

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Transportation and Works Comments

This department notes that with regard to the widened driveway within the municipal boulevard (the area between the municipal curb and property line) we would request that this area be reinstated with topsoil and sod should the application be modified to reflect a smaller driveway width within the subject property or if the application is not supported by the Committee.



Comments Prepared by: Tony Iacobucci, Development Engineering Technologist

Appendix 2 – Zoning Comments

In the absence of a development application we are unable to confirm the accuracy of the information provided, or determine whether additional variance(s) may be required. It should be noted that a zoning review has NOT been completed. The applicant is advised that should they choose to proceed without zoning verification, a full zoning review may result in further variances being required in the future.

Comments Prepared by: Andrew Wemekamp, Zoning Examiner.