

# City of Mississauga Department Comments

Date Finalized: 2023-01-11	File(s): A661.22
To: Committee of Adjustment	Ward: 5
From: Committee of Adjustment Coordinator	Meeting date:2023-01-19 1:00:00 PM

## Consolidated Recommendation

The City recommends that the application be refused.

## Application Details

The applicant requests the Committee to approve a minor variance to allow an existing driveway with a width of 7.80m (approx. 25.59ft) whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, permits a maximum driveway width of 5.2m (approx. 17.06ft) in this instance.

## Background

**Property Address:** 7481 Sigsbee Dr

### Mississauga Official Plan

Character Area: Malton Neighbourhood  
Designation: Residential Low Density II

### Zoning By-law 0225-2007

**Zoning:** RM1 - Residential


**Other Applications:** None

### Site and Area Context

The subject property is located south-west of the Finch Avenue and Highway 427 interchange in the Malton neighbourhood. It currently contains a semi-detached back split with an attached garage. The property has a frontage of +/- 9m (29.5ft), characteristic of other semi-detached dwellings in the surrounding area. Limited landscaping and vegetative elements are present in

the front yard. The surrounding area context is predominantly residential, consisting of a mix of detached, semi-detached, and townhouse dwellings.

The applicant is proposing to legalize the existing driveway requiring a variance for driveway width.



## Comments

### Planning

Section 45 of the *Planning Act* provides the Committee of Adjustment with the authority to grant relief from the requirements of a municipal zoning by-law. Approval of applications must meet the requirements set out under 45(1) and/or 45(2) (a) or (b) in the *Planning Act*.

Staff comments concerning the application of the four tests to this minor variance request are as follows:

The subject property is located in the Malton Neighbourhood Character Area and is designated Residential Low Density II in Schedule 10 of the Mississauga Official Plan (MOP). Section 9.1 of the MOP states that driveway widths and associated setbacks should respect the identity and character of the surrounding context.

The applicant is proposing a driveway width of 7.8m (25.6ft). The planned character of the area is that of residential dwellings serviced by appropriately sized driveways that can accommodate the parking required by the zoning by-law for each property. The intent of the driveway width regulations in the by-law is to allow a driveway that can accommodate two vehicles parked side by side, with the remainder of the front yard being soft landscaped area. The proposed driveway would represent significant hardscaping in the front yard and would be able to facilitate the parking of three vehicles across, contrary to the intent of the zoning by-law.

Staff are of the opinion that, as currently proposed, the driveway does not meet the general intent or purpose of the zoning by-law and is not minor in nature. Staff therefore recommend that the application be refused.

Comments Prepared by: Alexander Davies, Committee of Adjustment Planner

## Appendices

### Appendix 1 – Transportation and Works Comments

This department notes that with regard to the widened driveway within the municipal boulevard (the area between the municipal curb and property line) we would request that this area be reinstated with topsoil and sod should the application be modified to reflect a smaller driveway width within the subject property or if the application is not supported by the Committee.

It should also be noted that the Transportation and Works Department has concerns with the safe ingress and egress of any vehicles parked or utilizing the widened parking space. Our concerns are with the grade differential of the driveway and also with any manoeuvring that may be required to allow any vehicle to back out over the pedestrian sidewalk in front of the property.







Comments Prepared by: Tony Iacobucci, Development Engineering Technologist

## Appendix 2 – Zoning Comments

In the absence of a Development application we are unable to confirm the accuracy of the information provided, or determine whether additional variance(s) may be required. It should be noted that a zoning review has NOT been completed. The applicant is advised that should they choose to proceed without zoning verification, a full zoning review may result in further variances being required in the future.

For scope of work that does not require Site Plan Approval/Building Permit/Zoning Certificate of Occupancy Permit, the applicant may consider applying for a Preliminary Zoning Review application. A detailed site plan drawing and architectural plans are required for a detailed zoning review to be completed. A minimum of 6-8 weeks is required depending on the complexity of the proposal and the quality of information submitted.

Comments Prepared by: Amy Campbell, Planner-in-Training

City Department and Agency Comments	File:A661.22	2023/01/11	7
-------------------------------------	--------------	------------	---

---

### **Appendix 3 – Region of Peel**

We have no objections.

Comments Prepared by: Patrycia Menko – Junior Planner, Planning and Development Services