OZ 22-10 W1 88 Park St E

Recommendation Report Planning and Building Department Edenshaw Developments









Applicant's Proposal:

42 and 40 storey apartment building with ground floor commercial and office space





Applications required:

- An Official Plan Amendment amendment to the PCLAP is required to allow a height of 42 storeys, whereas 22 storeys is permitted
- A Zoning By-law Amendment is required from the current D zone to the RA5 – Apartment Building Zone Category



 Draft Plan of Subdivision has been submitted to facilitate proposal



Application Timeline:

May 11, 2022 – Application Deemed Complete

May 24, 2022 – Community Meeting

July 5, 2022 – Public Meeting – Information Report

- concerns with overall height and density
- contribution to affordable housing
- traffic concerns
- precedence regarding building height in the Community Node

November 14, 2022 – Applicant appealed to the OLT for non-decision



Application Evaluation:

Is the proposed increase in height consistent with:

- Provincial Policy Statement
- Growth Plan
- Mississauga Official Plan/Port Credit Local Area Plan and Built Form Guidelines



Is the proposed additional height consistent with the PPS?

- Encourages compact, more efficient development to take advantage of existing services and amenities
- Recognizes Official Plans as the most important vehicle in achieving short and long terms plans
- A redevelopment of the site at a density and built form higher than what exists today is consistent with the PPS
- The Official Plan guides building height for the area



Is the proposed additional height consistent with the Growth Plan?

- Directs municipalities where to accommodate growth emphasis on priority transit corridors and MTSAs
- Growth Plan also acknowledges appropriate standards are to be set out by municipal Official Plans
- Port Credit Community Node within the MTSA radius for the PC GO Station
- The PC LAP guides height and density within the MTSA



Is the proposed additional height consistent with the MOP & PCLAP?



• The general prevailing building heights are to maintain the Community Nodes location in the **City Structure**









 The proposed 42 and 40 storey apartment buildings will set a new building height context in the area







Subject site is distinct from the rest of the node

- the site is situated between two higher order transit stations, a unique attribute
- the plan and guidelines encourage wayfinding and landmark buildings within this area; align tall buildings in key areas
- appropriate to acknowledge the distinction of the site through built form







Additional height should:

- maintain the Community Node's position in the City Structure
- maintain the overall goals and objectives of the LAP and the Height Schedule
- respect and relate to the existing and planned building heights

		7
/	\vdash	
6		
15%	22 5+	295+



Other Development Issues

- The proposed parking rates have not been justified
 - 0.31 residential
 - 0.1 visitor
- The proposal creates unsafe wind conditions
 - Amenity space
 - Pedestrian plaza
- Additional technical information has not been received

- Commercial uses are preferred on Park Street
- No affordable housing strategy
- The proposed amount of non residential space is insufficient
 - 1765 m2 is proposed
 - Current OP policies require 2800 m2 for 22 storeys



Conclusion:

- Proposed building height of 42 storeys does not meet the City Structure and LAP Height Schedule
- Does not meet the required criteria for additional building height in PC Community Node
- Insufficient non-residential and employment space
- No affordable housing strategy
- Other development issues that are to be addressed
- Staff see merit in additional building height for the site, subject to maintaining the required criteria for additional height consideration

Recommendation:

 That Planning and Development Committee refuse the applications in their current form