City of Mississauga Department Comments

Date Finalized: 2023-04-26 File(s): A119.23

To: Committee of Adjustment Ward: 2

From: Committee of Adjustment Coordinator

Meeting date:2023-05-04

1:00:00 PM

Consolidated Recommendation

The City recommends that the Committee have regard for all comments and evidence provided by the applicant and area residents when assessing if the application meets the requirements of Section 45(1) of the Planning Act. The applicant may wish to defer the application to ensure that all required variances have been accurately identified.

Application Details

The applicant requests the Committee to approve a minor variance to allow the construction of an addition proposing a front yard setback for an interior lot of 6.68m (approx. 21.92ft) whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, requires a minimum front yard setback of 7.50m (approx. 24.61ft) in this instance.

Background

Property Address: 1291 Tredmore Dr

Mississauga Official Plan

Character Area: Clarkson-Lorne park Neighbourhood

Designation: Residential Low Density II

Zoning By-law 0225-2007

Zoning: R3- Residential

Other Applications: File BP 9ALT-23/6195.

Site and Area Context

The subject property is located within the Clarkson-Lorne Park Neighbourhood Character Area, southeast of the Winston Churchill Boulevard and Truscott Drive intersection. The immediate neighbourhood is entirely residential, consisting of one and two-storey detached dwellings with mature vegetation and landscape elements in both the front and side yards. The subject property contains a one-storey dwelling with mature vegetation in the property's front yard.

The applicant is proposing a one-storey addition requiring a variance for reduced front yard setback.



Comments

Planning

Section 45 of the *Planning Act* provides the Committee of Adjustment with the authority to grant relief from the requirements of a municipal zoning by-law. Approval of applications must meet the requirements set out under 45(1) and/or 45(2) (a) or (b) in the Planning Act.

"[Enter info here]"

Staff comments concerning the application are as follows:

The requested variance is for a front yard setback. The intent of front yard setbacks are to ensure that a consistent character is maintained along the streetscape and that sufficient front yard space is incorporated into the design of neighbourhoods. Staff note that the front yard setback is generally consistent with lots in the immediate area. Further, the addition does not pose any massing concerns as it only adds to a small portion of the dwelling's façade and is one-storey.

Through a detailed review of the application, staff is of the opinion that the application is appropriate to be handled through the minor variance process. Further, the application raises no concerns of a planning nature.

Comments Prepared by: Connor DiPietro, Committee of Adjustment Planner

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Transportation and Works Comments

We are noting for Committee's information that any Transportation and Works Department concerns/requirements for the proposed addition are being addressed by our Development Construction Section through the Building Permit process, File BP 9ALT-23/6195.



Comments Prepared by: John Salvino, Development Engineering Technologist

Appendix 2 – Zoning Comments

The Building Department is currently processing a Building Permit under file 23-6195. Based on review of the information currently available in this permit application, we advise that more information is required in order to verify the accuracy of the requested variance(s) or determine whether additional variance(s) will be required.

Please note that comments reflect those provided through the above permit application and should there be any changes contained within this Committee of Adjustment application that have not been identified and submitted through the application file noted above, these comments may no longer be valid. Any changes and/or updates to information and/or drawings must be submitted, as per standard resubmission procedures, separately through the application process in order to receive updated comments.

Comments Prepared by: Andrea Patsalides, Zoning Examiner

Appendix 3- Region of Peel

We have no comments or objections.

Comments Prepared by: Patrycia Menko, Junior Planner