

Date: May 19, 2023	Originator's files:
To: Chair and Members of Heritage Advisory Committee	
From: Jodi Robillos, Commissioner of Community Services	Meeting date: June 13, 2023

## Subject

**Request to Alter a Heritage Designated Property at: 7076 Old Mill Lane (Ward 11)**

## Recommendation

That the request to alter a heritage designated property at 7076 Old Mill Lane (Ward 11), as per the Corporate Report dated May 19, 2023 from the Commissioner of Community Services be refused.

## Executive Summary

- The property is designated under Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act. Alterations to designated properties requires a heritage permit.
- A six foot chain link fence is proposed for the sides and back of the property
- A four foot aluminum fence is proposed for the front of the property
- Four stone pillars are proposed to be incorporated into the aluminum fencing across the front of the property

## Background

The subject property is designated under Part V of the *Ontario Heritage Act* as it forms part of the Meadowvale Village Heritage Conservation District (HCD). Changes to the property are subject to the Meadowvale Village HCD Plan, 2014, and substantive changes identified in said plan require a heritage permit.

## Comments

The property owner is proposing to add a fence on 7076 Old Mill Lane. On the north, south and west sides of the property the fence will be black chain link. On the front (east) side of the property, they are proposing a black aluminum fence with four stone pillars. The aluminum

fence will be a similar style to other properties in the HCD and the stone pillars will be utilizing the unused stone from the original foundation. Fencing on the north, south and west sides will be six feet in height, while the front/east side will be four feet in height. Drawings are attached in Appendix 1. The fencing is transparent and in place for safety reasons. The owner has documented individuals on their property multiple times.

The Meadowvale Village heritage District sub-committee had some concerns regarding the proposed fencing design and height. The feedback from the sub-committee has been conveyed to the property owner and they will be revising their fencing plan and re-submitting their application. Under Section 33 the newly revised *Ontario Heritage Act* applications that come forward for alteration must received a response from Council on whether they are accepted or refused. This response must be issued within 90 days of the application being submitted. The applicant was not able to provide revisions to the drawings within the necessary timeline. They are committed to providing a revision in line with the comments received from the Meadowvale Village Heritage Subcommittee.

Based on the timelines and requirements of the Ontario Heritage Act staff are recommending that this application be refused and that the applicant will bring forward a revised application at a later time.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial impacts resulting from the recommendations of this report.

## Conclusion

The property owner has applied for a permit to install fencing around the perimeter of the property. Based on the comments from the Meadowvale Village Heritage District Sub-committee and conversations with the property owner this application should be refused.

## Attachments

Appendix 1: Fence Plan



Jodi Robillos, Commissioner of Community Services

Prepared by: Andrew Douglas, Heritage Analyst, Heritage Planning & Indigenous Relations