City of Mississauga Department Comments

Date Finalized: 2024-07-03

To: Committee of Adjustment

From: Committee of Adjustment Coordinator

File(s): A280.24 Ward: 7

Meeting date:2024-07-11 3:30:00 PM

Consolidated Recommendation

The City has no objections to the application. The applicant may wish to defer the application to ensure that all required variances have been accurately identified.

Application Details

The applicant requests the Committee to approve a minor variance to allow a house proposing a lot coverage of 39.04% (323.58sq m) whereas By-law 0225-2007, as amended, permits a maximum lot coverage of 35.00% (290.09sq m) in this instance.

Background

Property Address: 2222 Camilla Road

Mississauga Official Plan

Character Area:Cooksville Neighbourhood (East)Designation:Residential Low Density I

Zoning By-law 0225-2007

Zoning: R3-Residential

Other Applications: None

Site and Area Context

The subject property is located within the Cooksville Neighbourhood East Area, south-east of the Hurontario Street and Queensway Street East intersection. The immediate neighbourhood is residential consisting of a mix of one and two-storey detached dwellings on lots with limited

City Department and Agency Comments	File:A280.24	2024/07/03	2
-------------------------------------	--------------	------------	---

mature vegetation in both the front and rear yards. There are commercial uses in the greater vicinity of the property. The subject lot is currently under construction with no vegetation in the front yard. The property abuts Camilla Park towards the rear.

The applicant is proposing a new dwelling on the subject property requesting a variance for lot coverage.



Comments

Planning

Section 45 of the *Planning Act* provides the Committee of Adjustment with the authority to grant relief from the requirements of a municipal zoning by-law. Approval of applications must meet the requirements set out under 45(1) and/or 45(2) (a) or (b) in the *Planning Act*.

Staff comments concerning the application of the four tests to this minor variance request are as follows:

Does the proposal maintain the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan?

The subject property is located in the Cooksville Neighbourhood East Character Area and is designated Residential Low Density I in Schedule 10 of the Mississauga Official Plan (MOP). This designation permits detached, semi-detached, and duplex dwellings. Section 9 of MOP promotes development with appropriate urban form and site design, regulating that such development is compatible with the existing site conditions, the surrounding context, and the landscape of the character area. The proposal conforms to the designation and staff are of the opinion that the proposal appropriately balances the planned character of the area and the

existing built form of the surrounding context. Staff are satisfied that the general intent and purpose of the official plan are maintained.

Does the proposal maintain the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law?

The sole requested variance proposes an increase in lot coverage. The intent in restricting lot coverage is to ensure that there isn't an overdevelopment of the lot which would impact the streetscape as well as abutting properties. Staff note the dwelling itself represents 33.65% of the lot coverage, which is well within the maximum permissible lot coverage of 35%. The remaining 4.07% of lot coverage is required to accommodate the proposed front and rear covered porches. The rear covered porch represents approximately 4.6% of the lot coverage and the front porch represents 0.82%. It is staff's opinion that while primarily the rear porch pushes the lot coverage over the permissible regulations, the structure is open and has no massing impacts. Further, staff are of the opinion that the proposed addition in the rear yard will not be visible from the streetscape. As such, staff are satisfied that the proposal does not represent an overdevelopment of the subject property and is in line with dwellings in the surrounding context.

Is the proposal desirable for the appropriate development of the subject lands and minor in nature?

Planning staff are satisfied that the proposed variance is minor in nature, represents appropriate development of the subject property and maintain the intent of both the official plan and zoning by-law.

Comments Prepared by: Shivani Chopra, Planning Associate

4

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Transportation and Works Comments

We are noting for Committee's information that any Transportation and Works Department concerns/requirements for the proposed new dwelling will be addressed through the Building Permit Process.





6

Comments Prepared by: Tony Iacobucci, Development Engineering

Appendix 2 – Zoning Comments

We note that a Building Permit is required. In the absence of a Building Permit we are unable to confirm the accuracy of the information provided, or determine whether additional variance(s) may be required. It should be noted that a zoning review has NOT been completed.

The applicant is advised that should they choose to proceed without zoning verification, a full zoning review may result in further variances being required in the future.

Comments Prepared by: Brooke Herczeg, Zoning Examiner

Appendix 3 – Parks, Forestry & Environment

Park Planning

The Parks and Culture Planning Section of the Community Services Department has no objections to the above noted minor variance application and advises as follows:

The lands adjacent to the property are owned by the City of Mississauga, identified as Iggy Kaneff Park (P-028) and zoned OSI – Open Space – Community Park.

Should the application be approved, Community Services provides the following notes:

- 1. Construction access from the adjacent park/greenlands is not permitted.
- 2. If access is required to City owned lands, a Consent to Enter Agreement/Park Access Permit will be required.
- 3. Stockpiling of construction materials and encroachment in the adjacent park/greenlands is not permitted.
- 4. Prior to the issuance of building permits, cash-in-lieu for park or other public recreational purposes is required pursuant to Section 42 of the Planning Act (R.S.O. 1990, c.P. 13, as amended) and in accordance with the City's policies and by-laws.

Should further information be required, please contact Nicholas Rocchetti, Planner in Training -Park Assets, Community Services Department at 905-615-3200 ext. 4659 or via email Nicholas.Rocchetti@mississauga.ca.

Comments Prepared by: Nicholas Rocchetti, Planner in Training

			I
City Department and Agency Comments	File:A280.24	2024/07/03	1

7

Forestry

The Forestry Section of the Community Services Department has no objections to the above noted minor variance application and advises as follows:

- 1. No public trees shall be injured or removed. If public tree removal is required, a permit must be issued as per By-law 0020-2022.
- 2. No private trees shall be injured or removed. If a private tree with a diameter of 15 centimetres or greater on private property is to be injured or destroyed, a permit must be issued as per By-law 0021-2022.
- 3. Please note if a tree is identified as a shared tree with the adjacent property owner, and the applicant intends to apply for a Tree Removal Permit, written consent must be obtained by both parties.

A Tree Removal Application to Permit the Injury or Destruction of Trees on Public and Private Property can be found at https://www.mississauga.ca/publication/application-to-permit-theinjury-or-destruction-of-trees-on-public-and-private-property/.

Should further information be required, please contact Rita Di Michele, By-law Inspector, Community Services Department at 905-615-3200 ext. 5872 or via email rita.dimichele@mississauga.ca.

Comments Prepared by: Rita Di Michele, By-law Inspector

Appendix 4 – CVC

Please see below CVC comments for minor variance application A24/280 for proposed works at 2222 Camilla Road:

Based on best available information, the subject property does not contain any floodplains, watercourses, shorelines, wetlands, valley slopes or other environmental features of interest to Credit Valley Conservation (CVC). Furthermore, the property is not subject to Ontario Regulation 41/24, (the Prohibited Activities, Exemptions, and Permits Regulation) or to the policies of CVC at this time.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned. Comments Prepared by: Stuti Bhatt, Junior Planner

Appendix 5 – Region of Peel

We have no comments or objections.

Comments Prepared by: Petrele Francois, Junior Planner