PETITION

TO: THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA, PLANNING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT

We the undersigned object to the proposed development application submitted by 574199 Ontario Inc., City of Mississauga File Number OZ 20/017 W7. More specifically we object to the following: i) the erection of the proposed new 15 story apartment building (with an attached above ground parking garage) on the subject property, ii) the proposed amendment to the City of Mississauga Official Plan, and iii) the proposed amendments to the zoning by-law for the subject property.

We the undersigned object to the above identified application for numerous reasons, including the following:

- 1) The two existing apartment buildings that are located on the subject property (which have been there for over 38 years) are set back substantially from Mary Fix Creek and from adjacent neighbouring homes on Privet Court and Privet Crescent. Many of the adjacent neighbours purchased their homes decades ago with the above noted circumstances being a primary consideration and, as such, it would be inequitable (unfair) after the passage of so much time to allow for the new apartment building to be built so close to the creek and to these adjacent homes. The law of equity arguably would require that this long standing relationship should be the primary consideration in evaluating the applicant's proposal.
- 2) The proposed location for the new apartment building will intrude on neighbours' current sight lines, sunlight exposure and personal privacy. Tenants in the proposed new apartment building would literally be looking into the neighbouring backyards and bedrooms of adjacent homes on Privet Court and Privet Crescent.
- 3) The above identified intrusions would arguably have a negative impact on the value of neighbouring homes (in particular the homes adjacent to the subject property), which in turn would arguably have a negative impact on the value of homes in the surrounding area. Here the applicant would benefit while taxpaying residents would suffer.
- 4) The proposed 250 unit new apartment building (which is an addition to the existing structures already standing on the subject property and, as such, should not be considered a development involving vacant land) will significantly increase traffic

(particularly on Dundas Street) in an area that is already experiencing traffic congestion, and here consideration must also be given to the traffic flow that will result from the future development of actual vacant land in the immediate vicinity (e.g.,. the old trailer park land on Dundas Street and the Parkerhill Road open lots).

- 5) Historically tenants of the subject property have been less than ideal neighbours. Over the years, and in some cases to this very day, neighbours of the subject property have endured loud music from the parking lot and from the balconies, car alarms going off at all times of the day but particularly at night, the revving of car engines for no apparent reason, tires screeching, and drinking and partying on the east bank of Mary Fix Creek behind the existing apartment buildings. With 250 units in the proposed new apartment building and an average of four people per unit, an additional 1,000 people would reside on the subject property exponentially increasing the potential for such nuisances.
- 6) The design of the proposed new apartment building, specifically the rooftop amenity at the 5th floor, adds to the potential for noise nuisance for neighbours. This potential for noise nuisance is amplified for adjacent homeowners on Privet Court and Privet Crescent by the fact that the proposed new apartment building would be so close to these homes.
- 7) The location (10 meters from the flood line) of the proposed new apartment building allows for only a limited flood plain for Mary Fix Creek (compared to the open parking lot that currently exists on the subject property) arguably putting the Argyle Road neighbourhood at greater potential risk than what currently exists.
- 8) The size of the proposed new apartment building is excessive for the available land on the subject property, evidenced by the fact that the proposal involves little to no real green space, and also evidenced by the fact that the applicant is seeking zoning amendments, involving: i) amending the minimum dimensions of parking spaces, driveways and drive aisles, ii) amending the minimum number of parking spaces per apartment dwelling unit (the current zoning by-law requirement is 649 spaces while the proposal offers 503 spaces, a substantial difference), iii) amending the minimum number of visitor parking spaces per apartment dwelling unit, and iv) amending the minimum set back from a parking structure above or partially above finished grade at any lot line. The proposal is an attempt to "squeeze" a mammoth structure into an inadequate space and this would be detrimental to adjacent and other neighbours. If the applicant wishes to build such a mammoth structure the applicant might consider demolishing the existing apartments and replacing them with the proposed new apartment building.

- 9) The height of the proposed new apartment building is 15 stories, which is inconsistent with the height of surrounding high-rises. The two existing apartment buildings on the subject property are only 12 stories high while the high-rise condos to the South of the subject property are 13 stories. Apartments to the North of the subject property, flanking either side of Dundas Street, range from 10 to 13 stories high. As such, the proposed new apartment building would not seamlessly fit into the neighbourhood. Due to the excessive height of the proposed new apartment building the applicant is forced to seek an amendment to the City of Mississauga Official Plan which limits any building erected on the subject property to 13 stories. There is no good reason from a community perspective for the City of Mississauga to pass such an amendment.
- 10) The two existing apartment buildings located on the subject property are perpendicular to adjacent neighbouring homes on Privet Court and Privet Crescent and are set back a substantial distance from these homes, as such, little light intrusion from the existing apartment buildings is experienced by these homes in the evening and at night. The proposed new apartment building and the attached above ground parking garage would sit parallel to these homes, this combined structure would be located very close to these homes, the above ground garage would have numerous windows, and lights in the garage would be on all day long, all of which would arguably result in a substantial evening and nighttime light intrusion and nuisance for these adjacent neighbouring homes, with light emanating from both apartment units and from the parking garage. This light intrusion and nuisance would be experienced by other neighbours of the subject property as well.
- 11) The proposed new apartment building would have a 420 car above ground enclosed parking garage attached to it, which would be ventilated into the surrounding air. As the proposed garage would be very close to adjacent neighbouring homes on Privet Court and Privet Crescent (in fact the applicant is proposing a zoning by-law amendment to decrease the minimum set back from their above ground parking garage at their western lot line) these adjacent homes would be facing a new source of air pollution, as would other neighbours surrounding the subject property.
- 12) The two existing apartment buildings on the subject property have 253 units, while the proposed new apartment building, in a single structure, would have 250 units (plus the above ground parking garage). This clearly demonstrates that the proposed new apartment building is indeed a mammoth structure, and as previously stated due to its massive size it is excessive for the available land on the subject property.
- 13) When you include the proposed new apartment building, the subject property would have 503 apartment units which apparently would exceed permitted density, causing the applicant to seek an amendment to the City of Mississauga Official Plan. There is

no good reason from a community perspective for the City of Mississauga to pass such an amendment. The proposed excess with respect to permitted density demonstrates yet again that the applicant's proposal is an attempt to "squeeze" a mammoth structure into an inadequate space, and this would be detrimental to adjacent and other neighbours.

14) Due to the close proximity of the proposed new apartment building to Mary Fix Creek, construction of same would arguably be very disruptive to the wildlife occupying the Green Land Zone around Mary Fix Creek.

PRINT NAME

SIGNATURE

ADDRESS

IVA M. FEISO Gratulio
Posision Ablexed Dids
L'Miller, Ameler
Jande Sillingle GRAPDE DIMICHELE
Dimichele Tolling
Danny Distichele Ly J. Mabe
Selyn & MIRELE DIMICHELE
FRANYeisco LIND-Francisco Lie-
Judite Lino judito lino

Printed Name	Signature	Address
1 LUISA LINO	dudo dino	
2 Nick Panacos	1/1/1/	
3 CARALINA PANACOS	Caralyon Pana	
4 SAUT GARAGE	Shi	
5 JOHN SILVESTEI	& Schristen	
6 Linda Silvestri	LSilverti	
7 Vato GUSONDI	Will Girond	
8 AMA GISONDI	A. Gisond	
9 Carol Gisondi	lard Asadi	
10 de Rhommeen	H-MARINUCCI	
11 PATRICIC O'NEILL	Hein	
12 Martha O'Weill	Mortha O'lleil	
13 Mayie Jan	Met Ha	
14 Elvira Cilva	ElizaScha	
15 Helena Dices	The Up	
16 Relly Cottle	Julystite	
17 Agree Muhamped	1000	
18 Tacinisa Mahmut	Howan	
19 Ag newhammas Harze	The Hold.	

	Printed Name	Signature	Address
20	MARIO DESOUZA	days .	
21		Absoura	
22		OlSoma	
23	ZHIHONG WANG	ath	
24	WEI LI	li li	
25	Gavin LI	Yavin Li	
26	XIU YUN XU	许秀云	
27	XIEMING WANG	到好	
28	DANNY CURVELO	1) boul	
29	MANUELA CURVELO	M. Gundo	
1	STANWICK LAM WATT	SL	
31	ANGELA EMBREE	()C	
32	Claude Gurson		
33	Jenn Hollingswith	40	
34	Eloy Ash	M	
35	andery Ash	CAF	
36	DAVID ASH	Daved Ad	P
37	OEMMA ASH	affer	
38	Aaliyah Ash	Jalyah Ash	

Printed Name	Signature	Address
	Houta Tereix	
40 Paul Terceura	Part Today	
41 Nietz Arints	M	
42 John Avions		
43 Catherine Ayotis	OPA	
44 Logan Robertson	Lalute	
45 Robert Raley	Book Hay	
46 EVA FOVER	Eva Faver	
47 Nurdin Haji	Mes	
48 Saker Chann Haj	8 Hay	
49 Amin Haji	AS Az	
50 Azmira Haji	The last	
51 JOITH LIND		
52 LUIS MAIA		
53 Jennifer Carreir		
54 Juve Carreiro	Ap Cenus	
55 Joe Carreino	Joshuia	
56 Eric Carreiro	Att	
57 Mike Seranino	V FIMA	

	Printed Name	Signature	Address
58	LINDY FEIJO	150	
59	XUTEN DO	Lugh	
60	Lina Al-Ingu	i Lihatlin	
1	Eman Alshini	end	
62	MIKE LEUNG	nly	
63	Karen Leung	4 Luy	
64	Anna Leuns	Aleuns	
65	Thomas Leung	Ms	
70	Amo Antida	74 25 38 9	
7:	Blue Got dos	1. A S	
7:	TONY JAROS		
7	ROSA TAROS	RI	
7	5 CONNIE DA COSTA	4 Ellost	
7	of Jayme Dacosta	Daymo Dacast	

	Printed Name	Signature	Address
78	Kyd	2	
79	DING CHI CHAN	Say Ca Chan.	
80	Thums Y Chan.	Tarry Chan	
81	STEVE KO	Dee Wen Wo	
82	AMNEKO	aefor	
83	A. OLIVEIRA	1km	
84	A. Oliveira	20.	
85	MANDII	MK	
86	BUAKANG	BK	
87	tally Sign	Po	
88	Ston	35	
89	MARIA METO	Affal 1	
90	VAUDOMIROMER	Port dans des	
91	CHARLENE MELD	Alela	_
92	VALDO MELO	Valle	_
93	KARUSTHS RI AFAL	Dig	- -
94	Joanna Kapisainshi	Mam	_
95		Smalale	
96	Mary McCullage	mmCalge	

	Printed Name	Signature	Address
97	MRYSTYNA PODALAK	Hogsky no Podue	
98	Vasmine Shan	Sygmine	
99	Ethan	ER	
100	& Thilagewath	r 255	
101	Synthia	85	
102	Suruthia	Seenthing	
103	Sen Zhou	Sont Prous	
104	Liming Du	LRDU	
105	Many Patel	All cel	
106	Virmula	J. Putsel	
107	Steven Poon	Ato fu	
108	Pricila Rebotto	Serbell	
109	oswald Reboth	08wald Robel	
110	Laura ham	Lean From	
111	EDUMEDTRAVAS	Edulo J.	
112	. 10 . 10	1	
113	ALISON CAI	alisan Cai	
114	Kevin cai	Zirean	
115	MING cai	me	

	Printed Name	Signature	Address
116	JENY LIM	A.J.	
117	Tracy Lin	Ayle	
118	Jonathan Lim	Dtel	
119	Steph Lim	A	
120	G. DEANGELS	19.//	
121	T. EIDEANGGIS	The account of the second	
122	YOUSEF REHAYEL	gut Robert	
123	Filian Felicyel	R. haw Rehard	
124	Zain Kadri	Town	
125	ANDREW WALSH	100	
126		williamarkan	
127		5. S. hreggy	
128	TERRENCE GRELDAY	-	
129	,	hant Pos	
130	LOD VIDE	MAN	
131	Kevin Mc Call ash	Mr.	
132	Kevin McCaMagh H McCelllegh		
133	11	Alex	
134	1 Dui	6/4.	

	Printed Name	Signature	Address
154	ROMAN CAU	PUL	
155	2	پ.	
156	PATRICE DOLAN	Mon	
157	NICOLE DOLL	n Dobn	
158	EDMAKSIMOWSKI	Ed Mahsimowa	
	Josie Maksimowski	Thatsimon)	
	Nicholas Maksmowski	In makring	
151			
162	Pasquale Massimo	P. M. Mradi	
163	- 1	Sills	
164	Linvo	Roylo	
165	HUTERI BOREK	Hisert Ball	
166	MICHOLAS MILLER	77 200	
167	,		
168	3		
169			
170			
17:	L		
172	2		